

MCPB Item No. Date: 1/19/12

Preliminary Plan Amendment No. 11991075A - Strathmore at Bel Pre

description

Patrick Butler, Area 2 Division, Senior Planner, <u>patrick.butler@montgomeryplanning.org</u>, 301-495-4561 Mary Jo Kishter, Area 3 Division, Senior Planner, <u>maryjo.kishter@montgomeryplanning.org</u>, 301-495-4701 Khalid Afzal, Planner Supervisor, Area 2 Division, <u>khalid.afzal@montgomeryplanning.org</u>, 301-495-4650 Glenn Kreger, Acting Chief, Area 2 Division, <u>glenn.kreger@montgomeryplanning.org</u>, 301-495-4653

Completed: 1/6/12

Southwest corner of the intersection of Fargrove Lane and Layhill Road (MD 182);

- Zoned R-90; 4.64 acres;
- 1989 Master Plan for the Communities of Kensington-Wheaton;
- Modification to existing Category I Forest Conservation Easement; 0.16 acres of mitigation area(s) to be removed;
- Applicant Wat Thai Washington DC Sompandh Wanant;
- Filing date: 10/28/2010

summary

Staff recommends approval with conditions.

The Applicant requests to amend the Forest Conservation Plan that was approved as part of the Preliminary Plan 119910750 on January 16, 1992. Specifically, the applicant requests to modify the existing Category I Forest Conservation Easement including the removal of 0.16 acres of mitigation area(s). Additional modifications include demolition of the existing one-level dormitory building and construction of a new two-story facility, realignment of the on-site parking areas and replacement of the on-site stormwater management facilities to coincide with new State Regulations, and adjustment of Federal Emergency Management Administration (FEMA) 100-Year Floodplain to reflect latest FEMA Maps and site topography.

Although this is a request to modify the Forest Conservation Plan only, the proposed dormitory was reviewed for conformance with the R-90 Zone. The Subject Property is a recorded lot and the use is a place of worship. Therefore, the Adequate Public Facilities test does not apply.

PRELIMINARY PLAN RECOMMENDATION AND CONDITIONS

Approval of Preliminary Plan Amendment 11991075A pursuant to Chapter 50 of the Montgomery County Subdivision Regulations, subject to the following conditions:

- The applicant must comply with the conditions of approval for the Final Forest Conservation Plan. The applicant must satisfy all conditions prior to the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (MCDPS) issuance of sediment and erosion control permits, as appropriate.
- 2. Inspections must occur consistent with Section 22A.00.01.10 of the Forest Conservation Regulations.
- 3. Final Sediment Control Plan must be consistent with final limits of disturbance as approved by the M-NCPPC staff.
- 4. The Applicant must place a Category I conservation easement over all areas of forest conservation and environmental buffers that lie outside MCDPS and the proposed limits of disturbance, as shown on the approved Final Forest Conservation Plan. Conservation easements must be shown on record plats.
- 5. The Category I Conservation Easements must be recorded by deed in the land records 90 days from the approval of the Preliminary Plan Resolution.
- 6. Certificates of Compliance for off-site mitigation bank must be approved by the M-NCPPC General Counsel Office prior to the start of clearing and grading.
- 7. Compliance with all tree protection and tree save measures shown on the approved Final Forest Conservation Plan.
- 8. Tree save measures not specified on the Final Forest Conservation Plan may be required by the M-NCPPC forest conservation inspector.
- 9. Applicant must install permanent Category I Forest Conservation Easement signage along the perimeter of the conservation easements.

SITE DESCRIPTION

Site Vicinity

The R-90 zoned Property (outlined in red) is located in the Kensington-Wheaton Master Plan area. Fargrove Lane borders the Subject Property to the north, and Layhill Road on the east. The surrounding area is zoned R-200 and R-90, and the uses are predominantly residential. The Barrie School abuts the property on the north and west.



Vicinity Map

Site Analysis

The Subject Property is home to Wat Thai Washington, DC; a Theravada Buddhist temple. The 4.64-acre site consists of an existing temple, a dormitory building, two parking lots, and stormwater management features. The property is not within a Special Protection Area. It is located in the Northwest Branch watershed, which is classified by the State of Maryland as Use IV waters. The 2003 update of the "Countywide Stream Protection Strategy" (CSPS) (Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection) identifies this part of Northwest Branch as having "poor" water quality. The property is gently sloping in a southerly direction towards a stream that runs parallel and adjacent to the southern property line. The property contains two wetland areas, 100-year floodplain, and associated environmental buffer. One of the parking lots is located within the environmental buffer. There are no steep slopes or highly erodible soils on the property.

