

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

MCPB Item #7 2/17/11

DATE:

February 7, 2011

TO:

Montgomery County Planning Board

VIA:

Rose Krasnow, Chief, Area 1' Kary Cathy Conlon, Supervisor, DARC

FROM:

Greg Russ, Functional Planning & Policy

REVIEW TYPE:

Subdivision Regulation Amendment

PURPOSE:

Generally amend the Subdivision Regulations to extend the

standard validity period for a determination of adequate public facilities for certain developments and to extend the

standard preliminary plan validity period.

SUBDIVISION REGULATION AMENDMENT: 11-01

INTRODUCED BY:

Council President Ervin, Councilmember Floreen,

Council Vice President Berliner, and Councilmembers

Leventhal and Navarro

INTRODUCED DATE:

January 18, 2011

PLANNING BOARD REVIEW:

February 17, 2011

COUNCIL PUBLIC HEARING:

March 1, 2011; 1:30pm

STAFF RECOMMENDATION Staff recommends approval as introduced of SRA 11-01 to extend the validity period for Adequate Public Facilities (APF) and Preliminary Plans. SRA 11-01 would continue the previously adopted extension of the standard minimum validity period for a determination of adequate public facilities under the subdivision regulations from 5 to 7 years and the standard minimum validity period of a preliminary subdivision plan from 3 to 5 years. SRA 09-01 (Ordinance 16-35—see Attachment 2), adopted by the County Council on March 31, 2009, provided these same extensions with a sunset two years after the effective date of the legislation, which would end on April 1, 2011. The subject SRA extends the previously adopted legislation for two more years.

The subject SRA also grants an additional 2-year automatic extension of all previously granted preliminary plan and APF approvals that remain valid as of April 1, 2011. SRA 09-01 also provided these same extensions.

Staff is in favor of the proposed limited time-frame extension of the minimum validity periods for preliminary plans and determinations of adequate public facilities, and of the additional automatic 2-year extensions for currently valid plans. As with the extensions adopted by the County Council in 2009, this would

be an important measure for assisting developers and builders during the current economic recovery period. Given the difficulty of obtaining financing coupled with the market slowdown, developers with approved plans would otherwise have to apply for extensions for which they might not be found eligible (economic feasibility is not considered a valid reason) or they might have to build or pay for costly infrastructure or pay for a new traffic study to obtain an APF extension. The SRA allows developers and builders to avoid these extra steps and costs as the economy and lending market recover.

BACKGROUND/ANALYSIS

Requirements (absent the extensions previously approved under Ordinance No. 16-35)

In Montgomery County, proposed development is tested for the adequacy of public facilities that will serve that development. Typically, the testing occurs at the time of the Planning Board's review of a preliminary plan of subdivision. Chapter 50 of the Montgomery County Code addresses the testing for adequate public facilities, as does the Growth Policy resolution adopted by the County Council every two years.

When the Planning Board finds that public facilities are adequate to support a subdivision, the finding has a limited validity period. Prior to July 25, 1989, there were no time limits on a finding of adequate public facilities. From July 25, 1989 until October 19, 1999, the time limit was 12 years. Beginning October 19, 1999, the time limits were changed to no less than 5 and no more than 12 years, as determined by the Planning Board at the time of subdivision. Beginning August 1, 2007, the time limits were changed to no less than 5 and no more than 10 years, as determined by the Planning Board at the time of subdivision.

Section 20 of Chapter 50 contains the language setting the time limits of a finding for adequate public facilities by the Planning Board. It also contains the language that determines the conditions under which the Planning Board may grant an extension of the validity period for a finding of adequate public facilities. All building permits for a development must be issued within these time limits, or a new test for adequate public facilities must be done.

