

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

MCPB Item #12 3/12/09

DATE:

March 4, 2009

TO:

Montgomery County Planning Board

VIA:

Rose Krasnow, Chief, Development Review

Cathy Conlon, Subdivision Supervisor

Ralph Wilson, Zoning Supervisor

FROM:

Greg Russ, Zoning Coordinator 34

REVIEW TYPE: PURPOSE:

Subdivision Regulation Amendment

Generally amend the Subdivision Regulations to extend the standard validity period for a determination of adequate

public facilities for certain developments and to extend the

standard preliminary plan validity period.

SUBDIVISION REGULATION AMENDMENT: 09-01

INTRODUCED BY:

Council President at the request of the County

Executive

INTRODUCED DATE:

February 10, 2009

PLANNING BOARD REVIEW:

March 12, 2009

COUNCIL PUBLIC HEARING:

March 17, 2009; 1:30pm

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of SRA 09-1 to extend the validity period for Adequate Public Facilities and Preliminary Plans with revisions to:

- 1. Sunset the APF validity period extension two years after the SRA effective date:
- 2. Place the preliminary plan validity period extension under Section 50-35(h) instead of Section 50-20; and
- 3. Sunset the preliminary plan validity period extension two years after the SRA effective date
- 4. Extend maximum time limit from 10 years to 12 years

Staff is in favor of the proposed limited time-frame extension of the validity period for a preliminary plan and for a determination of adequate public facilities (APF). This would be an important measure for assisting developers and builders during the current economic downturn. Given the difficulty of obtaining financing coupled with the market slowdown, developers with approved plans would otherwise have to apply for extensions for which they might not be found eligible (economic feasibility is not considered a valid reason) or they might have to build or pay for costly infrastructure or pay for a new traffic study to obtain an APF extension. The SRA allows developers and builders to avoid these extra steps and costs as the economy and lending market recover.

Validity Period Extensions

As introduced, the SRA provides a two-year extension of the APF validity period with no limit on the duration of this provision. As previously noted, a time limit should be included that terminates the regulation two years after the effective date of the subdivision regulation amendment.

The SRA also includes a provision for a two year validity period extension of a preliminary plan. Two issues: First, the proposed provision is located in the wrong section of the subdivision regulations. The provison should be placed under 50-35(h) of the subdivision code, instead of Section 50-20(c). Second, as with the APF validity period extension, the automatic preliminary plan extension should sunset two years after the effective date of the subject legislation.

Staff has revised the SRA to include our recommendations. Other revisions have been made to clarify the overall intent of SRA 09-01.

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS

County Executive Leggett requested introduction of SRA 09-01 as one of four legislative proposals to implement an economic plan designed to ease some of the difficulties experienced by local businesses as a result of the national economic downturn.

Existing Requirements

In Montgomery County, proposed development is tested for the adequacy of public facilities that will serve that development. Typically, the testing occurs at the time of the Planning Board's review of a preliminary plan of subdivision. Chapter 50 of the Montgomery County Code addresses the testing for adequate public facilities, as does the Growth Policy resolution adopted by the County Council every two years.

When the Planning Board finds that public facilities are adequate to support a subdivision, the finding has a limited validity period. Prior to July 25, 1989, there were no time limits on a finding of adequate public facilities. From July 25, 1989 until October 19, 1999, the time limit was 12 years. Beginning October 19, 1999, the time limits were changed to no less than 5 and no more than 12 years, as determined by the Planning Board at the time of subdivision. Beginning August 1, 2007, the time limits were changed to no less than 5 and no more than 10 years, as determined by the Planning Board at the time of subdivision.

Section 20 of Chapter 50 contains the language setting the time limits of a finding for adequate public facilities by the Planning Board. It also contains the language that determines the conditions under which the Planning Board may grant an extension of the validity period for a finding of adequate public facilities. All building permits for a development must be issued within these time limits, or a new test for adequate public facilities must be done.