There are 2.19 acres of existing forest located in the southern portion of the property, within the environmental buffer. This forest is protected in a conservation easement as part of the original plan approval. There is one 0.01-acre area of isolated tree cover that is also protected in a conservation easement. There are five (5) trees located on or immediately adjacent to the site that are 30 inches and greater in diameter at breast height (DBH).



Site Aerial View

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Proposal

The purpose of the Preliminary Plan Amendment is to modify the existing Category I Forest Conservation Easement including 0.16 acres of mitigation area(s) to be removed. Additional modifications include demolition of the existing one-level dormitory building and construction of a new two-story facility to house the Buddhist Monks that reside at this property, realignment of the on-site parking areas and replacement of the on-site SWM facilities to comply with new State Regulations, and adjustment of FEMA 100-Year Floodplain to reflect latest FEMA Maps and site topography.



Preliminary Plan

Community Outreach

The Applicant has complied with all submittal and noticing requirements, and staff has not received correspondence from any community groups as of the date of this report.

Transportation

The Subject Property is a recorded lot, and the use is classified as a place of worship. Pursuant to Chapter 50-35(k)(6) the use is exempt from the Adequate Public Facilities test.

Environment

Background

The Final Forest Conservation Plan for the 4.64-acre property was approved in December 1993 as part of the approval of Preliminary Plan 119910750. The approval included a proposed temple building and a parking lot in the northern portion of the site. The plan satisfied the forest conservation requirements through onsite forest retention, tree save, and reforestation. These areas were protected in Category I conservation easements.

In 2009, the property was the subject of a forest conservation violation. M-NCPPC inspectors noted encroachments in the conservation easements including maintaining the understory in a condition of planted and mowed grass, and the existence of several sheds and other outbuildings. The violation was rectified with the removal of the structures, installation of permanent signs identifying the boundaries of the easements, and the applicant ceased mowing and maintenance practices within the conservation

easements. In 2010, the applicant submitted the current application to amend the approved Preliminary Plan and associated Final Forest Conservation Plan to remove an existing dormitory building, construct a new two-story dormitory building, realign the parking lot, upgrade the stormwater management to comply with current regulations and replace non-functioning measures, and reconfigure the forest conservation easements. This amendment also includes a revision to the limits of the 100-year floodplain as updated by the Federal Emergency Management Administration (FEMA) in September 2006.

Forest Conservation and Environmental Guidelines

Natural Resource Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation (NRI/FSD)

This site is subject to the County Forest Conservation Law. NRI/FSD #419940320 was approved on September 14, 1993. The NRI/FSD identified the environmental constraints and forest resources on the subject property at the time it was approved.

Final Forest Conservation Plan and Environmental Guidelines

As required by the County Forest Conservation Law (Section 22A of the County code), an amended Final Forest Conservation Plan (FCP – Attachment A) for the project was submitted with the proposed Preliminary Plan amendment (Attachment B). The amended plans propose to demolish the existing one-story dormitory building and construct a new, two-story dormitory. The parking lots will be realigned and the onsite stormwater management facilities will be replaced to meet the requirements of the current stormwater management regulations. Additionally, the plan depicts a revised 100-year floodplain, as updated by FEMA (September 2006), and modifications to the existing conservation easements.

The original forest conservation plan approval included 0.12 acres of forest clearing for the construction of a parking lot in the northwest corner of the property, and a 0.38 acre forest planting requirement that was satisfied on site through forest planting and tree save. A total of 2.19 acres of forest and 0.01 acres of tree cover is currently protected in an onsite Category I conservation easement as part of the previous approval.

The amended forest conservation plan proposes to protect 2.11 acres of the 2.19 acres of onsite forest currently in a Category I conservation easement. This plan proposes to remove 0.09 acres of existing forest conservation easement that comprises 0.08 acres of forest and 0.01 acres of tree cover. The removal of the easement will allow for construction of the stormwater management facilities needed for the new building construction, and to comply with the current stormwater management regulations. Per the Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (DPS), one of the existing infiltration trenches is not functioning and the revised stormwater management plan will improve these conditions. Additionally, the plan proposes to remove 1,435 square feet of existing impervious area from within the environmental buffer, and add 1,067 square feet of impervious area proposed to be removed includes portions of the parking lot and driveway connection between the two existing parking lots. The additional impervious area will replace some of the removed impervious area for the parking lot, resulting in a realigned, more efficient parking configuration. The applicant proposes to mitigate for the removal of the conservation easement areas and for the additional impervious area within the buffer as follows:

• 0.08 acres existing forest in conservation easement removed

- 0.01 acres tree cover credit in conservation easement removed
- 0.02 acres of impervious surface proposed within environmental buffer
- Total Requirement to be mitigated = 0.11 acres

The applicant proposes to mitigate for the impact on the 0.11 acres through a forest bank mechanism. The applicant will either plant 0.22 acres of forest at an approved forest bank (at a two-to-one ratio), or purchase credits for 0.44 acres of existing forest at an approved forest bank (at a four-to-one ratio).

