

MCPB Date: 11/19/15 Agenda Item # 5

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

November 12, 2015

TO:

Montgomery County Planning Board

VIA:

Gwen Wright, Director, Planning Department

Rose Krasnow, Deputy Director, Planning Department

FROM:

Karen Warnick, Chief, Management Services Division

Anjali Sood, Budget Manager, Management Services Division As

SUBJECT:

Approval of Planning Department's FY17 Proposed Budget

Action Requested: Approval of the FY17 Proposed Budget funding and staffing levels.

Background

At its September 17 meeting, the Planning Board received a presentation from the Commission's Department of Human Resources and Management (DHRM) on the FY17 budget process including key trends and the budget outlook, strategy, and timeline.

DHRM reminded the Board that, due to Montgomery County's fiscal challenges, Planning's FY16 Adopted Budget had been reduced by over \$600,000 from our proposed budget. In addition, the Commission has already been asked by the County to implement a savings plan for the current year (FY16), and it is anticipated that we will be asked to increase that plan later this fall. Since the County's fiscal issues are projected to continue into FY17, DHRM further expects that the FY17 Proposed Budget will require possible belt tightening.

DHRM reported that the assessable base for Montgomery County is projected to grow by 4% in FY17. This growth would provide an approximate increase of \$1,104,982 in property tax revenue for the Administration Fund without an increase in the tax rate.

Following this meeting, the Planning Department had two FY17 operating budget worksessions with the Planning Board on October 8 and November 5. At the November 5 meeting, the Board approved the Department's request to prepare the budget as proposed at the base budget plus new initiative level, and approved additional funding for 1) on-call services for economic analysis and financial expertise to assist us with projects in FY17; 2) real estate economic pro forma training for staff to better understand development, investment and valuation decisions; and 3) a full-time career position in the Research and Special projects division to perform research and economic analyses.

FY17 Proposed Budget Overview

The Planning Department's FY17 proposed budget is \$19,422,713 which reflects the base budget plus new initiatives. The FY17 proposed budget is a reduction of \$72,080 or (-0.4%) from the FY16 adopted budget.

There are several attachments included with this memo for your reference.

Attachment A - Updated FY17 Budget Summary Chart with New Initiatives List

Attachment B - FY17 Proposed Expenditure Budget by Division

Attachment C - FY17 Proposed Positions/Workyears by Division

Attachment D - FY17 Work Program Crosswalk of the Workyear Allocation by Division

Attachment E - FY17 Work Program Crosswalk of the Budget Allocation by Program Element

Attachment F - FY17 Special Revenue Fund - Synopsis Description

Attachment G - FY17 Master Plan and Major Projects Schedule

As a reminder, the FY17 personnel costs do not include any assumption for a salary adjustment as those costs and OPEB costs are included in a Non-Departmental Account.

Known Operating Commitments

The Planning Department's FY17 salaries and benefits decreased \$492,713 primarily due to significantly lower projections in the Commission's pension costs based on changes in actuarial assumptions. The costs for risk management and the Commission Wide IT initiatives increased \$145,530. The contractual and inflationary increases for the department are \$83,900.

Staffing and Lapse

The proposed staffing level is shown in summary in the chart below and is broken out by division in **Attachment C.**

Planning Department	FY16 /	Adopted	FY17 F	FY17 Proposed			
Staffing Level	Positions	Workyears	Positions	Workyears			
Full-Time Career	143	143.00	144	144.00			
Unfunded Career	4	_	3	-			
Part-Time Career	3	2.10	3	2.10			
Career Total	150	145.10	150	146.10			
Term Contract	1	0.75	1	0.75			
Seasonal		-		<u> </u>			
Chargebacks		(23.55)		(23.55)			
Less Lapse	<u> </u>	(6.00)		(6.00)			
TOTAL STAFFING	151	116.30	151	117.30			

For FY17, the Department is maintaining an approximate 4.5% lapse rate which equates to six workyears.

For the new research and economic analysis position proposed for FY17, the Department is requesting to fund one of the four positions that have been unfunded since FY11. The total positions will remain the same but the workyears will increase by 1.00.

Fees and Revenue Estimates

The majority of the Department's budget is tax supported, funded through the Administration Fund. There are also revenues received through charges for services, fees for materials and established Special Revenue Funds. The Department **anticipates \$185,000** in fees from service charges and other program fees in FY17.

The Department also receives an appropriation in revenue from the Water Quality Protection Fund to offset costs that will be incurred in FY17 for undertaking specific activities consistent with the intent of the Fund such as compliance with National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit requirements. For FY17, the Department is requesting an increase of \$9,500 (a 2.6% increase) for an overall appropriation of \$369,900 to cover the FY16 compensation increases. The appropriation was not increased during the FY16 budget process due to the uncertainty of any compensation increases being approved as requested.

Special Revenue Fund

The Special Revenue Fund has an estimated beginning balance of \$2,899,437. The proposed FY17 budget reflects revenues of \$1,942,300 and expenditures of \$3,908,122. The Department is requesting a transfer in the amount of \$500,000 from the Administration Fund to the Development Review Special Revenue Fund. This will leave an ending balance of \$1,433,615 (with the \$500,000 transfer).