Chapter 50 also establishes time limits for the validity of the Planning Board's approval of a preliminary plan of subdivision. Prior to the temporary extension granted under Ordinance No. 16-35, an approved preliminary plan for a single phase project remained valid for 3 years from its Initiation Date, which is 30 days from the date of mailing of the Planning Board's written opinion. Before the validity period expired, a final record plat for all property delineated on the approved preliminary plan must have been recorded among the County Land Records. An approved preliminary plan for a multi-phase project remained valid for the period of time established in a phasing schedule approved by the

Planning Board. The validity period for each phase could not exceed 3 years from the Initiation Date of the preliminary plan. Validation of a preliminary plan for a phase occurs upon the recordation of a final record plat for all property delineated in that particular phase of the approved preliminary plan.

Section 35 of Chapter 50 contains the language setting the time limits for the preliminary plan validity period. It also contains the language that determines the conditions under which the Planning Board may grant an extension of the preliminary plan validity.

As introduced in SRA 11-01 (consistent with the language as adopted in Ordinance No. 16-35), the proposed legislation would extend the standard validity period for a determination of adequate public facilities under the subdivision regulations from a minimum of 5 years and maximum of 10, to 7 and 12 years, respectively. The standard validity period of a preliminary subdivision plan would be extended from 3 to 5 years. Finally, the validity periods for all currently approved and valid preliminary subdivision plans and APF determinations would be automatically extended by 2 years. Staff supports these proposed measures during the current economic recovery period.

CC/GR

Attachments

- 1. Proposed SRA No. 11-01
- 2. Ordinance No. 16-35

ATTACHMENT 1

Ordinance No.
Subdivision Regulation Amend. No. 11-01
Concerning: Adequate Public Facilities -
Preliminary Subdivision Plans -
Validity Period
Revised: <u>01/07/11</u> Draft No. <u>2</u>
Introduced: January 18, 2011
Public Hearing:
Adopted:
Effective:

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PORTION OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT WITHIN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President Ervin, Councilmember Floreen, Council Vice President Berliner, and Councilmembers Leventhal and Navarro

AN AMENDMENT to:

- (1) extend the validity period for a determination of adequate public facilities for certain developments;
- (2) extend the validity period for certain preliminary subdivision plans; and
- (3) otherwise revise the validity period for certain developments.

By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 50, Subdivision of Land Sections 50-20 and 50-35

Boldface *Heading or defined term.*

<u>Underlining</u>
Added to existing law by original bill.
[Single boldface brackets]

Added to existing law by original bill.

Deleted from existing law by original bill.

<u>Double underlining</u>

Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

ORDINANCE

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council for that portion of the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following Ordinance:

Sec. 1. Section 50-20 and Section 50-35 are amended as follows: 1 50-20. Limits on issuance of building permits. 2 3 * 4 (c) (A) A determination of adequate public facilities made under (3) 5 this Chapter is timely and remains valid: 6 (i) for 12 years after the preliminary plan is approved 7 8 for any plan approved on or after July 25, 1989, but before October 19, 1999; 9 for no less than 5 and no more than 12 years after (ii) 10 the preliminary plan is approved, as determined by 11 the Planning Board at the time of approval, for any 12 . 13 plan approved on or after October 19, 1999, but before August 1, 2007; 14 (iii) for no less than 7 and no more than 12 years after 15 the preliminary plan is approved, as determined by 16 the Planning Board at the time of approval, for any 17 plan approved on or after April 1, 2009, but before 18 April 1, [2011] 2013; and 19 for no less than 5 and no more than 10 years after 20 (iv) the preliminary plan is approved, as determined by 21 22 the Board at the time of approval, for any plan