The original approved plan allowed for encroachment in the environmental buffer for the parking lot and driveway. The proposed amendment will result in an overall reduction in impervious area within the buffer and provide updated stormwater management measures. The location of the existing development dictates the locations of the stormwater management facilities, resulting in unavoidable impacts to the environmental buffer and conservation easements. The majority of the forest conservation requirement will remain onsite with mitigation provided at an approved forest bank.

Staff finds that, subject to staff's recommended conditions of approval, the proposed project is in compliance with the Montgomery County Environmental Guidelines and the Forest Conservation Law.

Forest Conservation Variance

Section 22A-12(b) (3) of Montgomery County Forest Conservation Law provides criteria that identify certain individual trees as high priority for retention and protection. The law requires no impact to trees that: measure 30 inches or greater, DBH; are part of an historic site or designated with an historic structure; are designated as national, State, or County champion trees; are at least 75 percent of the diameter of the current State champion tree of that species; or trees, shrubs, or plants that are designated as Federal or State rare, threatened, or endangered species. Any impact to these trees, including removal of the subject tree or disturbance within the tree's Critical Root Zone (CRZ) requires a variance. A variance application must provide certain written information in support of the required findings in accordance with Section 22A-21 of the County Forest Conservation Law.

The applicant submitted a variance request on September 24, 2010 for the impacts/removal of trees with the proposed layout, which proposes to impact, but not remove, two (2) trees that are considered high priority for retention under Section 22A-12(b) (3) of the County Forest Conservation Law. Neither of the trees subject to the variance provision will be removed.

Trees to be affected but retained:

Tree	Species	DBH	CRZ	Status
Number		(Inches)	Impact	
5	Tuliptree	30	< 1%	Good condition; proposed building
14	Red Maple	32	22%	Fair/Poor condition; removal of existing pavement

The applicant has offered the following justification of the variance request:

(1) Describe the special conditions peculiar to the property which would cause the unwarranted hardship;

Applicant's Response (MHG, September 24, 2010):- "The subject property consists of one parcel with a total tract of 4.64 acres along Layhill Road. There is existing forest onsite that is in a forest conservation

easement per a previously approved forest conservation plan that takes up over 2 acres of the site. The forest conservation easement includes stream valley buffer, wetlands, floodplains, as well as other specimen trees to be protected. The property currently is developed with two buildings one of which is to be removed and replaced with a new building along with additional stormwater facility requirements. The two trees to be impacted will have minimal impacts to them. Tree #5 will have less than 1% of its CRZ impacted and will not be adversely affected. The limit of disturbance covers 22% of the CRZ of tree #14 but much of this impact is to remove existing pavement from its root zone. By removing the pavement carefully and avoiding excavating into its root zone, the tree will actually be benefiting from the disturbance. The tree is in Fair/poor condition and will require additional stress reduction methods and should be monitored as shown on the forest conservation plan. Regardless of the impacts from the proposed construction, this tree would need to be monitored and possibly treated to improve its health. Overall, the proposed impacts will not adversely affect these trees and changing the proposed construction to reduce the impacts would be an unwarranted hardship."

The developable area of the property is limited by the existing development and the extensive environmental constraints including environmental buffer, wetlands, 100-year floodplain, and the conservation easements on the property. The Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services is requiring additional stormwater management facilities to comply with the current regulations and to replace existing, inadequate measures onsite. The applicant proposes to remove the existing, one-story dormitory building and construct a two-story dormitory building. While the new building will have a smaller footprint than the existing building, the necessary stormwater management features require additional land area. None of the trees subject to the variance provision will be removed by the proposed development. Two trees will be minimally impacted. Tree #5, located along Fargrove Lane and the northern property boundary, will have less than 1% of its Critical Root Zone (CRZ) impacted for construction of the new dormitory building. Tree #14, located within the existing forest conservation easement and environmental buffer, is in fair/poor condition, and will be impacted by the removal of some existing asphalt due to the conversion of a driveway connecting the two parking lots into a walking path. The removal of the existing asphalt will result in improved conditions for this tree. Staff has reviewed this application and based on the existing conditions of the property, staff agrees that there is an unwarranted hardship.

(2) Describe how enforcement of these rules will deprive the landowner of rights commonly enjoyed by others in similar areas;

Response (MHG, September 24, 2010) - "The subject property is adjacent to a private school as well as residential communities. The proposed use is compatible with the surrounding properties. The inability to affect the subject trees would limit the development of the property. This creates a significant disadvantage for the applicant and deprives the applicant of the rights enjoyed by the neighboring and/or similar properties not subject to this approval process."