Special Revenue Funds account for the proceeds from specific revenue sources restricted for a designated purpose. The Special Revenue Fund summary is comprised of several different funds within the Parks and Planning Departments. The largest or most notable special revenue fund is the Development Review Special Revenue Fund. Special Revenue Fund budgets are prepared as a proposal for revenues, expenditures, and fund balances. Special Revenue fund balances are not shown consolidated with the tax supported funds to avoid confusing the resources of one fund type with another.

Development Review Special Revenue Account - Chargebacks and Transfers Out

The Planning Department is proposing to increase the chargebacks to the Development Revenue Special Revenue Account (DR-SRA) by \$113,089. Of this increase, \$9,089 is from the Legal and Finance Departments for their services. The remaining \$104,000 increase (a 2.6% increase) is from the Planning Department to cover the FY16 compensation increases. The chargeback was not increased during the FY16 budget process due to the uncertainty of the requested compensation increases being approved.

The Department has traditionally requested a transfer from the Administration Fund into the DR-SRA in recognition of the fact that revenues may not cover the costs of our review efforts. The fund performed well in FY12, FY13, and in FY15 primarily due to the fees collected for various large projects in CR zones. Property owners moved quickly to either take advantage of changes to the zoning ordinance or to submit new applications that could move forward using zoning requirements that had been grandfathered. This performance built a significant fund balance. Due to this large balance and due to sufficient fees being collected in each of these fiscal years, the Council did not approve a transfer in FY14, FY15 and FY16. However, in the interest of being prudent and not overestimating revenue that may or may not come in during the remaining ¾ of FY16, we are requesting a transfer of \$500,000 from the Administration Fund into the DR-SRA in FY17 to cover potential shortfalls.

The chart below shows the FY17 proposed revenues, expenditures, and the transfer in for the Special Revenue Fund.

Planning Department FY17 Special Revenue Fund Summary	FY17 Estimated Beginning Balance	FY17 Proposed Revenue	FY17 Proposed Expenditure	FY17 Projected Ending Balance
Traffic Mitigation Program	\$59,690	\$20,200	\$20,000	\$59,890
Historic Preservation	\$8,720	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$8,720
Map Sales	\$142,123	\$1,800	\$130,000	\$13,923
Environmental/Forest Conserv. Penalties	\$86,311	\$25,300	\$24,000	\$87,611
Development Review SRA	\$2,101,705	\$1,836,000	\$3,245,122	\$692,583
Forest Conservation	\$500,888	\$53,000	\$483,000	\$70,888
TOTAL before Transfer In	\$2,899,437	\$1,942,300	\$3,908,122	\$933,615
Development Review SRA Transfer In		\$500,000		
TOTAL after Transfer In	\$2,899,437	\$2,442,300	\$3,908,122	\$1,433,615

A synopsis of the special revenue accounts included in the FY17 proposed budget are included in **Attachment F.**

Work Program Overview

The Planning Department's FY17 Work Program is organized into four major components: (1) Master Planning Program; (2) Regulatory Planning Program; (3) Information Resources; and (4) Management and Administration. See work program details in *Attachment D and Attachment E*.

New Initiatives

There are a number of new initiatives that are being proposed which focus on ways to both reimagine and reinvigorate our master planning activities, as well as ways to address significant planning issues and concerns that face Montgomery County. Details were provided on at the first two budget worksessions (see **Attachment A**).

Summary

The Planning Department has put great thought into preparing the FY17 Proposed Budget. Staff is mindful of the cost pressures facing the County as well as the concerns of the residents in terms of excellent planning, communication and outreach. The FY17 budget request shows our commitment to continue to provide the best services possible to County residents with a (-0.4%) decrease as compared to the FY16 budget.

Staff is requesting approval from the Planning Board to proceed to finalize the FY17 Planning Department's operating budget and Special Revenue Fund budget as proposed.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT PRELIMINARY FY17 OPERATING BUDGET REQUEST

