

Attachment B, pages 304-371

November

From: Jennifer Khovananth
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 8:47:40 AM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
Jennifer Khovananth
5808 Namakagan Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: Cara McVie
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 8:47:37 AM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
Cara McVie
5217 Ridgefield Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: Louis Deeds
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Tuesday, November 08, 2016 11:26:35 AM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
Louis Deeds
5221 Augusta St
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: Karl Goller
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Monday, November 07, 2016 4:26:59 PM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
Karl Goller
4020 Sentinel Dr
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Jen <jenhackwith@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 9:47 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Also, it is important to keep in mind that the majority of the neighbor's are not for the current plan as approved by council. We do not want to be considered an urban area like downtown Bethesda.

I have to drive twice daily to Bethesda Elementary School and I HATE the commute. What once took me 15 minutes now takes 30 due to all the traffic. The streets are congested. We don't want this for Westbard as we are a suburban community (seriously... We didn't pay the big bucks for these houses so we could be in bumper to bumper traffic. We paid for quiet neighborhoods .)

Bethesda ES just added an entire additional wing to the school. Based on your downtown sector plan, you will be adding more units and this will require (more than likely) to add more trailers just to keep up with the influx to the schools. I don't want this for the Woodacres school. We are already the largest Elementary School in the county and just completed the renovation of an entire 2 story wing. They have 900 students. This is too large for an ES and critical at risk kids will get lost in the cracks. (I know this as mine did and we were granted a COSA to switch to Bethesda ES for bullying and special needs. My neighbor's son also fell through the cracks and they pulled him out and sent him to a private school.) The school is too big and kids with special needs that are not "failing enough" are not getting IEP's not the services they are required to by law. Reconsider the plan and let's do not push it past the original limit.

Please think about this before you proceed.

Sincerely,
Voter
(Jen Khovananth, 5808 Namakagan Rd, Bethesda)

Sent from my iPhone

John Marcolin

From: William Stromsem <wstromsem@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 9:18 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Please stop destroying our community by going ahead with your Westbard Plan. You will overcrowd our streets and schools by catering to the big-money developers. With a pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

William Stromsem
5501 Mohican Road
Bethesda, MD 20816

Sent from my iPhone

John Marcolin

From: Liza Fues <fues@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:41 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Liza Fues
7613 Winterberry Pl.
Bethesda, MD 20817

John Marcolin

From: Francesca Muratori <fmuratori@ymail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:22 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Robert McGill
Francisca Muratori
Allan Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Cathy Martens <cathymartens1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:21 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Catherine C. Martens
5227 Crown Street
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Anthony Neves <neves777@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:05 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Anthony L. Neves, 4970 Sentinel Drive #304, Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Beth <bethesanner@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 11:15 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
[Name, Address]

Sent from my iPhone

John Marcolin

From: RobDiet@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 08, 2016 1:45 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers: Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard." Sincerely, Voter [Name, Address]

Alison Dietrich
5506Parkston Road
Bethesda 20816

John Marcolin

From: Lynn Powers <lynnrpowers@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 08, 2016 12:44 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

I am a resident, parent and voter in the Glen Mar Park neighborhood of Bethesda, adjacent to the proposed monstrosity proposed along Westbard Avenue. I am absolutely appalled by the disrespect shown by the Council and Planning Committee in overriding the area residents who overwhelming oppose the rezoning and density proposed.

I oppose the adoption of the Westbard SMA until a comprehensive environmental review is completed, among many other unanswered issues, including traffic and school impacts.

The Council is elected to represent the will of the people, not the other way around.

Sincerely,
Lynn Powers
5900 Namakagan Rd.
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Cathy Martens <cathymartens1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:21 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Catherine C. Martens
5227 Crown Street
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Anthony Neves <neves777@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:05 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Anthony L. Neves, 4970 Sentinel Drive #304, Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Stacy Janes <stacyj@odinc.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 5:50 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Stacy Janes
5601 Newington Road

Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Meryl Silver <mersilver@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 4:12 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely, Voter

Meryl Silver
4907 Sangamore Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Joan Ronnenberg <joanronnen@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 2:46 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. It is an outrage that you are ignoring this.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Listen to your constituents.