The proposed impacts to the subject trees are minimal and will likely result in an improvement in the existing condition of one of the trees, and the other tree will receive negligible impact from the development. Staff agrees that enforcing the rules of the variance provision would deprive the landowner of rights commonly enjoyed by others.

(3) Verify that State water quality standards will not be violated or that a measurable degradation in water quality will not occur as a result of the granting of the variance;

Response (MHG, September 24, 2010) - "A Stormwater Management (SWM) Concept was approved for the property on August 17th, 2010 showing that the development meets the state water quality standards."

The applicant has an approved stormwater management concept plan from DPS that incorporates Environmental Site Design (ESD). One of the trees to be impacted is located along Fargrove Lane and not near any environmentally sensitive features. This tree will have less than one percent of its critical root zone impacted by the development. The other tree is located within the environmental buffer; however, the impact will occur outside of the buffer and is due to the removal of pavement that currently exists within the CRZ. Additionally, the developed site currently does not have adequate stormwater management, and the resulting development includes a stormwater management plan that meets the current regulations. Staff agrees that State water quality standards will not be violated or that a measurable degradation in water quality will not occur.

(4) *Provide any other information appropriate to support the request.*

Response (MHG, September 24, 2010) - "Copies of the Final Forest Conservation Plan and Stormwater Management Concept plan as well as the SWM concept approval letter have been provided as part of this variance request. The proposed impact of the two specimen trees, a 30" Tulip Poplar and a 32" Red Maple are indicated on the plan."

Section 22A-21 of the County Forest Conservation Law sets forth the findings that must be made by the Planning Board or Planning Director, as appropriate, in order for a variance to be granted. Staff has made the following determinations based on the required findings that granting of the requested variance:

1. Will not confer on the applicant a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants.

One of the trees (#5) and its critical root zone lies within the developable area of the site, along Fargrove Lane. This tree will receive an impact that is less than one percent of its critical root zone. The other tree (#14) will be impacted by the removal of existing pavement due to the conversion of an existing driveway to a walking path. The walking path will provide a connection between an existing parking lot and the proposed dormitory building and the other existing parking lot. Staff has determined that the impacts to the trees subject to the variance requirement cannot be avoided, and in one case, may be beneficial to the tree. Therefore, staff believes that the granting of this variance is not a special privilege that would be denied to other applicants.

2. Is not based on conditions or circumstances which are the result of the actions by the applicant.

The requested variance is not based on conditions or circumstances which are the result of actions by the applicant. The requested variance is based upon existing site conditions.

3. Is not based on a condition relating to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming, on a neighboring property.

The requested variance is a result of the proposed site design and layout on the subject property and not as a result of land or building use on a neighboring property.

4. Will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality.

DPS found the stormwater management concept for the proposed project to be acceptable and conditionally approved it on August 17, 2010. The granting of this variance request will not result in the removal of any trees on the property. The variance request does include impacts to the critical root zone of one tree located within the environmental buffer; however, the impacts are due to the removal of existing pavement and should ultimately result in a benefit. Therefore, the project will not violate State water quality standards or cause measurable degradation in water quality.

Mitigation for Trees Subject to the Variance Provisions: There are no trees proposed for removal in this variance request. There is some disturbance within the critical root zones of two trees, but they will receive adequate tree protection measures. No mitigation is recommended for trees impacted but retained.

County Arborist's Recommendation on the Variance: In accordance with Montgomery County Code Section 22A-21(c), the Planning Department is required to refer a copy of the variance request to the County Arborist in the Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection for a recommendation prior to acting on the request. The staff forwarded the request to the County Arborist on December 21, 2010. On January 28, 2011, the County Arborist issued a letter stating that she would not be providing any recommendations on the variance request (Attachment C).

Variance Recommendation - Staff recommends that the variance be granted.

Compliance with Subdivision Regulations and Zoning Ordinance

Staff has reviewed the application for compliance with Chapter 50 of the Montgomery County Code, the Subdivision Regulations. The application meets the requirements and standards of all applicable sections. The proposed lot size, width, shape, and orientation are appropriate for the subdivision, and the height of the proposed two-story structure is less than the maximum allowed in the zone. Staff has also reviewed the proposed subdivision for compliance with the requirements of the R-90 Zone as specified in Chapter 59 of the Montgomery County Code, the Zoning Ordinance, for compliance with the requirements of the R-90 Zone. The proposed development meets all dimensional requirements in that zone. Finally, the application has been reviewed by other applicable County agencies, all of whom have recommended approval of the plan.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Board approve the amended Preliminary Plan and Final Forest Conservation Plan with the conditions cited in this staff report. The variance approval is included in the Planning Board's approval of the Final Forest Conservation Plan.

