

RECEIVED

MAR 1 4 2000

### DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES

Douglas M. Duncan
County Executive

March 11, 2003

Robert C. Hubbard

Director

Mr. Scott Roser Macris, Hendricks & Glascock, P.A. 9220 Wightman, Suite 120 Montgomery Village, MD 20886-1279

Re:

Stormwater Management CONCEPT RECONFIRMATION Fitzgerald

Automotive

SWM Concept #: 200790

Dear Mr. Roser:

Your request for a stormwater management reconfirmation for the above site has been evaluated. The existing on-site stormwater management concept is hereby conditionally reconfirmed to allow for construction of the proposed building and to modify the existing stormwater management facility to remove portions of it from the proposed transitway right of way (including setback areas). The conditions to be met are as follows:

- 1. The roof drains from the proposed canopy for the vehicle display area must drain to the stormwater management facilities.
- The existing Stormfilters must be disassembled according to the manufacturer recommendations. The design engineer must certify that the re-assembled Stormfilters are structurally sound and function according to the manufacturer specifications. Otherwise, they will have to be replaced.
- 3. The total amount of pipe storage in need of relocation will be determined at the sediment control design stage. New CMP elbows, tees, manifolds and pipe are required to reconfigure the system as you have proposed. Any damage to the existing facilities must be repaired to the satisfaction of MCDPS or be replaced.



If you have any questions regarding these actions, please feel free to contact Blair Lough at 240-777-6335.

Sincerely

Richard R. Brush, Manager
Water Resources Planning Section
Division of Land Development Services

RRB:dm

SM File #: 200790 cc:

# MONTGOMERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES WATER RESOURCES SECTION

255 Rockville Pike, 2nd Floor, Rockville, Maryland 20850-4153

Date: January 27, 2003

МЕМО ТО:	Michael Ma, Supervisor Development Review Committee, MNCPPC
FROM:	Blair Lough Water Resources Section, MCDPS
Regulation 5-90	Stormwater Management Concept Plan/100 yr.Floodplain Review Site Plan # 8-03021 , Middlebrook Industrial Park It-1&2 Project Plan # , Preliminary Plan # , DPS File # 200790 Subdivision Review Meeting of Ibject plan has been reviewed to determine if it meets the requirements of Executive Of for stormwater management and Executive Regulation 108-92 AM for floodplain. The arizes our findings:
On-site: On Exis Waiver: Ap	PLAN PROPOSED:    Quantity
Type Proposed Infiltration Separator S	Retention Surface Detention Wetland Sand Filter
Provide sou Submit dra	STATUS: 100 Year Floodplain On-Site  Yes  No Possibly urce of the 100 Year Floodplain Delineation for DPS approval: inage area map to determine if a floodplain study (> or equal to 30 acres) is required. h Analysis/100 yr.floodplain study: Approved Under Review:
Adequate as	ADEQUACY COMMENTS: submitted. ication of Downstream notification.
Incomplete; Hold for addi Comments/ submittal appea	as submitted with conditions (see comments below).  recommend not scheduling for Planning Board at this time.  itional information. See below  Recommendations: SWM concept reconfirmation is still under review; The phase 1  ars fine and swm exists; However, pahse 2 & 3 as shown needs verification that all  are still conveyed to the existing swm facility.
cc: Steve Fe M.H.&G	ederline, Environmental Planning Division, MNCPPC bll DRC site plan.03/01

# MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION FOREST CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

TO: <u>Plan Enforcement Staff</u> , Development Rev	iew Division
SUBJECT: Project Name <u>Middlebrook Industrial Park, Lots</u> Date Recd <u>11/20/01</u> NRI/FSD # <u>4-02143E</u>	<u>182/A</u>
The above-referenced plan has been reviewed by the to determine the requirements of Chapter 22A of the Conservation Law). A determination has been made following exemption:	ne Montgomery County Code (Forest
EXEMPTION:	
Grandfathering Provision - Lot(s) covered by a prelimin not receive a sediment control permit and for which the X Approved before July 1, 1984 and has less than 4 Approved or extended between July 1, 1984 and Mate: Activity must not result in disturbance of any forest in SPA WQP requirements. Plans approved before July 1, 1991 of >5,000 additional square feet of forest and development resubdivision are not exempt.	ne plan was: 0,000 sq. ft. of forest cover. July 1, 1991. In a stream buffer or on property subject to In that are revised and will result in cutting
This property is not subject to a Tree Save Pl  Tree protection measures are required; sedil released until MNCPPC staff has approved th MNCPPC inspector must be contacted for pre- protection measures and authorization to be	ment control permit should not be ne Tree Save Plan. e-construction inspection of tree
This property is not within a Special Protection * Properties within a Special Protection Area Water Quality Plan. Contact Leo Galanko at requirements (301-217-6323).	a (SPA) must subtill a Prelitionary
Comments	
Signature: Cathy Conlon, Environmental Planning	Date: <u>1/22/02</u>
cc: Don Rohrbaugh, SSI for the applicant (Fax 301-947-770	4)
	fcpexemption.doc r11/01

