

# Table of Contents

<b>STAFF RECOMMENDATION</b> .....	1
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	7
<b>1. BACKGROUND</b> .....	9
Purpose and Need.....	9
Project History .....	10
Master Planning and Development Review Context .....	11
General Description of The Purple Line .....	11
Alternatives Not Retained For Detailed Study.....	13
Existing Transit Service in the Purple Line Corridor.....	16
Profile of the Service Area.....	19
Forecasted Growth .....	20
Definition of Alternatives .....	23
Service Profile of the Build Alternatives .....	32
Estimates of Ridership, Costs, and Cost Effectiveness .....	33
Master Plan Advisory Group (MPAG) .....	34
<b>2. PREFERRED PURPLE LINE MODE</b> .....	36
Vehicle Capacity.....	36
Vehicle Emissions and Greenhouse Gas Impacts .....	38
Vehicle Noise and Vibration.....	38
Master Plan Conformance and Urban Design.....	40
<i>Georgetown Branch Master Plan Amendment, January 1990</i> .....	40
<i>Bethesda - Chevy Chase Master Plan, April 1990</i> .....	41
<i>Silver Spring Central Business District Sector Plan, March 2000</i> .....	41
<i>East Silver Spring Master Plan, December 2000 and Takoma Park Master Plan, December 2000</i> ...	41
Urban Design and Economic Development.....	42
Cost, Cost Effectiveness, and Ridership .....	50
Public Testimony .....	54
Summary of Analysis of the Preferred Mode For The Purple Line .....	54
<b>3. PREFERRED PURPLE LINE ALIGNMENT</b> .....	56
Station Area Issues.....	56
<i>Estimated Ridership</i> .....	56
<i>Walk Access</i> .....	58
<i>Urban Design and Economic Development</i> .....	61
Jones Bridge Road Alignment .....	61
Wayne Avenue.....	62
Silver Spring / Thayer Design Option.....	66
Master Plan Conformance.....	67
Georgetown Branch Trail .....	68
Silver Spring Green Trail (Wayne Avenue) .....	70

Parks Impacts and Mitigation .....	71
Historic Preservation.....	72
Environmental Planning.....	73
Traffic and Parking Impacts.....	73
Community Impacts.....	77
Preinkert/Chapel Drive Design Option .....	80
Summary of Analysis of Factors In Recommending a Preferred Alignment .....	80
<b>4. ADDITIONAL ITEMS FOR FURTHER STUDY .....</b>	<b>83</b>
Historic Preservation.....	83
Station Area Planning .....	83
Funding Transit.....	84
The Purple Line Functional Plan .....	85
Development Review .....	85
 <b>APPENDICES (under separate cover)</b>	
 <b>A. GLOSSARY OF TERMS</b>	
<b>B. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC TESTIMONY</b>	
<b>C. SUPPORTING STAFF MEMORANDA</b>	

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

FIGURE 1 – Metrorail System and the Purple Line .....	12
FIGURE 2 – Purple Line Corridor Bus Service .....	17
FIGURE 3 – Population Density .....	19
FIGURE 4 – How We Get To Work.....	19
FIGURE 5 – Average Commute Time By Mode.....	19
FIGURE 6 – Transportation Analysis Zones .....	19
FIGURE 7 – Capital Costs.....	51
FIGURE 8 – Annual Operating Costs.....	51
FIGURE 9 – Estimated Weekday Ridership (2030).....	52
FIGURE 10 – Cost Effectiveness .....	52
FIGURE 11 – 20 Year Projection of Transit System Costs.....	53
TABLE 1 – Capital Cost Comparison .....	13
TABLE 2 – Existing Bus Service .....	18
TABLE 3 – Existing Metrorail Service .....	18
TABLE 4 – Forecasted Household Density.....	21
TABLE 5 – Forecasted Job Density .....	22
TABLE 6 – Cost and Ridership .....	33
TABLE 7 – Peak Load Point, Ridership, and Capacity.....	36
TABLE 8 – Summary of Woodmont East Urban Design Issues .....	45
TABLE 9 – Representative BRT Benefits.....	49
TABLE 10 – Representative LRT Benefits .....	49
TABLE 11 – Ridership Overall .....	56
TABLE 12 – Ridership By Station .....	57
TABLE 13 – Preliminary Estimates of Property Takings for Surface Alignment.....	65
TABLE 14 – Impacts On Intersection Level of Service – AM Peak Hour.....	74
TABLE 15 – Impacts On Intersection Level of Service – PM Peak Hour .....	75
TABLE 16 – Impact on On-Street Parking.....	76