Attachments:

- A. Amended Final Forest Conservation Plan
- B. Proposed Preliminary Plan Amendment
- C. Letter from County Arborist dated 1/28/11



END
Specimen Tree
Significant Tree
- Proposed Tree Canopy
∽ Original Tree Canopy
Tree already removed and tree to be removed
Limit of Disturbance
— Stream Valley Buffer
Wetland
Wetland Buffer
— Flood Plain BRL
Temporary Tree Protection — Fence, Tree Protection Signage, and Root Pruning
Critical Root Zone Radius = 1.5 Feet per Inch DBH
Permanent Forest Conservation Signs
Forest Conservation Easement to remain
Forest Conservation Easement to be removed
Impervious area within SVB to be removed
Impervious area to be removed
Impervious area within SVB to be added
Forest already removed outside Floodplain as previously



FOREST CONSERVATION WO	RKSHEE	Т		
Strathmore at Bel Pre				
NET TRACT AREA:				
A. Total tract area			4.64	
B. Land dedication acres (parks, county facility, etc.)	0.00			
C. Land dedication for roads or utilities (not being constructed	0.00			
D. Area to remain in commercial agricultural production/use	0.00			
E. Other deductions (specify)	2.62			
F. Net Tract Area	2.02			
	<u> </u>		2.02	
LAND USE CATEGORY: (from Trees Technical Manual)				
Input the number "1" under the appropriate lan	d use,	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
limit to only one entry.		······		
ARA MDR IDA HDR	MPD	CIA		
0 0 1 0	0	0		
G. Afforestation Threshold	15%	x F =	0.30	
H. Conservation Threshold	20%	x F =	0.40	

EXISTING FOREST COVER:				
I. Existing forest cover=			0.16	
J. Area of forest above afforestation threshold=			0.00	
K. Area of forest above conservation threshold=			0.00	
BREAK EVEN POINT:				
L. Forest retention above threshold with no mitigation=			0.00	
M. Clearing permitted without mitigation=			0.00	
PROPOSED FOREST CLEARING:				
N. Total area of forest to be cleared=			0.12	
O. Total area of forest to be retained=			0.04	
PLANTING REQUIREMENTS:				
P. Reforestation for clearing above conservation threshold=	-		0.00	
Q. Reforestation for clearing below conservation threshold=	-		0.24	1
R. Credit for retention above conservation threshold=		0.00		
S. Total reforestation required=	0.24	1		
T. Total afforestation required=	0.14			
U. Credit for landscaping (may not exceed 20% of "S")=	0.00			
V. Total reforestation and afforestation required=			0.38	
		w orksheet updated 8		
		n ontonicer upuateu a	1012002	

Sequence of Events for Properties Required To Comply With **Forest Conservation Plans and/or Tree Save Plans**

Pre-Construction

- 1. An on-site pre-construction meeting shall be required after the limits of disturbance have been staked and flagged, but before any clearing or grading begins. The owner shall contact the Montgomery County Planning Department inspection staff prior to commencing construction to verify the limits of disturbance and discuss tree protection and tree care measures. The attendants at this meeting should include: developer's representative, construction superintendent, ISA certified arborist or MD license tree expert that will implement the tree protection measures, Forest Conservation Inspector, and DPS sediment control inspector.
- 2. No clearing or grading shall begin before stress-reduction measures have been implemented. Appropriate measures may include, but are not limited to:
 - a. Root pruning b. Crown Reduction or pruning
 - c. Watering
 - d. Fertilizing
 - e. Vertical mulching
 - f. Root aeration matting

Measures not specified on the forest conservation plan may be required as determined by the Forest Conservation Inspector in coordination with the arborist. Post-Construction

- 3. A State of Maryland licensed tree expert, or an International Society of Arboriculture certified arborist must perform all stress reduction measures. Documentation of stress reduction measures must be either observed by the Forest Conservation Inspector or sent to the Forest Conservation Inspector at 8787 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20910. The Forest Conservation Inspector will determine the exact method to convey the stress reductions measures during the pre-construction meeting.
- 4. Temporary tree protection devices shall be installed per the Forest Conservation Plan/Tree Save Plan and prior to any construction activities. Tree protection fencing locations should be staked prior to the pre-construction meeting. The Forest Conservation Inspector, in coordination with the DPS sediment control inspector, may make field adjustments to increase the survivability of trees and forest shown as saved on the approved plan. Temporary tree protect devices may include:
 - a. Chain link fence (four feet high) b. Super silt fence with wire strung between the support poles (minimum 4) feet high) with high visibility flagging.
 - c. 14 gauge 2 inch x 4 inch welded wire fencing supported by steel T-bar posts (minimum 4 feet high) with high visibility flagging.