FREEIMINANT FITT OFERATING BODGET REV	X0E31		% Change
FY16 Adopted Budget		\$19,494,792	% Change
FY17 BASE BUDGET CHANGES		V. 10, 10 1,102	
Salaries & Benefits		(\$492,713)	
Known Operating Commitments		\$83,900	
Risk Management and Commission Wide IT Initiatives		\$145,530	
Increase in Chargebacks to Development Review Special Revenue Fund		(\$104,000)	
Subtotal - Base Budget Changes		(\$367,283)	<u>(-1.9%)</u>
Less: FY16 One-time Expenses		(\$835,000)	<u>(-4.3%)</u>
Add: Proposed One-time Initiatives			
Consulting assistance for the Bikeways Plan Update - Univ of MD	\$125,000		
Partnership with Univ of MD for Master Plan Reality Check	\$82,000		
Consulting funding for Study of Employment Trends - Univ of MD	\$125,000		
Continuation of New Dynamic Transportation Modeling Tool	\$75,000		
Multi-modal Transportation Analysis for Montgomery Hills/Forest Glen Sector Plan	\$60,000		
Consulting funding for Silver Spring Streetscape	\$75,000		
Consulting funds for Design Studies for Veirs Mill Corridor Small Area Plan	\$40,000		
Retail and Economic Study for Montgomery Hills/Forest Glen Sector Plan	\$50,000		
Consulting funding for Retail Study in South Silver Spring	\$50,000		
Consulting funding for Corridor Study for MD 355 from Gaithersburg to COMSAT	\$75,000		
Placemaking Initiatives	\$50,000		
Consulting funding for Regional Transportation Model Network Development/Mgmt	\$30,000		
Subtotal – Proposed One-Time Changes		\$837,000	4.3%
Add: Proposed On-going Changes			
Design Excellence Initiative	\$10,000		
Consulting funding for Bicycle Plan Implementation Activities	\$80,000		
On-Call Economic Services	\$54,400		
Real Estate Development Process Training	\$12,650		
Funding for One Existing but Unfunded Full Time Career position - Economic Analysis	\$136,153		
Subtotal – Proposed On-going Changes		<u>\$293,203</u>	<u>1.5 %</u>
Net Change from FY16 Adopted to FY17 Proposed Budget		(\$72,080)	<u>(-0.4%)</u>
** FY17 Proposed Budget Plus Essential Needs/New Initiatives		\$19,422,713	(-0.4%)

Notes:

^{**}Total does not include the transfer to the Development Review Special Revenue Fund, compensation marker, OPEB PayGo and OPEB prefunding. They are budgeted in the Administration Fund's non-departmental account.

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT Expenditures by Division by Type PROPOSED BUDGET FISCAL YEAR 2017

	ED BUDGET FISCAL Y	%		
	Actual	FY 16 Adopted	FY 17 Proposed	Change
Office of The Planning Director				
Personnel Services	700,996	750,630	857,349	14.2%
Supplies and Materials	9,175	2,200	10,700	386.4%
Other Services and Charges	82,437	194,950	60,450	-69.0%
Capital Outlay	02,437	194,950	00,450	-09.0%
Other Classifications	- 11 - 1			-
				-
Chargebacks Total	792,608	947,780	928,499	-2.0%
			020,700	
Management Services Personnel Services	1 000 000	0.005.407	0.040.004	-2.5%
	1,662,263	2,065,127	2,012,924	
Supplies and Materials	68,185	9,760	9,510	-2.6%
Other Services and Charges	238,967	189,340	139,340	-26.4%
Capital Outlay	(4,121)			-
Other Classifications			1	-
Chargebacks	(37,400)	- 0.004.007	- 0.404.774	4.50/
Total	1,927,893	2,264,227	2,161,774	-4.5%
Functional Planning & Policy				
Personnel Services	2,131,099	2,442,232	2,497,356	2.3%
Supplies and Materials	3,537	6,750	5,800	-14.1%
Other Services and Charges	257,969	460,750	497,725	8.0%
Capital Outlay	-	-	-	-
Other Classifications	- 1			-
Chargebacks	(12,500)	-	-	-
Total	2,380,104	2,909,732	3,000,881	3.1%
Area 1				
Personnel Services	1,971,259	2,071,457	1,878,501	-9.3%
Supplies and Materials	6,945	7,250	7,000	-3.4%
Other Services and Charges	154,473	41,000	306,900	648.5%
Capital Outlay	_	-	-	_
Other Classifications		_		
Chargebacks	pplies and Materials 6,945 7,250 er Services and Charges 154,473 41,000 pital Outlay			14.9%
Total	1,633,777	1,496,107	(716,700) 1,475,701	-1.4%
Area 2				
Personnel Services	2,353,973	2,590,002	2,502,452	-3.4%
Supplies and Materials	2,220	6,450	5,700	-11.6%
Other Services and Charges	146,596	234,300	100,200	-57.2%
Capital Outlay		- 1	- 100,200	- 07.270
Other Classifications	_	_	_	
Chargebacks	(561,300)	(604,900)	(632,900)	4.6%
Total	1,941,489	2,225,852	1,975,452	-11.2%
Area 3				1
Personnel Services	2,405,230	2,656,882	2,606,755	-1.9%
Supplies and Materials	77	3,800	4,000	5.3%
Other Services and Charges	170,529	25,150	100,650	300.2%
Capital Outlay	170,029	20,100	100,030	300.270
Other Classifications			[]	
Chargebacks	(561,300)	(561,300)	(716,700)	27.7%
Total	2,014,536	2,124,532	1,994,705	-6.1%

Attachment B Continuation MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT Expenditures by Division in Expenditures by Division by Type PROPOSED BUDGET FISCAL YEAR 2017