THE PROPERTY OF ALL MATERIAL TRACE FOR THE

7017·01



### MONTGOMERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION WATERSHED MANAGEMENT DIVISION

Rockville Center - 255 Rockville Pike, Suite 120 - Rockville, Maryland 20850-4166 Telephone No. 240-777-7780 - FAX No. 240-777-7715

SUBDIVISION PLAN REVIEW: MNCPPC Development Review Committee (DRC) Comprehensive Water Supply and Sewerage Systems Plan Issues

MNCPPC File Number: 8-03021

DRC Meeting Date: 01/27/2003

Subdivison Plan Name: MIDDLEBROOK INDUSTRIAL PARK

Proposed Development: commercial: auto sales & service (12,296 sq.ft.); offices (55,936 sq.ft.)\*

Watershed: Great Seneca Creek

Zoning: 1-1

Planning Area: Germantown

Site Area: 2.93 acres

Location: Middlebrook Rd. & Aramanth Dr.

Engineer: Macris, Hendricks & Glascock 301-670-0840

Water Supply and Sewerage Systems (as specified on the subject subdivision plan or plan application)

Proposed Water Supply:

Proposed Wastewater Disposal:

Community (public) WATER system

Community (public) SEWER system

Existing Service Area Categories: Water: W - 1

Sewer: **S - 1** 

Water/Sewer Plan Map Amendment:

Water Supply Comments:

Sewerage System Comments:

Yes; the water supply system is consistent with the existing

water service area category

Yes; the sewerage system is consistent with the existing sewer service area category

#### \*Additional Comments:

The plan proposes a three-phase development of the site. The first phase will be an auto sales trailer\*\*; the second phase the auto sales and service facility; the third phase the office building and a parking garage.

\*\*The phased plans show water and sewer service connections only starting with the second phase of development. Will the phase one trailer have any sanitary facilities? If so, how will water supply and wastewater disposal be handled, and for how long?

The phase three office building appears to build its water and sewer connections/hookups off of those to be built for the auto showroom. This needs to be addressed with WSSC staff who may require either separate connections to the existing mains for each structure or connections to on-site main extensions.

Prepared by: Alan Soukup

Date prepared:

01/24/2003

April 23, 2003

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Robert Kronenberg, Site Plan Reviewer

**Development Review Division** 

FROM:

Sue Edwards, I-270 Corridor Team Leader Sul

Community Based Planning Division

SUBJECT:

Middlebrook Industrial Park, Lots 1 & 2 (Site Plan #8-03021)

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** Approval with condition that property be acquired in advance of need for future transit station.

This memo presents the findings of the Community Based Planning team concerning master plan consistency, transit station location, alternative station locations, access and community impacts for the subject property.

#### VICINITY DESCRIPTION

Frontage of the subject property occurs on Middlebrook Road which was improved in recent years to three lanes in each direction with a concrete median. The existing intersection of Middlebrook Road and Waring Staion Road is controlled by traffic signal. Adjoining uses to the subject property include the newly constructed Criswell Honda display lot, showroom, and service department. Between the Criswell site and I-270, additional auto storage occurs while construction proceeds with two buildings for outdoor motor sports sales and service (see Figure 1).

The Gunner's Lake Village residential community lies across Middlebrook Road to the south and comprises the neighborhoods of Middlebrook Commons and Gunner's View. The area immediately north of the site on Middlebrook Road is designated as Middlebrook Tech Park; the land northwest of the site accessed by an extension of Waring Station Road is the Department of Energy (DOE) In the past year, the secondary entrance to the DOE site has been closed off by

security fencing and Jersey barriers.

#### MASTER PLAN RECOMMENDATIONS

The subject property is located in analysis area EC-5 of the 1989 Germantown Master Plan. The Master Plan describes this area as being "located in the northwest quadrant of Middlebrook Road and I-270. It contains 40 acres and is zoned I-1 in accordance with the recommendations of the 1974 Germantown Master Plan. This area is located south of the existing Department of Energy facility and across Middlebrook Road from a single-family detached subdivision [sic townhouses] (page 48)".