INSPECTIONS

All field inspections must be requested by the application

Field Inspections must be conducted as following:

Tree Save Plans and Forest Conservation Plans without Planting Requirements

- 1. After the limits of disturbance have been staked and flagged, but before any clearing or grading begins
- 2. After necessary stress reduction measures have been completed and protection measures have been installed, but before any clearing and grading begin.
- 3. After completion of all construction activities, but before removal of tree protection fencing, to determine the level of compliance with the provision of the forest conservation.

Additional Requirements for Plans with Planting Requirements

- 4. Before the start of any required reforestation and afforestation planting 5. After the required reforestation and afforestation planting has been completed to verify that the planting is acceptable and prior to the start the maintenance period.
- 6. At the end of the maintenance period to determine the level of compliance with the provisions of the planting plan, and if appropriate, release of the performance bond.

- 5. Temporary protection devices shall be maintained and installed by the contractor for the duration of construction project and must not be altered without prior approval from the Forest Conservation Inspector. No equipment, trucks, materials, or debris may be stored within the tree protection fence areas during the entire construction project. No vehicle or equipment access to the fenced area will be permitted. Tree protection shall not be removed without prior approval of Forest Conservation Inspector.
- 6. Forest retention area signs shall be installed as required by the Forest Conservation Inspector, or as shown approved plan.
- 7. Long-term protection devices will be installed per the Forest Conservation Plan/Tree Save Plan and attached details. Installation will occur at the appropriate time during the construction project. Refer to the plan drawing for long-term protection measures to be installed.

During Construction

8. Periodic inspections by Forest Conservation Inspector will occur during the construction project. Corrections and repairs to all tree protection devices, as determined by the Forest Conservation Inspector, must be made within the timeframe established by the Forest Conservation Inspector.

- 9. After construction is completed, an inspection shall be requested. Corrective measures which may be required include:
 - a. Removal and replacement of dead and dying trees
 - b. Pruning of dead or declining limbs
 - c. Soil aeration
 - d. Fertilization
 - e. Watering f. Wound repair
 - g. Clean up of retention areas
- 10. After inspection and completion of corrective measures have been undertaken, all temporary protection devices shall be removed from the site. Removal of tree protection devices that also operate for erosion and sediment control must be coordinated with both the Department of Permitting Services and the Forest Conservation Inspector. No additional grading, sodding, or burial may take place after the tree protection fencing is removed.

DBH CRZ (s.f.) CRZ (radius) Conditions/Remarks ID # Common Name Botanical Name 28 5539 Ouercus rubra Red oak 42 Good Red oak Quercus rubra 5539 42 Good 34.5 Good 3737 White Oak Quercus alba 7.5 Good Red oak Quercus rubra 4416 Liriodendron tulipifera 30 6359 45 Good *5 Tulip Poplar 8167 I Fair/Poor - dieback, scar - Fallen/removed per storm 6 White Oak Quercus alba Liriodendron tulipifera 25 4416 37.5 Fair/Poor - hollow Fulip Poplar Liriodendron tulipifera 28 5539 2 Good Tulip Poplar 24 4069 36 Good 9 Red oak Quercus rubra 54 Dead -topped, hollow Dead Tree 36 9156 1 Red oak 33 7694 49.5 Dead Quercus rubra Red oak Quercus rubra 4069 4069 3 Red oak Quercus rubra 48 Fair/poor - leaning, dieback 4 Red Maple Acer rubrum 42 Fair/poor - hollow, rot @base, dieback - REMOVE 5 Red Maple 5539 5942 Acer rubrum Red Maple Acer rubrum 28 5539 42 Fair/Poor 7 Red oak Quercus rubra 27 5150 18 Red oak Quercus rubra 40.5 Fair/Poor 43.5 Fair/Poor - dieback, scar 29 5942 Acer rubrum 19 Red Maple 26 4776 39 Poor - codom @ 5' Acer rubrum 20 Red Maple 1 Red Maple Acer rubrum 26 4776 9 Poor - topped 2 Red Maple Acer rubrum 25 4416 Acer rubrum 23 3737 34.5 Poor 23 Red Maple lotes: Diameters are given for each trunk of multiple bole trees when division occurs below 4.5 feet. If major division occurs above 4.5 feet only the trunk diameter at 4.5 feet is given. Tree ID Numbers correspond to those assigned on the Natural Resource Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation Map. Trees less than 24" DBH are shown for informational purposes only. Trees shown are significant trees within 100' of the LOD

SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION

1. Schedule a pre-construction meeting with the Developer's representative, Construction Superintendent, tree professional, MNCP&PC staff, and sediment control inspector. Call at least 48 hours prior to beginning construction. Contact Miss Utility at 1-800-257-7777 for water and sewer locations at 301-309-3093, 48 hours prior to any land disturbance.