	FY 15	FY 16	FY 17	%
	Actual	Adopted	Proposed	Change
Dev. Applications & Regulatory Coordination				
Personnel Services	1,755,770	2,098,455	2,030,048	-3.3%
Supplies and Materials	7,481	4,900	9,400	91.8%
Other Services and Charges	79,312	24,000	19,600	-18.3%
Capital Outlay	77,647	24,000	19,000	-10.57
Other Classifications	77,047			
Chargebacks	(1,266,100)	(1,147,700)	(975,200)	-15.0%
Total	654,109	979,655	1,083,848	10.6%
Information Technology and Innovation				
Personnel Services	1,818,587	2,125,914	2,080,477	-2.1%
Supplies and Materials	346,910	241,100	248,291	3.0%
Other Services and Charges	874,882	957,900	857,475	-10.5%
Capital Outlay	84,450	307,500	- 057,475	-10.57
Other Classifications			- 1	
Chargebacks	_	_		
Total	3,124,829	3,324,914	3,186,244	-4.2%
Research and Special Projects				
Personnel Services	911,125	1,049,789	1,028,008	-2.1%
Supplies and Materials	65	1,049,789	600	
Other Services and Charges	438,375	200,100	299,900	0.0%
Capital Outlay	430,373	200,100	299,900	49.9%
Other Classifications	- 1	- 1	- 1	N-
Chargebacks	- 1	- 1	-	-
Total	1,349,565	1,250,489	1,328,508	6.2%
Support Services			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Personnel Services		38,742	38,800	0.1%
Supplies and Materials	146,127	135,600	143,700	6.0%
Other Services and Charges	1,349,572	1,562,162	1,869,601	19.7%
Capital Outlay	1,549,572	1,302,102	1,009,001	19.7%
Other Classifications	- 1	- 1	- 1	-
Chargebacks	85,000	85,000	85,000	0.00/
Total	1,580,699	1,821,504	2,137,101	0.0% 17.3%
Grants				
Personnel Services	-	_ [
Supplies and Materials		- 1	- 1	-
Other Services and Charges		- 1	- 1	1 -
Capital Outlay			- 1	-
Other Classifications	_	150,000	150,000	0.0%
Chargebacks	_	130,000	150,000	0.070
Total		150,000	150,000	0.0%
Total Planning Department				
Personnel Services	15,710,301	17,889,230	17,532,670	-2.0%
Supplies and Materials	590,721	418,410	444,701	6.3%
Other Services and Charges	3,793,112	3,889,652	4,251,841	9.3%
Capital Outlay	157,976	0,000,002	7,201,041	9.3%
Other Classifications	107,970	150,000	150,000	0.0%
Chargebacks	(2,852,500)	(2,852,500)	(2,956,500)	3.6%
Total	17,399,610	19,494,792	19,422,712	-0.4%

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PL POSITION	N DETAIL BY D	IVISION BY	Y FUND FY 1	6	FY 17 Proposed POS WYS		
	POS	WYS	Adopt POS	WYS			
OFFICE OF THE PLANNING DIRECTOR Full-Time Career Part-Time Career	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	
Career Total Term Contract Seasonal/Intermittent Chargebacks Less Lapse	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00 - - - -	
Subtotal Director's Office	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	
MANAGEMENT SERVICES Full-Time Career Part-Time Career Career Total Term Contract Seasonal/Intermittent Chargebacks Less Lapse	16.00 - 16.00 1.00	16.00 - 16.00 0.75 - (0.30) (1.00)	17.00 - 17.00 1.00	17.00 - 17.00 0.75 - - (1.00)	17.00 - 17.00 1.00	17.00 - 17.00 0.75 - - (1.00	
Subtotal Management Services	17.00	15.45	18.00	16.75	18.00	16.75	
FUNCTIONAL PLANNING AND POLICY Full-Time Career Part-Time Career Career Total Term Contract Seasonal/Intermittent	18.00 1.00 19.00	18.00 0.50 18.50	18.00 1.00 19.00	18.00 0.50 18.50	19.00 1.00 20.00	19.00 0.50 19.50 -	
Chargebacks Less Lapse		(0.10)		-		-	
Subtotal Functional Planning and Policy	19.00	18.40	19.00	18.50	20.00	19.50	
AREA 1 Full-Time Career Part-Time Career	17.00	17.00	17.00 -	17.00	16.00 -	16.00	
Career Total Term Contract Seasonal/Intermittent	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00 - -	16.00	16.00 - -	
Chargebacks Less Lapse		(4.00)		(5.00) (1.00)		(5.55 (1.00	
Subtotal Area 1	17.00	12.00	17.00	11.00	16.00	9.45	
AREA 2 Full-Time Career Part-Time Career	21.00	21.00	21.00	21.00	21.00	21.00	
Career Total Term Contract Seasonal/Intermittent	21.00	21.00	21.00	21.00	21.00	21.00 - -	
Chargebacks Less Lapse Subtotal Area 2	21.00	(4.50) (1.00) 15.50	21.00	(4.85) (1.00) 15.15	21.00	(4.90 (1.00	