23				approved	on or	after Aug	ust 1, 200°	7, and be	efore
24				April 1, 2	.009, or	on or afte	r April 1, [2011] <u>20</u> 1	<u>13</u> .
25				*	*	*			
26		(4) The	e Planni	ng Board	may ex	tend a de	termination	n of adeq	_l uate
27		pul	olic fac	ilities for	an ex	clusively	residential	l subdivi	ision
28		bey	yond th	ne otherwi	se app	plicable	validity pe	eriod if	the
29		De	partmen	t has issued	d buildi	ing permit	s for at lea	ast 50 per	cent
30		of	the entir	e subdivisi	on befo	ore the app	olication fo	r extension	on is
31		file	d. The	Board ma	y appro	ove one of	r more exte	ensions if	f the
32		agg	gregate 1	length of a	ll exten	sions for	the develo	pment do) not
33		exc	ceed:						
34		(A)) for a	preliminar	ry plan	approved	before Api	ril 1, 200	9, or
35			on o	r after Apri	11, [20]	11] <u>2013</u> :			
36			(i)	2½ years	for a su	ıbdivision	with an or	iginal val	idity
37				period of	5 years	s; or			
38			(ii)	6 years f	or a su	bdivision	with an ori	iginal val	idity
39				period los	nger tha	an 5 years;	and		
40		(B)) for a	preliminar	y plan a	approved o	on or after	April 1, 2	.009,
41			and 1	before Apri	1 1, [20	11] <u>2013</u> :			
42			(i)	2½ years	for a su	ubdivision	with an or	iginal val	idity
43				period of	'7 years	s; or			
44			(ii)	6 years f	or a su	bdivision	with an or	iginal val	idity
45				period lo	nger tha	an 7 years.			
46				*	*	*			
47	50-35.	Prelimin	ary sub	division pla	ıns-App	proval pro	cedure.		
48				*	*	*			

* * *

- (2) Duration of Validity Period.

(A) An approved preliminary plan for a single phase project remains valid for 60 months after its Initiation Date for any preliminary plan approved on or after April 1, 2009, but before April 1, [2011] 2013, and for 36 months after its Initiation Date for any preliminary plan approved on or after April 1, [2011] 2013. Before the validity period expires, the applicant must have secured all government approvals necessary to record a plat, and a final record plat for all property delineated on the approved preliminary

plan must have been recorded in the County land records.

(B) An approved preliminary plan for a multi-phase project remains valid for the period of time allowed in the phasing schedule approved by the Planning Board. [Each phase must be assigned] The Planning Board must assign each phase a validity period on a case-by-case basis, the duration of which [must be proposed by] the applicant must propose as part of an application for preliminary plan approval, [or for preliminary plan] revision, or amendment, [and approved on a case-by-case basis by the Planning Board,] after considering such factors as the size, type, and location of the project. The time allocated to any phase must not exceed 60 months after the initiation date for that particular phase for any preliminary plan approved on or

after April 1, 2009, but before April 1, [2011] 2013, and 36 months after the initiation date for that particular phase for any preliminary plan approved on or after April 1, [2011] 2013. The cumulative validity period of all phases must not exceed the APFO validity period which [runs from] begins on the date of the initial preliminary plan approval, including any extension granted under Section 50-20(c)(5). A preliminary plan for a phase is validated when a final record plat for all property delineated in that phase of the approved preliminary plan is recorded in the County land records.

* * *

Sec. 2. Effective Date. This amendment takes effect on April 1, 2011.

Sec. 3. Automatic Extensions.

- (a) Notwithstanding any provision of Section 50-20(c) to the contrary, the validity period of any determination of adequate public facilities that was valid on March 31, 2009, or for which a timely application for an extension of the validity period was pending on March 31, 2009, is automatically extended for 4 years after the date when the validity period would otherwise have expired. This 4-year extension includes any extension granted automatically by Ordinance 16-35 and must be treated for all purposes as part of the validity period that was extended.
- (b) Notwithstanding any provision of Section 50-35(h) to the contrary, the validity period of any preliminary subdivision plan that was valid on March 31, 2009, or for which a timely application for an extension of the validity period was pending on March 31, 2009, including any