2. Install Tree Protection Fencing and perform Root Pruning operations. Install sediment control devices.

- 3. Clear, grub, and begin excavating and grading.
- 4. Begin building construction.
- 5. Begin storm drain construction.
- 6. Begin utility construction
- 7. Begin stormwater management construction.
- 8. Begin curb & gutter and paving.

9. As various areas are completed, place topsoil and sod/seed on grassed areas in conformance with these plans and the "Standard Erosion and Sediment Control Notes."

10. Upon completion and site stabilization, and with the approval of the sediment control Inspector, all sediment control structures shall be removed.

11. Upon completion, conduct final inspection with M-NCP&PC staff.

12. Remove tree protection fence.





ς.

DEVELOPER'S C	ERTIFICATE				
The Undersigned agre Conservation Plan No forest planting, mainte	ees to execute all the features of the Approved Final Forest . <u>11991075A</u> including, financial bonding, enance, and all other applicable agreements.				
Developer's Name:	Wat Thai Washington DC Printed Company Name				
Contact Person or Owner: Dr Thanat Inthisan Printed Name					
Address	13440 Layhill Rd Silver Spring, MD 20906				
Phone and Email:	301-871-8660 t_inthisan@hotmail.com				
Signature:	Y=p.				

Specimen tree

FOREST CONSERVATION PLAN CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON IS CORRECT AND THAT THIS PLAN HAS BEEN PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF EXISTING STATE AND COUNTY FOREST CONSERVATION LEGISLATION.

12/16/1

Plan revised per MNCPPC comments 5/24/11 2 3/15/11 Plan revised per MNCPPC comments 1 9/27/2010 forest conservation easement changes NO. DATE DESCRIPTION

RY

RECOGNIZED AS QUALIFIED PROFESSIONAL BY MD. DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES

LS2.2 TAX MAP JR 127 WSSC 217NW02 AMENDMENT TO FOREST CONSERVATION PLAN #11991075 PARCEL B STRATHMORE AT BEL PRE P.B. 164 PLAT NO. 18546 13TH ELECTION DISTRICT - MONTGOMERY COUNTY - MARYLAND Proj. Mgr. | Designer T Macris, Hendricks & Glascock, P.A. FCJ PL HG Engineers
Planners
Landscape Architects
Surveyors Date Scale 6/7/10 NTS Phone 301.670.0840 9220 Wightman Road, Suite 120 n updated per new site design and reflec Montgomery Village, Maryland Fax 301.948.0693 roject No. Sheet FCJ 20886-1279 www.mhgpa.com 10.106