Sincerely,
Joan Ronnenberg
6004 Corbin Road
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: charles mackay <mackaycharles@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 2:35 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
Charles R. MacKay
5011 worthington Drive
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Brendan Herron <herron.brendan@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 2:09 PM
To: councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit and the desired of neighbors, please vote against the Westbard SMA. I don't know how you are doing your job if you vote for it. Obviously a vote for means you care more about out of state developers than the citizens who elect you and is a reason to vote for term limits.

Sincerely,
JB Herron

4819 Ft Sumner Drive

Bethesda, Md 20816

John Marcolin

From: Simon Carr <sacarr@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 1:55 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Simon Carr
4922 Westway Dr
Bethesda MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Caroline Handorf <cdctiger@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 1:46 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter Caroline Handorf
5312 Cardinal Court
Bethesda, MD

John Marcolin

From: Marcia Griffiths <mgriffiths@manoffgroup.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 1:24 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, I believe that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. I live right at the intersection with Westbard and I am extremely concerned about your rush to move forward.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Marcia Griffiths

5203 Ridgefield Rd

John Marcolin

From: Flvita@aol.com
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 12:35 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers: Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is very possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Frank K. and Lise K. Vita
5904 Searl Terrace
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Larry Slifman <larry_slifman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 12:33 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part.

Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Larry Slifman
4423 Chalfont Pl.
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Mary Clay <marymgclay@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 12:01 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers: Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard." Sincerely, Mary Clay, 5609 Parkston Road, Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Jane Croft <bjcroft4@me.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 11:31 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard." Remember you are working for all the people of Montgomery County and should be listening to our concerns. We are tired of being ignored in this part of the country - mainly the Westbard Area. Please do your civic duty and hear our voices and act accordingly.

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Voter
Betty Jane Croft]

John Marcolin

From: Camilla David <cdavidsite@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 11:28 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
[Name, Address]

Sent from my iPad

John Marcolin

From: The Vigés <vigés@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 11:25 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Mark Vigé
5803 Cleves Ln
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Mike Pollowitz <ekim@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 11:19 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

WHY ARE YOU IGNORING US!!! ARE YOU IN THE POCHE OF BIG BUSINESS???

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
[Mike Pollowitz

John Marcolin

From: Carolina Limardo <c_limardo@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 10:57 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
[Name, Address]

Sent from my iPhone

John Marcolin

From: Phil Walker <pmw@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 10:23 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Philip Walker, Voter
5009 Fort Sumner Drive
Bethesda

John Marcolin

From: Mmpaller@aol.com
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 9:49 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Council members:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands **a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.**

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part.

Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Marsha Mann Paller

5404 Falmouth Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: barry kozak <bzrdhd@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 9:46 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Barry Kozak
5017 Fort Sumner Drive
Bethesda , MD 20816

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S® 6, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

John Marcolin

From: pgscala <pgscala@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 9:43 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Voter Pauline Scala McGuire
5301 Westbard Circle#210
Bethesda MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: James Cummings <jcummings@Novavax.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 9:31 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
James F. Cummings MD
6011 Brookside Dr
Chevy Chase, MD 20815

Confidentiality Notice: This email message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential, proprietary, or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and you are hereby notified that the copying, use, disclosure, or distribution of any information or materials transmitted in or with this message is strictly prohibited.

John Marcolin

From: Mary Eileen <maryeileenm@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 9:26 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

As I asked in my testimony in February, Why won't you listen to us?!

This is OUR neighborhood, where we have chosen to live because it didn't have high rise bldgs, heavy traffic, over crowded schools and low air and noise pollution.

We have paid the highest prices for our homes and highest taxes in the county to be here, even though OUR money is spent elsewhere- no where else are the roads this bad, grass allowed to grow 2 feet tall, and last on the list for snow removal.

In spite of this, we still choose to be here and deserve your respect and understanding when we have overwhelmingly responded that we DON'T want the density of people and buildings that you are shoving down our throats, along with all the problems that will result. Our lawsuit is an indication of our resolve to fight this plan.

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
Mary Eileen Morrissey
6212 Kennedy Drive

Sent from my iPad

John Marcolin

From: Marna Blanchette <marblanc@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 9:20 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
[Name, Address]
M Blanchette
5315 Falmouth Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

Sent from my iPhone

John Marcolin

From: Paulo Oliveira <azevedo.oliveira@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 9:07 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers: Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard." Sincerely, Voter [Name, Address]

John Marcolin

From: Steven Neeck <spneek@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:53 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Please listen to the voices of the neighborhood and desist until important questions resulting in irrevocable consequences can be answered.