101		separate phase of a multi-ph	<u>iase plan, is automat</u>	ically extended for 4
102		years after the date when t	he validity period w	vould otherwise have
103		expired. This 4-year ext	ension includes an	y extension granted
104		automatically by Ordinance	16-35 and must be tr	eated for all purposes
105		as part of the validity period t	hat was extended.	
106	<u>(c)</u>	Notwithstanding any provision	on of Section 50-20(c) to the contrary, the
107		validity period of any determ	mination of adequate	public facilities that
108		was valid on March 31, 201	1, or for which a tim	ely application for an
109		extension of the validity per	riod was pending or	March 31, 2011, is
110		automatically extended for	2 years after the da	te when the validity
111		period would otherwise have	e expired. This 2-ye	ar extension must be
112		treated for all purposes as par	t of the validity period	d that was extended.
113	<u>(b)</u>	Notwithstanding any provision	on of Section 50-35(1	n) to the contrary, the
114		validity period of any prelin	ninary subdivision pl	an that was valid on
115		March 31, 2011, or for which	th a timely application	n for an extension of
116		the validity period was pen	ding on March 31,	2011, including any
117		separate phase of a multi-ph	nase plan, is automat	ically extended for 2
118		years after the date when t	he validity period w	vould otherwise have
119		expired. This 2-year extensi	on must be treated for	or all purposes as part
120		of the validity period that was	s extended.	
121	Approved:			
122				
123	Isiah Legger	tt, County Executive	Date	:
124	This is a con	rect copy of Council action.		
125				
126	Linda M. La	nuer, Clerk of the Council	Date	

CORRECTED COPY

Ordinance No. 16-35
Subdivision Regulation Amend. No. 09-01
Concerning: Adequate Public Facilities-
Preliminary subdivision plans -
Validity Period
Revised: <u>3-25-09</u> Draft No. <u>4</u>
Introduced: February 10, 2009
Public Hearing: March 17, 2009
Adopted: March 31, 2009
Effective: April 1, 2009

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PORTION OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT WITHIN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President at the Request of the County Executive

AN AMENDMENT to:

- (1) extend the validity period for a determination of adequate public facilities for certain developments;
- (2) extend the validity period for certain preliminary subdivision plans; and [[(2)]] (3) otherwise revise the validity period for certain developments.

By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 50, Subdivision of Land [[Section]] <u>Sections</u> 50-20 <u>and 50-35</u>

Boldface	Heading or defined term.
Underlining	Added to existing law by original bill.
[Single boldface brackets]	Deleted from existing law by original bill.
Double underlining	Added by amendment.
[[Double boldface brackets]]	Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.
* * *	Existing law unaffected by bill.

Clerk's Note: Typographical error corrected on page 3, line 18.

OPINION

Subdivision Regulation Amendment 09-01 was introduced on February 10, 2009, at the request of the County Executive to temporarily extend the validity period of a finding of adequate public facilities and an approval of a preliminary plan of subdivision by the Planning Board.

The Council held a public hearing on March 17, 2009, to receive testimony concerning the proposed amendment. The Council's Planning, Housing, and Economic Development Committee considered this SRA at a worksession on March 23, 2009, and recommended that it be enacted with further amendments.

The District Council reviewed Subdivision Regulation Amendment 09-01 at a worksession held on March 31, 2009, and enacted the Amendment as recommended by the Planning, Housing and Economic Development Committee.

For these reasons and because to approve this Amendment will assist in the coordinated, comprehensive, adjusted, and systematic development of the Maryland-Washington Regional District located in Montgomery County, Subdivision Regulation Amendment No. 09-01 will be approved.