"This Analysis Area contains five recorded lots and an approved preliminary plan of subdivision in the I-1 Zone. Because of these development approvals, this Master Plan recommends retaining the I-1 zoning classification. In order to assure that excessive traffic congestion is not created, development in this area should be limited to a total of 700,000 square feet of building area, including existing and future construction (2,800 employees) at an overall floor area ratio of 0.4 (page 48)".

#### TRANSIT RECOMMENDATIONS

The Master Plan contained reference to the Corridor Cities Easement as a proposed right of way 70 feet wide extending from the Shady Grove Metro station north through the corridor cities of Gaithersburg, Germantown and Clarksburg (see Figure 2 from Master Plan).

The Master Plan addresses future studies that would analyze potential alignments by means of the following text:

"It is important to assure that the right-of-way for future transit service be protected. The Corridor Cities Transit Easement Study began in spring 1988. It will identify and review alternatives in land use patterns, various transit types and specific alignments, ridership estimates, environmental impacts, station and storage yard locations, and site analysis associated with the transit easement (page 126, emphasis added).

A continuation of this study, Final Report: Shady Grove-Clarksburg Study 2, was issued by the Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation in 1996. This document identified the Middlebrook future transit station shown on Figure 3. The facilities associated with the station include: 2 bus bays; 50 surface parking spaces; 50 spaces within a three-story parking structure; 8 kiss-and-ride spaces. The total acreage required by these facilities is estimated to be 1.3 acres.

In establishing the need for the station, the Final Report responded to Issue #S-3 as follows:

"Since the station on the Montouri Property is not recommended, is there a need and a potential for a station between Metropolitan Grove and the Germantown Town Center?

...The [Final Report] Review Team directed the [project] consultants to examine the positive and negative impact of locating a station on Middlebrook Road. It was found that the Middlebrook Road station could offer better relationships with bus routes, residential properties, and employees. A station has been recommended for this area (Final Report, page 37)".

The Montouri property is the location of the large church off Waring Station Road visible from I-270 north.

#### **COMMUNITY CONCERNS**

The Germantown Citizens Association and the Germantown Alliance have testified in opposition to the two previous automobile sales and service uses at this location. The applicant has met with both groups to discuss the elements of this application.

#### **ANALYSIS**

The location of the Middlebrook Road transit station has been used for concept level planning by the Department of Public Works and Transportation, the State Highway Administration for the Draft Environmental Impact statement for proposed improvements to I-270, and by the Department of Park and Planning in site plans for adjacent properties which have developed as automobile sales and service uses. The Maryland Transit Authority recently responded to the applicant (Attachment A) that acquisition of the subject property was underway with the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission and that the Final Environmental Impact Statement (expected in 2005) would make a more definitive determination of alignment and station location.

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Protection of this site for a future transit station by means of advance land acquisition is critical to meeting the land use recommendations for the I-270 Employment Corridor in Germantown and Clarksburg. State, local, and federal elected officials, including the municipalities of Gaithersburg and Rockville are unified in endorsing the CCT project need, alignment, and supporting facilities.

Alternative station sites were examined in 1987-88 during the Master Plan and again in 1994-95 while preparing the alignment study that recommended this

location. The forthcoming update of Germantown Master Plan commencing in July 2003 provides the opportunity to revisit land use recommendations, access and site issues for the Middlebrook station and the surrounding vicinity.

G: Edwards/8-03021.Fitzgerald.staffmemo.doc N:divcp/Edwards/8-03021.Fitzgerald.doc

## HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

3 Bethesda Metro Center Suite 800 Bethesda, Maryland 20814-6301

301-654-7800 FAX 301-656-3978 www.hklaw.com

March 14, 2003



# APPENDIX C

Orlando Bethesda Boston Providence St. Petersburg Bradenton San Antonio Chicago San Francisco Fort Lauderdale Seattle Jacksonville Tallahassee Lakeland Los Angeles Tampa Washington, D.C. Melbourne West Palm Beach Miami

International Offices: Caracas\* Mexico City Rio de Janeiro \*Representative Office

São Paulo Tel Aviv\* Tokvo

#### ROBERT R. HARRIS

301-215-6607 rharris@hklaw.com

The Honorable Derick Berlage Chairman Montgomery County Planning Board The Maryland-National Capital Park & Planning Commission 8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20910

### PLANNING BOARD EXECUTIVE SESSION DISCUSSION MARCH 20, 2003

Re: Corridor Cities Transitway
Lots 1 and 2, Block A,
Middlebrook Industrial Park

### Dear Chairman Berlage:

We represent Kensington Realty, Inc., the owner of Lots 1 and 2 in Middlebrook Industrial Park, along Middlebrook Road in Germantown. We understand the Planning Board will be discussing with staff during the Executive Session of the March 20, 2003 Planning Board meeting, the possibility of purchasing these properties for a potential future transitway station. The purpose of this letter is to register our strong opposition to the potential condemnation or purchase of this property by Montgomery County.