Sincerely,

Steven P. Neeck
Resident of Town of Somerset

John Marcolin

From: H. Jay Sommerkamp <jsommerkamp@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:52 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Kathy & Jay Sommerkamp
4813 Ft. Sumner Drive
Bethesda, MD 20816

Sent from my iPhone
Jay

John Marcolin

From: Gwen Porcaro <gwenporcaro@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:51 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
Gwen Porcaro

John Marcolin

From: Leslie F. Kefauver <capvdeo@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:48 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. I WILL remember your response when your election time rolls around!

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
Leslie F. Kefauver

John Marcolin

From: barbara hughes <barbarafhughes@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 8:10 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov; Leventhal's Office, Councilmember;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, I ask that the Council members face reason and set a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA. The appearance is that Council members are doing the bidding of out of town developers. You owe it to your constituents to serve their interests, not the developers who will eventually leave Montgomery County for other low-hanging pickings.

An environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed, not only to face the Willett Branch question but also in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Barbara Hughes
6309 Avalon Dr
Bethesda 20816

John Marcolin

From: Greg Lawler <GLawler@lawlermetzger.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 7:49 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Gregory E. Lawler
5216 Chamberlin Avenue
Chevy Chase, Md

John Marcolin

From: Deborah Drozen <ddrozen@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 7:30 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Deborah Drozen
6007 Ramsgate Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

Sent from my iPad

John Marcolin

From: Patricia E. Kolesar <pkoles@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 7:18 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

On Election Day, you are set to adopt the Westbard SMA. Wow. The voters have told you (and the Planning Board, for that matter), time and time again, that what you have done to us at Westbard is simply unacceptable.

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corps of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too. Would it be possible to immediately release to the public all the back-up documentation which supports the Army Corps of Engineers determination that "all is well" at Westbard? We would like to see what due diligence was performed by them regarding Westbard.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Voter, Voting for Term Limits

Patricia Kolesar
5508 Jordan Road
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Beth Groves <bcolljd@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 7:13 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
Beth Groves
4417 Chalfont Place
Bethesda, MD 20816

Sent from my Verizon Wireless iPhone

John Marcolin

From: Tom Mann <tsm11@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 7:07 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
[Name, Address]

John Marcolin

From: Isabel Stromsem <isabelstromsem@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 6:57 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Isabel Stromsem
5501 Mohican Road
Bethesda, MD 20816

Sent from my iPhone

John Marcolin

From: michael.tigani <michael.tigani@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 6:40 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Michael Tigani MD
5611 Newington Rd
Bethesda MD 20816

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE smartphone

John Marcolin

From: Emmanuelle Le Bigre <elebigre@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 6:33 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
Emmy and Brieuc Le Bigre
5504 Pollard rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

John Marcolin

From: Teresa Kwong <teresakwong@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 6:33 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Teresa Kwong
5224 Westpath Way
Bethesda, MD 20816

Sent from my iPad

John Marcolin

From: Helen Martin Berns <brookeway@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 6:20 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Please do not move forward with the adoption of the Westbard SMA. I do not understand how my voice as a constituent is completely ignored by you.

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
Helen Martin Berns
4918 Brookeway Drive
Bethesda Maryland

John Marcolin

From: Madeleine McLean Longano <longanofamily@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 7:23 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Cc: Kolesar Patricia E.
Subject: WESTBARD: PLEASE, NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Please do not adopt the Westbard SMA. Please listen to those who live in the Westbard neighborhood: we do not need such an ambitious, big-box kind of center, with stories full of new residents to overcrowd our schools! Please consider our position as neighbors and residents! Let us work with you to find a solution acceptable to those who live nearby!

We also need an intense environmental review of the Westbard area to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Madeleine Longano
5906 Cranston Road
Bethesda, MD 20816
Sent from my iPhone

John Marcolin

From: Barbara Dunkley <bvd9701@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 08, 2016 2:50 PM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Voter
[Name, Address]

Sent from my iPad

John Marcolin

From: Bruce Blaylock <law@rcn.com>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 10:38 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg, Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Say NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,

Voter

Bruce Blaylock
4812 Drummond Ave.
Chevy Chase, MD 20815

John Marcolin

From: Sandra Aresta <sandra.aresta@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 07, 2016 11:36 AM
To: county.council@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov;
councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Marcolin, John; Kronenberg,
Robert; marlene.michaelson@montgomerycountymd.gov; Wright, Gwen
Subject: WESTBARD: Justice Demands a NO to the Westbard SMA

Dear Councilmembers:

I am writing to let you know as a constituent I am opposed to your approving the SMA at Westbard. As you know many constituents also are opposed to the speed with which this vote will be taken given the lawsuit and repeated outcry by constituents. Please listen to us as your job is to represent us and our interests. Approving this now would be extremely inappropriate and irresponsible.