ORDINANCE

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council for that portion of the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following Ordinance:

Sec. 1. Section 50-20 [[is]] and Section 50-35 are amended as follows: 1 Limits on issuance of building permits. 50-20. 2 3 (c) 4 A determination of adequate public facilities made under (A) (3) 5 this Chapter is timely and remains valid: 6 [[(A)]] (i) [[For]] for 12 years after the preliminary plan 7 is approved for any plan approved on or after July 8 25, 1989, but before October 19, 1999; 9

10		[[(B)]	[] (ii) [[For]] for no less than [5] [[7]] 5 and no more
11		·	than 12 years after the preliminary plan is
12			approved, as determined by the Planning Board at
13			the time of approval, for any plan approved on or
14			after October 19, 1999, but before August 1, 2007;
15			[[and]]
16		<u>(iii)</u>	for no less than 7 and no more than 12 years after
17			the preliminary plan is approved, as determined by
18			the Planning Board at the time of approval, for any
19			plan approved on or after April 1, 2009, but before
20			April 1, 2011; and
21		[[(C)]] $\underline{\text{(iv)}}$ [[For]] $\underline{\text{for}}$ no less than [5] [[7]] $\underline{5}$ and no
22			more than 10 years after the preliminary plan is
23			approved, as determined by the Board at the time
24			of approval, for any plan approved on or after
25		•	August 1, 2007, and before April 1, 2009, or on or
26			after April 1, 2011. [[If an applicant requests a
27	·		validity period that is longer than [5] 7 years, the
28			applicant must submit a development schedule or
29			phasing plan for completion of the project to the
30			Board for its approval. At a minimum, the
31			proposed development schedule or phasing plan
32			must show the minimum percentage of the project
33			that the applicant expects to complete in the first
34			[5] 7 years after the preliminary plan is approved

To allow a validity period longer than [5] 7 years, the Board must find that the extended validity period would promote the public interest. The Board may condition a validity period longer than [5] 7 years on adherence to the proposed development schedule or phasing plan, and may impose other transportation improvement or mitigation conditions if those conditions are needed to assure adequate levels of transportation service during the validity period.]]

60

If an applicant requests a validity period that is longer (B) than the minimum specified in this paragraph, the applicant must submit a development schedule or phasing plan for completion of the project to the Board for its approval. At a minimum, the proposed development schedule or phasing plan must show the minimum percentage of the project that the applicant expects to complete in the first 5 or 7 years, as appropriate, after the preliminary plan is approved. To allow a validity period longer than the minimum specified in this paragraph, the Board must find that the extended validity period would promote the public interest. The Board may condition a validity period longer than the minimum specified in this paragraph on adherence to the proposed development schedule or phasing plan, and may impose other transportation improvement or mitigation conditions if

61	those conditions are needed to assure adequate levels of
62	transportation service during the validity period.
63	[[(D)]] (3A) A determination of adequate public facilities made under
64	this Chapter is timely and remains valid:
65	* * *
66	(ii) The Board must grant an application to extend the
67	validity period established under [[(D)(i) of]] this
68	[[subsection]] paragraph for an additional 5 years
69	if:
70	* * *
71	[For any preliminary plan that was approved between January
72	1, 2004 and (effective date), the validity period is extended 2
73	years.]]
74	(4) The Planning Board may extend a determination of adequate
75	public facilities for an exclusively residential subdivision
76	beyond the otherwise applicable validity period if the
77	Department has issued building permits for at least 50 percent
78	of the entire subdivision before the application for extension is
79	filed. The Board may approve one or more extensions if the
80	aggregate length of all extensions for the development do not
81	exceed:
82	(A) for a preliminary plan approved before April 1, 2009, or
83	on or after April 1, 2011:
84	(i) 2½ years for a subdivision with an original validity
85	period of [5] [[7]] <u>5</u> years; or

86	[[(B)]] (ii) 6 years for a subdivision with an original
87	validity period longer than [5] [[7]] 5 years; and
88	(B) for a preliminary plan approved on or after April 1, 2009,
89	and before April 1, 2011:
90	(i) 2½ years for a subdivision with an original validity
91	period of 7 years; or
92	(ii) 6 years for a subdivision with an original validity
93	period longer than 7 years.
94	* * *
95	(7) [[Submittal and approval requirements for]] For each extension
96	of an adequate public facilities determination[[.]]:
97	(A) [[The]] the applicant must submit a new development
98	schedule or phasing plan for completion of the project to
99	the Board for approval;
100	(B) the applicant must not propose any additional development
101	beyond the amount approved in the original determination
102	[[of adequate public facilities]];
103	(C) the Board must not require any additional public
104	improvements or other conditions beyond those required
105	for the original preliminary plan;
106	(D) the applicant must file an application for an extension with
107	the Board before the [[original]] applicable validity period
108	has expired; and