Attachment C Continuation

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLAN POSITION D				/WORKYE	ARS	
	FY Actu	4.00	FY Adop			
	POS	WYS	POS	WYS	POS	WYS
Subtotal Area 2	21.00	15.50	21.00	15.15	21.00	15.10
AREA 3		1				
Full-Time Career	21.00	21.00	21.00	21.00	21.00	21.00
Part-Time Career	1.00	0.70	1.00	0.70	1.00	0.70
Career Total	22.00	21.70	22.00	21.70	22.00	21.70
Term Contract	-	-	-	-	:-:	8.
Seasonal/Intermittent		-		-		
Chargebacks		(4.50)		(4.50)	1	(5.55
Less Lapse		(1.00)		(1.00)		(1.00
Subtotal Area 3	22.00	16.20	22.00	16.20	22.00	15.15
DEV APPLICATIONS & REGULATORY COORD	INATION					- Ciebii
Full-Time Career	21.00	21.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
Part-Time Career	-		1.00	0.90	1.00	0.90
Career Total	21.00	21.00	21.00	20.90	21.00	20.90
Term Contract	-	-	-	-	-	: -
Seasonal/Intermittent		-		-	1	-
Chargebacks		(10.15)		(9.20)	1	(7.55
Less Lapse		(1.00)		(1.00)		(1.00
Subtotal Dev Applicat. & Reg. Coord.	21.00	9.85	21.00	10.70	21.00	12.35
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION	ON					
Full-Time Career	16.00	16.00	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00
Part-Time Career	1.00	0.90	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00
Career Total	17.00	16.90	17.00	17.00	17.00	17.00
Term Contract	-	-	-	-	-	-
Seasonal/Intermittent		-	1	-		-
Chargebacks		- 1		-		-
Less Lapse		(1.00)		(1.00)		(1.00
Subtotal Information Technology & Innovation	17.00	15.90	17.00	16.00	17.00	16.00
RESEARCH AND SPECIAL PROJECTS						
Full-Time Career	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Part-Time Career	-	-	-	-	-	323
Career Total	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Term Contract	~	- 1	-	-	-	-
Seasonal/Intermittent		= [-		-
Chargebacks Less Lapse		-		-		-
Subtotal Research & Special Projects	0.00	-	0.00	-		-
some necessary of the second state of the second se	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
OTAL PLANNING		44000000000				
ull-Time Career	142.00	142.00	143.00	143.00	144.00	144.00
nfunded Career art-Time Career	5.00	-	4.00		3.00	•
areer Total	3.00	2.10	3.00	2.10	3.00	2.10
areer rotar	150.00	144.10	150.00	145.10	150.00	146.10
erm Contract		0.75	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.75
	1.00				1	
easonal/Intermittent	1.00	-		3 4 3		,00 FF
erm Contract easonal/Intermittent hargebacks ess Lapse	1.00	(23.55)		(23.55) (6.00)		(23.55) (6.00)