			<u>Notes</u>		FARG	ROVE LAN	\mathbb{N}			
1.	The topography is taken from field run surveys performed by this office, supplemented with plans of record. The boundary shown is based on a boundary survey performed by this office. Underground utilities are shown based on available records.					V///	TE	$\bigcirc \bigcirc$		
2.	This site is currently categories are W—1		ed by public water and sewer. Water and sew —1, respectively.	HATHWAY DI						
3.	The property is zone proposed land use i	ed R-9 is for c	90 (Residential, One—Family). The existing and 1 House of Worship.	d		$\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}}$		THE S		
4.	A Natural Resources was approved for th	s Invent nis prop	tory Map/Forest Stand Delineation Plan (#4199 perty on September 14, 1993.	940320)						
5.	The original Prelimin on December 23, 19	The original Preliminary & Forest Conservation Plans (#119910750) were approved on December 23, 1995.					ROAD			
5.	A Stormwater Mana approved on August	A Stormwater Management Concept (SM File #234641) for this property was approved on August 20, 2010.				Ш	AHILL R	DLEBRIDGE DRIVE		
6.		The site drains directly to Bel Pre Creek, which is tributary to the Northwest Branch watershed (class IV waters).				VICTO		Ň		
	approximately half o	of the :		ises	OAKS DR					
8. 9.	The building footprir location will be dete the zoning data tab restriction lines and	is plan is not for construction purposes. e building footprint shown on this preliminary plan is illustrative. Final building cation will be determined during the building permit process. Please refer to e zoning data table for development standards such as setbacks, building striction lines and lot coverage for each lot. Other conditions for site				VICINITY MAP SCALE $1'' = 2,000'$				
10	development may also be included in the conditions of the Planning Board's approval. 0. Servicing utility companies include:						,			
Water & Sewer: WSSC Electric: Pepco Telephone: Verizor					evelopment Standar Brouidad					
			Pe Minimum Tract Area: 59-C-1.321(a)	rmitted/Required Not Specified		<u>Per This P</u> 566 S.F.	lan			
			Maximum Density of Development: 59—C—1.731(b)	Not Specified		N/A				
			Minimum Net Lot Area: 59-C-1.322(a)	Not Specified	202,	.304 S.F.				
			Minimum Lot Width: 59-C-1.322(b)	25 Feet	426	Feet				
			Minimum Setback From Street:	30 Feet			Existing Tem			
			59–C–1.323(a) Minimum Side Yard:	8 Feet	203	Feet (W)	Proposed Bui - Existing Bui	ilding		
			59-C-1.323(b)(1) Sum of Both Sides:	25 Feet	125 N/A		- Proposed B	uilding		
			59-C-1.323(b)(1) Minimum Rear Yard:	25 Feet	334	Feet (S) -	- Fxistina Ten	mole		
			59-C-1.323(b)(2)	334 Feet (S) — Existing Temple 230 Feet (S) — Proposed Building 32.6 Feet — Existing Temple						
			Maximum Building Height: 59-C-1.327	35 Feet	32.9	Feet – P	roposed Buildi			
			Maximum Lot Coverage: 59—C—1.328	30% or 60,691	4,671 S.F <u>1,066 S.</u> F	7. (Existing 7. (Proposed 7 <u>. (Accesso</u> 7. or 5.7%	d Building) r <u>y Structures)</u>	<u>L</u>		
			<u>Parking</u> Minimum Setback From Street: 59-E-2.81(a)	25 Feet		25 Feet				
			Minimum Side Yard 8 Feet 59-E-2.81(a)		13 Feet					
			Minimum Rear Yard 59-E-2.81(a)	25 Feet	148 Feet					
	59-E-2.81(d) Landscape Strip Adjacent to ROW 59-E-2.71		10 Feet		19 Feet (Existing)					
			Landscape Strip Adjacent to Residenti	ial Zone 4 Feet		13 East (1	Eviatina)			
\			59-E-2.72 Internal Landscaping			13 Feet (Existing)			
1g			59-E-2.73 Number of Spaces		5%		5.9%			
	N		59–E–3.7 <u>Use:</u>	86 Spaces	5	98 Spaces	5			
			Place of Worship (288 Seats) 1 Space Per 4 Seats	72 Spaces	3	72 Spaces	3			
	EKG. Ø		Dormitory (8 Tenants) Community Center	2 Spaces		2 Spaces				
	8" SEWER		2.5 Spaces Per 1,000 S.F. Automobile Total	12 Spaces 86 Spaces		17 Spaces 91 Spaces				
Acc			Accessible Parking:	4 Spaces	2	5 Spaces	•			
			COMAR 05.02.02 Parking Distribution:							
	+		Standard (8.5' x 18') Compact (7.5' x 16.5'))		82 Spaces 4 Spaces	S			
	ADA Van Accessible (8' x 18' min. with 8' Access Aisle) ADA (Non-Van) Accessible Spaces (8' x 18' min. with 5' Access Aisle)			Access Aisle)		3 Spaces				
					2 Spaces					
			Motorcycle	,		2 Spaces				
			Bicycle Total			5 Spaces 98 Spaces	 S			
		-	TAX MAP JR 121 & 122					218NW02		
10.	NT		PRELIMINARY	PLAN AM PARC		1199	1075A			
١̈́Ro	on, DC bad		STRATH	MORE	AT R	EL	PRF	1		
ID 2 871-	20906 -8660				AT NO. 18			-		
	Inthisan		13TH ELECTION DIST	RICT - MON	ITGOMERY CC	UNTY -	- MARYL	AND		
		_			s & Glascock	, P.A.	Proj. Mgr. PGL	Designer PGL		
				eers ■ Planne scape Architects	ers s ■ Surveyors		Date	Scale		

DESCRIPTION

ΒY

9220 Wightman Road, Suite 120

Montgomery Village, Maryland 20886-1279

09/27/10 Phone 301.670.0840 Fax 301.948.0693 Project No. www.mhgpa.com 10.106.11

1"=30'

Sheet

1 _{of} 1

ω



ATTACHMENT C

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Isiah Leggett County Executive

Robert G. Hoyt Director

January 28, 2011

Françoise Carrier, Chair Montgomery County Planning Board Maryland National Capital Park & Planning Commission 8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

RE: Strathmore at Bel Pre, DAIC 11991075A, NRI/FSD applied for on 8/25/1993

Dear Ms. Carrier:

Based on a review by the Maryland National Capital Park & Planning Commission (MNCPPC), the application for the above referenced request is required to comply with Chapter 22A of the Montgomery County Code. As stated in the letter to Royce Hanson from Bob Hoyt, dated October 27, 2009, the County Attorney's Office has advised me that the specific provisions pertaining to significant trees in the State's Forest Conservation Act do not apply to any application that was submitted before October 1, 2009. Since this application was submitted before this date, I will not provide a recommendation pertaining to the approval of this request for a variance.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me directly.

Sincerely,

- mills

Laura Miller County Arborist

cc: Robert Hoyt, Director Walter Wilson, Associate County Attorney Mark Pfefferle, Acting Chief