109		(E) the Board may require the applicant to submit a traffic
110		study to help the Board decide if the extension would
111		promote the public interest.
112		* * *
113	50-35.	Preliminary subdivision plans-Approval procedure.
114		* * *
115	(h)	Duration of Validity Period and Actions Required to Validate the Plan.
116		* * *
117		(2) Duration of Validity Period.
118		(A) An approved preliminary plan for a single phase project
119		remains valid for [[36]] 60 months [[from]] after its
120		Initiation Date for any preliminary plan approved on or
121		after April 1, 2009, but before April 1, 2011, and for 36
122		months after its Initiation Date for any preliminary plan
123		approved on or after April 1, 2011. Before the validity
124		period expires, the applicant must have secured all
125		[[governmental]] government approvals necessary [[as
126		condition precedent for plat recordation]] to record a plat,
127		and a final record plat for all property delineated on the
128		approved preliminary plan must have been recorded
129		[[among]] in the County land records.
130		(B) An approved preliminary plan for a multi-phase project
131		remains valid for the period of time [[established]] allowed
132		in the phasing schedule approved by the Planning Board.
133		Each phase must be assigned a validity period, the duration
124		of which must be proposed by the applicant as part of an

135	
136	
137	
138	
139	
140	
141	
142	
143	
144	
145	
146	
147	
148	
149	
150	
151	
152	
153	
154	

application for preliminary plan approval or application]] for preliminary plan revision or amendment, [[reviewed by staff,]] and approved on a case-by-case basis by the Planning Board, after considering such factors as the size, type, and location of the project. The time allocated to [[a]] any phase must not exceed [[36]] 60 months [[from]] after the initiation date [[associated with]] for that particular phase for any preliminary plan approved on or after April 1, 2009, but before April 1, 2011, and 36 months after the initiation date for that particular phase for any preliminary plan approved on or after April 1, 2011. The cumulative validity period of all phases [[may]] must not exceed the APFO validity period which runs from the date of the initial preliminary plan approval, including any [[extensions]] extension granted under Section 50-20(c)(5). [[Validation of a]] A preliminary plan for a phase [[occurs upon the recordation of] is validated when a final record plat for all property delineated in that [[particular]] phase of the approved preliminary plan is recorded in the County land records.

155

156

157

158

159

160

Sec. 2. Effective Date. This amendment takes effect on April 1, 2009.

Sec. 3. Automatic Extensions.

(a) Notwithstanding any provision of Section 50-20(c) to the contrary, the validity period of any determination of adequate public facilities that was valid on March 31, 2009, or for which a timely application for an

ORDINANCE No.: 16-35

161	extension of the validity period was pending on March 31, 2009, is
162	automatically extended for 2 years after the date when the validity
163	period would otherwise have expired. This 2-year extension must be
164	treated for all purposes as part of the validity period that was extended.
165	(b) Notwithstanding any provision of Section 50-35(h) to the contrary, the
166	validity period of any preliminary subdivision plan that was valid on
167	March 31, 2009, or for which a timely application for an extension of
168	the validity period was pending on March 31, 2009, including any
169	separate phase of a multi-phase plan, is automatically extended for 2
170	years after the date when the validity period would otherwise have
171	expired. This 2-year extension must be treated for all purposes as part
172	of the validity period that was extended.
173	Approved:
174	
175	Spiller April 1, 2009
176	Isiah Leggett, County Executive Date
177	This is a correct copy of Council action.
178	
179	Landa Mr. Lauer april 2,2009
180	Linda M. Lauer, Clerk of the Council Date