Montgomery County Planning Dep	artment	:FY17	Propos	sed A	dopted	Work I	Progra	m Cros	sswalk			ent D
(Division to Work Pro				Journ .	aopteu	WOIK	Togra	0,00	Jowani	01 1101	· rour	
	Lead Division	FY16 Adopted	FY17 Proposed	Director's Office	Management Services Division	Functional Planning & Policy	Area 1	Area 2	Area 3	Development Applications & Regulatory Coordination	Information Technology & Innovation	Research & Special Projects
Funded Workyears		139.85	140.85	5.00	16.75	19.50	15.00	20.00	20.70	19.90	16.00	8.00
Program: MASTER PLANNING PROGRAM Plans				10.00							and the same	
Sandy Spring Rural Village MMP	Area 3	0.23	0.00									-
Aspen Hill MMP	Area 2	0.23	0.00									
Gaithersburg East Master Plan	Area 2	0.00	1.63	0.10	0.23	0.50		0.50	0.10			0.20
Grosvenor Metro Area Minor Master Plan Amendment	Area 2	2.34	2.28	0.10	0.23	0.25		1.50				0.20
South Silver Spring Small Area Plan	Area 1	0.00	2.18	0.10	0.23	0.25	1.60					
Viers Mill Road Corridor Small Area Plan	Area 2 Area 1	0.00	2.93	0.10	0.23	0.50	1.00	0.10				0.20
Montgomery Hills and Forest Glen Master Plan MARC Rail Stations (Germantown and Boyds) Plan	Area 3	2.44	2.71	0.10	0.23	0.10	1,00	0.10	2.04		0.24	
Bethesda Downtown Plan	Area 1	1.78	0.87	0.10	0.23	0.10	0.30		2.04		0.24	
Greater Lyttonsville Plan	Area 1	1.59	0.83	0.10	0.23		0.50					
Westbard Plan	Area 1	1.59	0.59	0.05	0.23		0.30		0.01			
Montgomery Village Master Plan	Area 2	2.29	0.48	0.05	0.23			0.10			0.10	
Highways Master Plan /Technical Corrections & Updates	FPP	1.14	1.60	127107100	0.23	1.00	0.05	Segretario.	0.32		THE SOURCE	200.000
White Flint II Plan	Area 2	4.86	3.72	0.10	0.23	0.50		2.30	7.000.00		0.34	0.25
Rock Spring Plan Historic Preservation Functional Master Plan	Area 2 FPP	3.53 2.40	4.02 2.28	0.10	0.23	0.50 2.00	0.05	2.20	0.40		0.34	0.25
Public Policies Planning and Coordination	FFF	2.40	2.20		0.23	2.00	0.05					
Public Project Coordination	All	5.18	2.82	0.10	0.23	1.10	0.20	1,00	0.19			
Master Plan Staging/Monitoring	FPP	2.48	1.20	0.05	0.15	0.50	0.20	0.30				
Special Projects				100-00								- 589
Assis with OLO School Study	FPP	0.50	0.00						115000		EVE VE V	elle cer
Corridor Study for MD 355	Area 3	0.00	2.86	0.05	0.23		0.05		2.23			0.30
Silver Spring Street Scape	Area 1 RSP	0.00	1.13	0.10	0.23		0.60	TATE OF THE PARTY.				0.20
Study of Employment Trends Placemaking Initiatives	Area 1	0.00	1.23 0.83	0.10	0.23		0.05	0.10				0.75
Colocation of Public Facilities Study	RSP	1.13	0.70	0.20	0.20		0.50	0.10				0.70
Recreation Guidelines	Area 3	1.29	0.46				0.10	0.10	0.26			0.70
Bikeways Plan Update	FPP	1.37	1.77	0.10	0.23	1.00	0.10	0.20	0.04		0.10	
Evolving Retail Trends Study	RSP	1.27	0.68	0.10	0.23		0.05					0.30
Master Plan Reality Check	RSP	1.82	1.31	0.10	0.23		0.05	0.20	0.23			0.50
Rental Housing Study	RSP	1.23	1.18	0.05	0.23		0.05	0.10				0.75
Subdivision Staging (Growth Policy)	FPP	4.58	4.48	0.15	0.23	3.00	0.10	0.20	0.20		0.20	0.40
Sustainability and Planning Activity Agriculture Initiatives	Area 3/FPP Area 3	1.28	1.07	0.05	0.15	0.75	0.05		0.07			
Special Projects		1.68	1.75	0.05	0.15	0.60	0.05	0.10	0.95			
SUB-TOTAL MASTER PLANNING	All	51.11	52.15	2.50	6.20	12.75	5.75	11.00	7.39	0.00	1.56	5.00
Program: REGULATORY PLANNING PROGRAM										-	1100	0.00
Regulatory Policy Development/Amendment												
Zoning Text Amendments (ZTA)/Subdivision Regulation	FPP	1.78	2.09	0.05	0.15	1.50	0.05	0.20	0.14			
Amendments (SRA) Zoning Ordinance Revisions and Refinements	DO	0.64	0.80	0.05	0.15	0.25	0.10		0.25			
Land Use Regulations	124	0.04	5.00	5.00	0.15	0.20	5.10	- 3721 - 1	0.25			
Conditional Uses (Special Exceptions)	Area teams	2.58	2.88	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.20	0.50	1.45	0.50		
Local Map Amendments and Development Plan Amendments	Area teams	1.98	2.44	0.05	0.08	0.15	0.20	0.40	0.81	0.65	0.10	
Preliminary Plans/Subdivision Plans	DARC	12.92	13.17	0.20	0.18	0.10	3.05	1.90	3.10	4.30	0.34	
Sketch and Site Plan Reviews	DARC	11.97	12.14	0.20	0.08		4.50	3.00	2.91	1.45		
Pre-Application Meetings/Guidance	DARC	1.78	2.65	0.10	0.08		0.25	0.40	1.07	0.45		0.30
Regulatory Enforcement and Building Permit Review Historic Area Work Permits	DARC	1.18	2.19	0.05	0.08	9.75	0.25		0.51	1.30		
Forest Conservation Reviews, Inspections & Enforcement	DARC	3.91 11.98	3.83 8.68	0.05	0.08	3.75	0.30	1.60	1.20	5.45		
SUB-TOTAL REGULATORY PLANNING	12,,,,0	50.72	50.87	0.80	1.04	5.85	8.90	8.00	11.44	14.10	0.44	0.30
Program: INFORMATION RESOURCES												7,00
Public Information												
Research Projects	RSP	0.89	2.82	0.05	0.30		0.05	0.10	0.12	0.10	10000-	2.10
Information Systems/Geographic Information Systems (IS/GIS)	ITI	7.05	7.44	0.05	0.20		0.05		0.64	0.50	6.00	
Information Services	DARC	3.69	3.94	0.05	0.20		0.05	0.10	0.24	2.20	1.10	
SUB-TOTAL INFORMATION RESOURCES	IDANC	11.63	14.20	0.15	0.70	0.00	0.15	0.20	1.00	2.80	7.10	2.10
Program: MANAGEMENT/ADMINISTRATION	100	The same										
Governance												- 1111
Work Program Management	DO	6.50	6.52	0.50	1.30	0.40	0.10	0.40	0.32	2.60	0.30	0.60
Work Program Support	MS	13.55	10.55	1.00	7.35	0.50	0.05	0.40	0.55	0.40	0.30	
Agency Support Information Technology	L		0.00	0.05						Land.		
SUB-TOTAL MANAGEMENT/ADMINISTRATION	ITI	6.34	6.56	0.05	0.16 8.81	0.90	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.00	6.30	0.00
TOTAL		139.85	140.85	5.00	16.75	19.50	15.00	20.00	0.87 20.70	3.00	6.90	0.60
New Work Program Efforts in FY17			. 10.00	2.50	10.10	.5.50	10.00	20.00	20.70	10.00	10.00	3.00
Expected to end in FY16												