Chapter 50 also establishes time limits for the validity of the Planning Board's approval of a preliminary plan of subdivision. An approved preliminary plan for a single phase project remains valid for 3 years from its Initiation Date, which is 30 days from the date of mailing of the Planning Board's written opinion. Before the validity period expires, a final record plat for all property delineated on the approved preliminary plan must have been recorded among the County Land Records. An approved preliminary plan for a multi-phase project remains valid for the period of time established in a phasing schedule approved by the Planning Board. The validity period for each phase must not exceed 3 years from the Initiation Date of the preliminary plan. Validation of a preliminary plan for a phase occurs upon the recordation of a final record plat for all property delineated in that particular phase of the approved preliminary plan.

Section 35 of Chapter 50 contains the language setting the time limits for the preliminary plan validity period. It also contains the language that determines the conditions under which the Planning Board may grant an extension of the preliminary plan validity.

Analysis of Proposed Legislation

As introduced, the proposed legislation extends all currently valid adequate public facilities reviews for an additional 2 years. It also modifies the time limits for adequate public facilities review for new plans to no less than 7 and no more than 10 years, as determined by the Planning Board at the time of subdivision. Staff supports the 2 year extension for all currently valid approvals, but recommends changes to the proposed language to limit its applicability. For new plans, staff supports increasing the time limits of the validity period for the adequate public facilities review by 2 years, but only for a limited period. We recommend changes to the proposed language to sunset the regulation two years after the effective date of the proposed legislation. We also recommend that the maximum time permitted for the validity of a new adequate public facilities review be modified to 12 years during the effective dates of this legislation. This would provide the same time benefit to larger, multi-phase plans that increasing the minimum time limit provides for smaller, one-phase plans.

The legislation also extends the validity period of all currently valid preliminary plans for 2 years. Staff supports this extension, but recommends changes to the proposed language to limit its applicability and to clarify that it also applies to the

validity period of each phase of a multi-phase project. Staff also recommends that this provision be moved to Section 35 of Chapter 50 because that is the section that specifically discusses the duration of preliminary plan validity.

CC/GR

Attachments

1. Proposed SRA No. 09-01

ATTACHMENT 1

Ordinance No	
Subdivision Regulation	on Amend. No. <u>09-01</u>
Concerning: Adequa	ate Public Facilities-
<u>Validity</u>	Period
Revised:	Draft No
Introduced:	
Public Hearing:	
Adopted:	
Effective:	

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PORTION OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT WITHIN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: The Council President at the Request of the County Executive

AN ACT to:

- (1) extend the validity period for a determination of adequate public facilities; and,
- (2) otherwise revise the validity periods under the subdivision regulations.

By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 50, Subdivision of Land Section 50-20(c)

Boldface

Underlining

[Single boldface brackets]

Double underlining

[[Double boldface brackets]]

Heading or defined term.

Added to existing law by original bill.

Deleted from existing law by original bill.

Added by amendment.

Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

1	Sec. 1. Sect	ion 50-2	20(c)(3)	is amended as follows:
2	Sec. 50-20.	Limits	on issua	nce of building permits.
3				* * *
4	(c)	(1)	Word	ls and phrases used in this subsection have the meanings indicated in
5			Secti	on 8-30.
6		(2)	Exce	pt as provided in this subsection and Article IV of Chapter 8, the
7			Depa	rtment of Permitting Services may issue a building permit only if the
8			Planr	ning Board has made a timely determination of the adequacy of public
9			facili	ties to serve the proposed development under this Chapter. However,
10			the D	epartment may issue a building permit for any proposed development
11			that i	s:
12			(A)	exclusively residential on a lot or parcel recorded before July 25,
13				1989, or otherwise recorded in conformance with a preliminary
14				plan of subdivision approved before that date; or
15			(B)	otherwise exempt from the requirement for determining adequacy
16				of public facilities before a preliminary plan of subdivision is
17				approved.
18		(3)	A det	termination of adequate public facilities made under this Chapter is
19			timel	y and remains valid:
20			(A)	For 12 years after the preliminary plan is approved for any plan
21				approved on or after July 25, 1989, but before October 19, 1999;
22			(B)	For no less than [5] [[7]] 5 and no more than 12 years after the
23				preliminary plan is approved, as determined by the Planning Board
24				at the time of approval, for any plan approved on or after October
25				19, 1999, but before August 1, 2007; [and]
26			<u>(C)</u>	For no less than 7 and no more than 12 years after the preliminary
27				plan is approved for any preliminary plan approved on or after
28				(effective date), but before (effective date plus 2 years); and
29			[(C)]	(D) For no less than [5] [[7]] $\underline{5}$ and no more than 10 years after
30				the preliminary plan is approved for any preliminary plan approved
31				on or after August 1, 2007, but before (effective date) or after
32				(effective date plus two years), as determined by the Board at the