Due to the pending lawsuit, justice demands a complete and total pause on the adoption of the Westbard SMA.

Further, it is possible that a more intense environmental review of the Westbard area must be completed in order to ascertain whether contamination exists from prior uses of the Westbard property. The Army Corp of Engineers was wrong about Spring Valley; it is possible that they are wrong about Westbard, too.

Forging ahead with the SMA when there are so many unanswered questions is a breach of fiduciary duty on your part. Please institute a complete and total pause on "all things Westbard."

Sincerely,
Sandra Aresta
Mr. Berliner's constituent

From: Howard Weiss
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 8:47:44 AM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
Howard Weiss
6003 Corbin Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: peter.dunkelberger@gmail.com
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Monday, November 07, 2016 10:35:14 AM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,

5311 Allandale Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: elizabeth richardson
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Monday, November 07, 2016 10:06:40 AM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
elizabeth richardson
5913 Onondaga Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: Elizabeth Knight
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Monday, November 07, 2016 6:52:53 AM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
Elizabeth Knight
4307 Torchlight Cir
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: Terence Marshall
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Monday, November 07, 2016 6:32:30 AM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
Terence Marshall
5304 Albemarle St
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: Helen Berns
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Monday, November 07, 2016 6:24:35 AM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
Helen Berns
4918 Brookeway Dr
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: PETER KOVACH
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Sunday, November 06, 2016 9:57:37 PM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
PETER KOVACH
4607 Cooper Ln
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: Debra Sheldon
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: EquityOne Sketch Plan is Deeply Flawed
Date: Thursday, November 03, 2016 4:06:42 PM

Dear Mr. John Marcolin,

The pending lawsuit speaks for itself. This Sketch Plan draws upon the illegal and void Westbard Sector Plan and thus it has no merit in and of itself.

The overwhelming majority of Westbard-area residents OPPOSE this massive re-development and urbanization project. Westbard-area residents requested an updated shopping center, with a reasonable amount of new density (580 new units). We will continue to oppose this out-sized project as it is unreasonable for our suburban community; we have confidence in our causes of action, as outlined in the pending lawsuit, SaveWestbard Plaintiffs v. MoCo County Council (filed Sept. 19, 2016).

Nevertheless, in order to protect residents' rights in this matter, I offer the following comments:

1. Too Much Density. This has been a common theme since Day 1. Cut this development down, drastically. Our schools and roads cannot handle the stress of this enormous development.
2. Too Little Public Open Space: 1/3 of an acre for the Civic Green? That's rather insignificant for the amount of density proposed. The entirety of the proposed public use area is excruciatingly tiny and wholly inadequate for the proposed density.
3. Westbard Avenue should not have street parking; we're not interested in an off-peak "Bethesda Avenue" traffic pattern. Further, due to the expected traffic congestion, a full, impartial, and detailed traffic analysis should be undertaken immediately.
4. The multi-story above-ground parking lot in the Willett Stream buffer zone will ruin our open vistas and is a detriment to the environment. The disrespect for the environment is profound.
5. Utility lines should be buried.
6. A complete archaeological study should be undertaken to protect the possible desecration of cemeteries.

Residents were told, repeatedly, by you, our MoCo government officials, that Sector Plans are never fully built-out; however, that is exactly what is on-tap here.

Mr. Brown thinks the Sketch Plan will "greatly enhance the Westbard area of the County." We disagree. We did not ask that our suburban community be urbanized in favor of a New York developer.

The comments herein do not constitute acquiescence to or acceptance of the Sketch Plan.

Regards,
Debra Sheldon
5915 Overlea Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816

From: Betsy Holleman
To: [Marcolin, John](#)
Subject: Westbard Sketch Plan
Date: Monday, November 07, 2016 7:40:39 AM

Please do not pass this Plan as it exists. There is no community support for such a large development. Thank you.
ECH from Kenwood.

Sent from my iPhone