An Estimated cost of the FY17 Planning	Depa	rtment W Budg	10.7	ram Ba	sed on A	Analytical	Allocation	n of the
	15.00	Duug		Bio River				
	FY17 Proposed	Personnel **	Professional	Publication	Other Costs	Sub Total	DR Chargeback	Total
Total Funded Workyears	140.85		0 200002000 000					
Program: MASTER PLANNING PROGRAM			V-Silving.				TOTAL VIEW	
Plans Sandy Spring Rural Village MMP	-	-						
Aspen Hill MMP								
Saithersburg East Master Plan	1.63	\$202,898	\$17,000		\$29,028	\$248,927		\$248,9
Grosvenor Metro Area Minor Master Plan Amendment	2.28	00/75/2000000000000000000000000000000000	\$15,000		\$40,604	\$339,413		\$339,4
South Silver Spring Small Area Plan /iers Mill Road Corridor Small Area Plan	2.18	CO. 100 C.	\$65,000 \$55,000		\$38,823 \$52,180	\$375,184 \$471,899		\$375,1 \$471,8
Nontgomery Hills and Forest Glen Master Plan	1.53	\$190,451	\$139,000		\$27,248	\$356,698		\$356,6
MARC Rail Stations (Germantown and Boyds) Plan	2.71	\$337,334			\$48,262	\$385,596		\$385,5
Bethesda Downtown Plan	0.87	\$108,296	\$15,000		\$15,494	\$138,789		\$138,7
Greater Lyttonsville Plan Westbard Plan	0.83				\$14,781 \$10,507	\$118,098 \$83,949		\$118,0 \$83,9
Montgomery Village Master Plan	0.48				\$8,548	\$68,297		\$68,2
Highways Master Plan /Technical Corrections & Updates	1.60	\$199,164			\$28,494	\$227,658		\$227,6
White Flint II Plan	3.72	\$463,057	\$8,000		\$66,249	\$537,306		\$537,3
Rock Spring Plan	4.02	\$500,400			\$71,592	\$571,992		\$571,9
Historic Preservation Functional Master Plan Public Policies Planning and Coordination	2.28	\$283,809			\$40,604	\$324,413		\$324,4
Public Project Coordination	2.82	\$351,027			\$50,221	\$401,248		\$401,24
Master Plan Staging/Monitoring	1.20	\$149,373			\$21,371	\$170,744		\$170,74
Special Projects								
Assis with OLO School Study								
Corridor Study for MD 355 Silver Spring Street Scape	2.86	\$356,006	\$75,000		\$50,933	\$481,939		\$481,9
Study of Employment Trends	1.13	\$140,660 \$153,107	\$75,000 \$125,000		\$20,124 \$21,905	\$235,784 \$300,012		\$235,7 \$300,0
Placemaking Initiatives	0.83	\$103,316	\$50,000		\$14,781	\$168,098		\$168,0
Colocation of Public Facilities Study	0.70	\$87,134			\$12,466	\$99,601		\$99,60
Recreation Guidelines	0.46	100 St. 100 St			\$8,192	\$65,452		\$65,45
Bikeways Plan Update	1.77	\$220,325	\$125,000		\$31,522	\$376,847		\$376,84
Evolving Retail Trends Study Master Plan Reality Check	0.68	\$84,645 \$163,066	600 000		\$12,110	\$96,755		\$96,75
Rental Housing Study	1.18	\$146,884	\$82,000		\$23,330 \$21,014	\$268,395 \$167,898		\$268,39 \$167,89
Subdivision Staging (Growth Policy)	4.48	\$557,660	\$113,000		\$79,784	\$750,443		\$750,4
Sustainability and Planning Activity	1.07	\$133,191			\$19,055	\$152,246		\$152,2
Agriculture Initiatives	1.75	\$217,836	2000000		\$31,165	\$249,001		\$249,00
Special Projects SUB-TOTAL MASTER PLANNING	1.03 52.15	\$128,212 \$6,491,507	\$30,000	60	\$18,343	\$176,555	**	\$176,55
Program: REGULATORY PLANNING PROGRAM	52.15	\$6,431,507	\$989,000	\$0	\$928,731	\$8,409,238	\$0	\$8,409,23
Regulatory Policy Development/Amendment								
Zoning Text Amendments (ZTA)/Subdivision Regulation Amendments (SRA)	2.09	\$260,158			\$37,220	\$297,379		\$297,3
Zoning Ordinance Revisions and Refinements	0.80	\$99,582			\$14,247	\$113,829		\$113,8
Land Use Regulations Conditional Uses (Special Exceptions)	2.00	#250 40F			054.000	A400 705		
Local Map Amendments and Development Plan Amendments	2.88	\$358,495 \$303,725			\$51,289 \$43,454	\$409,785 \$347,179		\$409,78 \$347,17
Preliminary Plans/Subdivision Plans	13.17				\$234,543	\$1,873,913	(\$1,414,200)	\$459.7
Sketch and Site Plan Reviews	12.14				\$216,199	\$1,727,357	(\$1,414,200)	\$384,1
Pre-Application Meetings/Guidance	2.65				\$47,193	\$377,059	1	\$377,0
Regulatory Enforcement and Building Permit Review	2.19	\$272,606			\$39,001	\$311,607		\$311,60
Historic Area Work Permits	3.83	\$476,749	\$13,000	\$11,000	\$68,208	\$568,957		\$568,95
orest Conservation Reviews, Inspections & Enforcement	8.68				\$154,581	\$1,235,046		\$1,235,04
SUB-TOTAL REGULATORY PLANNING Program: INFORMATION RESOURCES	50.87	\$6,332,176	\$13,000	\$11,000	\$905,936	\$7,262,112	(\$2,757,400)	\$4,504,7
Public Information			The state of the			100	CAR TAL	ty ax by
Research Projects	2.82	\$351,027	\$54,400		\$50,221	\$455,648		\$455,64
nformation Systems/Geographic Information Systems (IS/GIS)	7.44	\$926,113	\$40,000		\$379,748	\$1,345,861		\$1,345,8
nformation Services	3.94	\$490,442			\$70,167	\$560,609	(\$284,100)	\$276,50
SUB-TOTAL INFORMATION RESOURCES Program: MANAGEMENT/ADMINISTRATION	14.20	\$1,767,582	\$94,400	\$0	\$500,136	\$2,362,118	(\$284,100)	\$2,078,0
Governance								
Nork Program Management	6.52	\$811,594			\$116,114	\$927,708		\$927,70
Nork Program Support Agency Support	10.55	\$1,313,239			\$187,883	\$1,501,122		\$1,501,1
nformation Technology	6.56	\$816,573	\$222,100		\$813,242	\$1,851,915	3.0	\$1 951 0
SUB-TOTAL MANAGEMENT/ADMINISTRATION	23.63	\$2,941,406	\$222,100	\$0	\$1,117,239	\$4,280,745	\$0	\$1,851,9
TOTAL	-	\$17,532,670	\$1,318,500		\$3,452,042	\$22,314,212		
New Work Program Efforts in FY17 Expected to end in FY16								
Apecies to end #1 FT 16	_			100		Grant		\$150,00