33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			
51			
52			
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			
61			

62

time of approval.[[, for any plan approved on or after August 1, 2007. If an applicant requests a validity period that is longer than [5] 7 years, the applicant must submit a development schedule or phasing plan for completion of the project to the Board for its approval. At a minimum, the proposed development schedule or phasing plan must show the minimum percentage of the project that the applicant expects to complete in the first [5] 7 years after the preliminary plan is approved. To allow a validity period longer than 5 years, the Board must find that the extended validity period would promote the public interest. The Board may condition a validity period longer than [5] 7 years on adherence to the proposed development schedule or phasing plan, and may impose other transportation improvement or mitigation conditions if those conditions are needed to assure adequate levels of transportation service during the validity period.]]

[[For any preliminary plan that was approved between January 1, 2004 and (effective date), the validity period is extended 2 years.]]

* * *

(4) On (effective date), the validity period of any adequate public facilities determination that was valid as of January 1, 2009, or is valid as of (effective date minus one day) is extended for 2 additional years.

(5) If an applicant requests a validity period that is longer than the minimum specified in paragraph (3), the applicant must submit a development schedule or phasing plan for completion of the project to the Board for its approval. At a minimum, the proposed development schedule or phasing plan must show the minimum percentage of the project that the applicant expects to complete in the first 5 to 7 years after the preliminary plan is approved. To allow a validity period longer than the minimum specified in paragraph (3), the Board must find that the extended validity period would promote the public interest. The Board may condition a validity

63		period	longer	than the	<u>e minim</u>	num specif	ied in para	graph (3) c	on adherence
64		to the	propose	d devel	opment	schedule	or phasing	plan, and	may impose
65		other to	ranspor	tation i	mprove	ment or m	itigation co	nditions if	<u>those</u>
66		conditi	ions are	needec	l to assı	ıre adequa	te levels of	<u>transporta</u>	tion service
67		during	the val	idity pe	riod.				
68	[(4)] <u>(6</u>	\mathfrak{I}	The Pl	anning	Board 1	may exten	d a determi	nation of a	dequate
69		public	facilitie	s for ar	n exclus	sively resid	dential subc	livision be	yond the
70		otherw	ise app	licable	validity	period if	the Departr	nent has is	sued building
71		permit	s for at	least 50) percen	nt of the en	tire subdiv	ision befor	e the
72		applica	ation for	extens	sion is f	iled.			
73		<u>(A)</u>	For an	adequa	ite publ	ic facilities	s approval ;	granted be	fore (effective
74			date) o	r on or	after (e	ffective da	ate plus 2 y	<u>ears), [</u> T]tl	he Board may
75			approv	e one o	r more	extensions	s if the aggi	regate leng	th of all
76			extensi	ions for	the dev	velopment	do not exc	eed:	
77		[[(A)]]	<u>(i)</u>	2 ½ ye	ears for	a subdivis	ion with an	original v	alidity period
78				of [5][[7]] <u>5</u> y	ears; or			
79		[[(B)]]	<u>(ii)</u>	6 years	s for a s	ubdivisior	n with an or	riginal vali	dity period
80				longer	than [5][[7]] <u>5</u> y	ears.		
81		<u>(B)</u>	For an	adequa	ite publ	ic facilities	s approval s	granted on	or after
82			(effect	ive date	e) but be	efore (effe	ctive date p	olus 2 year:	s), the Board
83			may ar	prove o	one or r	nore exten	sions if the	aggregate	length of all
84			extensi	ons for	the dev	velopment	do not exc	<u>eed:</u>	
85			<u>(i)</u>	2 ½ ye	ears for	<u>a subdivis</u>	<u>ion with an</u>	original v	alidity period
86				<u>of 7 ye</u>	ears; or				
87			<u>(ii)</u>	6 years	s for a s	ubdivision	n with an or	<u>riginal vali</u>	dity period
88				longer	than 7	years.			
89	[(5)] <u>(7</u>)							
90				*	*	*			
91	[(6)] <u>(8</u>)							
92				*	*	*			

93	[(7)]	<u>(9)</u>	Submittal and approval requirements for each extension of an
94		adequ	ate public facilities determination.
95		(A)	The applicant must submit a new development schedule or phasing
96		plan f	or completion of the project to the Board for approval;
97		(B)	the applicant must not propose any additional development beyond
98		the an	nount approved in the original determination of adequate public
99		facilit	ies;
100		(C)	the Board must not require any additional public improvements or
101		other	conditions beyond those required for the original preliminary plan;
102		(D)	the applicant must file an application for an extension with the
103		Board	before the [original] <u>previously established</u> validity period has
104		expire	ed; and
105		(E)	the Board may require the applicant to submit a traffic study to
106		help tl	he Board decide if the extension would promote the public interest.
107			
108			* * *
109	[[(8)]]](10)	
110			* * *
111	[[(9)]](11)	
112			* * *
113	[[(10)]](12)	The Planning Board may extend a determination of adequate
114		public	facilities once for up to 12 more years beyond the otherwise
115		applic	able validity period if the Board finds that:
116	·	(A)	the preliminary subdivision plan for the development required a
117		signifi	cant commitment of funds by the applicant, amounting to at least
118		\$2,500	0,000, to comply with specified infrastructure conditions;
119		(B)	the applicant has met or exceeded the required infrastructure
120		condit	ions during the original validity period <u>plus any extension granted</u>
121		under	paragraph (4); and

122		(C)	the applicant's satisfaction of the required infrastructure conditions
123		provid	les a significant and necessary public benefit to the County by
124		imple	menting infrastructure goals of an applicable master or sector plan.
125			* * *
126		[[(11)]](13)	
127			* * *
128		[[(12)]](14)	
129			* * *
130		[[(13)]](15)	
131			* * *
132 133	Sec. 2. Se	ction 50-35 is	amended as follows:
134	50-35.	Preli	minary subdivision plan – Approval procedure.
135	(h)	Duration of V	alidity Period and Actions Required to Validate the Plan.
136			* * *
137		(2) Durat	ion of Validity Period
138		(A)	An approved preliminary plan for a single phase project remains
139			valid for [[36]]60 months from its Initiation Date for any
140			preliminary plan that is approved on or after (effective date), but
141			before (effective date plus 2 years), and for 36 months from its
142			Initiation Date for any preliminary plan that is approved after
143			(effective date plus 2 years). Before the validity period expires,
144			the applicant must have secured all governmental approvals
145			necessary as condition precedent for plat recordation and final
146			record plat for all property delineated on the approved preliminary
147			plan must have been recorded among the County Land Records.
148		(B)	An approved preliminary plan for a multi-phased project remains
149			valid for the period of time established in the phasing schedule
150			approved by the Planning Board. Each phase must be assigned a

Approved:

validity period, the duration of which must be proposed by the applicant as part of an application for preliminary plan approval or an application for preliminary plan revision or amendment, reviewed by staff, and approved on a case-by-case basis by the Planning Board, after considering such factors as the size, type, and location of the project. The time allocated to a phase must not exceed [[36]]60 months from the initiation date associated with that particular phase for any preliminary plan that is approved on or after (effective date), but before (effective date plus 2 years), or 36 months from its Initiation Date for any preliminary plan that is approved after (effective date plus 2 years). The cumulative validity period of all phases may not exceed the APFO validity period which runs from the date of initial preliminary plan approval including any extensions granted under Section 50-20(c)([[5]]7). Validation of a preliminary plan for a phase occurs upon the recordation of a final record plat for all property delineated in that particular phase of the approved preliminary plan.

- (C) The applicant must propose a phasing schedule before the Planning Board acts on the preliminary plan or site plan, if applicable.
- (D) On (effective date), the validity period of any preliminary plan that was valid as of January 1, 2009, or is valid as of (effective date minus one day), is extended for 2 additional years. This provision also applies to each phase of a multi-phase plan that was valid as of January 1, 2009, or is valid as of (effective date minus one day).

179

180			
181	Isiah Leggett, County Executive	Date	
182	This is a correct copy of Council action.		
183			
184			
185	Linda M. Lauer, Clerk of the Council	Date	