Special Revenue Accounts (SRA) Synopsis

The **Traffic Mitigation SRA** supports the regulatory process to ensure compliance with traffic mitigation agreements from approved development. Revenues are received from developers on an annual basis. This account is designated to pay for the independent monitoring of development agreements and to ensure that each meets and maintains its trip reduction goal.

The **Historic Preservation SRA** was established to manage funds derived from the annual contract between Montgomery County and the Planning Department to partially fund staff support to the Montgomery County Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) and other activities. The historic preservation special revenue fund remains in place as a source of funding for grant projects and sale of publications. Staff also administers additional grants from the State of Maryland, in support of historic preservation functions.

The Map Sales SRA was formerly known as the GIS Data Sales SRA. The GIS Data SRA was up in order to recover the costs of maintaining key GIS data on a routine basis as dictated by the County GIS strategic plan. Revenue for this fund used to come from the sale of GIS data to the development community. In FY15, the sale of digital GIS data was ended at the request of the Montgomery County Council and by State law enacted for the purpose of providing open data to the public. Now map sales are the remaining revenue source for this fund.

The Forest Conservation Penalty SRA receives funds from property owners that have received administration citations and administrative civil penalties. By law, the money collected in this fund must be used to administer the program. Funds have been used to reimburse hearing examiners used in violation cases, obtain transcripts for appeals, planting of new trees and forests, contractual help to digitize easements for posting on the web site and obtaining equipment and training necessary for the forest conservation inspectors to perform their duties.

The **Development Review SRA** was created to collect fees generated from the submission of development applications. A certain portion of the costs associated with the review of plans may be recovered through fees. Treating this portion separately from the remainder of the Planning Department's budget served to reduce pressure on both the Administration Fund and the Spending Affordability Guidelines. Costs have been defined broadly to reflect not only the time spent by reviewers in the analysis of development applications, but also additional support costs associated with administrative and tech team staff, public information staff, legal staff, and a certain portion of other support services, such as technology support and GIS. Revenues are defined as the fees received for record plats, preliminary plans, sketch plans, project plans, and site plans.

The Forest Conservation SRA collects fees paid by developers in lieu of planting forest. By law, this fund can only be used for forest planting, protection, and maintenance and for planting trees to create a canopy in urban areas. Examples of past expenditures include: the planting and maintenance of riparian forests in the Reddy Branch Stream Valley Park; along Beach Drive in Meadowbrook Park; along Watts Branch near Lake Potomac Drive; at Rachel Carson Park; and at the Oak Ridge Conservation Park in the Little Bennett watershed. In FY17, we anticipate expanding the planting areas in all of these environmental sensitive areas. The Fund supports the Planning Department's "Leaves for Neighborhoods" project, which provides a coupon to Montgomery County residents for the purchase of native canopy trees, and for the "Shades of Green" program, which funds planting of new canopy trees on private lands in central business districts. The Fund finances work by University of Vermont researchers to detail the amount of forest and tree cover in Montgomery County. Funds in the account are also used as leverage to help secure grants from the Maryland Department of Natural Resources and other organizations to enable additional forest planting and habitat restoration.

FY17 Master Plan and Major Projects Schedule