By way of background, these two lots total 2.93 acres and are zoned I-1 (light industrial). The owner is part of the Fitzgerald Automotive Team which operates automobile dealerships in the region. They purchased these properties with the intention of using them for an automobile dealership and a possible office building. Neighboring properties have been developed similarly. The properties are already record lots, are zoned to allow automobile dealership uses,

The Honorable Derick Berlage March 14, 2003 Page 2

have excellent road frontage and have no compatibility issues with neighboring properties, all difficult commodities to find today making them nearly irreplaceable for the intended use.

Since purchasing the property, our client has graded and paved both lots, has installed underground storm water management facilities, lighting and landscaping and is using them for automobile storage while awaiting approvals for dealership use. Earlier this year, we submitted a Site Plan (Site Plan No. 8-03021) to develop the properties in three additional stages consisting of (1) a temporary building for auto sales; (2) an automobile dealership building; and (3) an office building. (The Zoning Ordinance requires Site Plan approval for automobile dealerships but allows other uses including office buildings, storage, retail uses, etc. as a matter of right).

At the Development Review Committee meeting on January 27, 2003, Staff indicated a potential interest in the entire property for use as a station for the Corridor Cities Transitway. The possibility of running the Transitway across the front of the property without a station using the remainder was also discussed. As you may be aware, the Germantown Master Plan recommendes construction of a Corridor Cities Transitway through Germantown and identifies a series of potential alignments. One option crosses the front of this property. In addition, it suggests the possibility of 4 transit stations, each approximately 10 acres in size to provide for the station, parking (1,000 spaces), bus bays and kiss and ride areas. A south Germantown station is called for in Planning Area GL-2, along Waring Station Road between Middlebrook Road and Clopper Road. It recommends no station on our client's property.

Since then, there have been informal studies looking at the alternative alignments, but no formal alignment nor any particular station locations have been set. Recently, the Maryland Department of Transportation has initiated environmental impact studies of the entire Corridor Cities Transitway. Those studies are not expected to be completed until December, 2003 or later. Additionally, Governor Ehrlich has requested funding to evaluate the Corridor Cities Transitway including the possibility that it would not be a rail line but would only be a busway.

We believe it is inappropriate to prohibit our client from developing its property further or for the County to acquire it by condemnation. Given the undecided nature of the Transitway, the absence of a Master Plan recommendation for a station here, and the fact that our client is using the property for a business purpose today and intends to begin automobile sales there as soon as its Site Plan is approved, our client opposes any mandatory sale of the property to the County.

It may be useful for you to have additional information both on the prematurity of an acquisition of this property and, even more importantly, the inappropriateness of it for a station. In terms of prematurity, we note that the environmental impact studies will take at least a year, and a proposed Master Plan for the Germantown Area to begin this summer will take at least two years. Both will have significant impact on fixing a transitway alignment, the mode of transit, the location of stations and timing. Prejudging that this property is required for a station when it was not planned that way in any previous Master Plan is inappropriate. We have no basis for believeing this will be a station site. Similarly, even assuming the site were considered for a transit station after those studies are completed in several years, there is no funding in place for construction of the transitway. Moreover, discussions to date have assumed that any construction of it will proceed in phases with the first phase only to the Metropolitan Grove area or somewhere south of Germantown. The bottom line is that any transitway is many years away from beginning constructed through the Germantown area and no one knows if a station will even be located here.

Even more importantly, in terms of the impropriety of designating this site as a station location and the condemnation of our client's property at this time, we note the following:

- 1. No such station is identified in the Germantown Master Plan.
- 2. The property owner has relied on the Master Plan first in acquiring the property for development and then proceeding with past construction. Plans are now proceeding for the automobile dealership facilities and a transit station would completely displace these uses.
- 3. The more recent thought of studying this site for a potential transit station is not based upon a sufficient level of information to support condemnation.
- 4. Specific problems with this site for a transit station are:
  - The site is small (2.93 acres compared with the 10 acres identified in the earlier Master Plan as desirable for stations) and has slopes enabling the construction of only a small station with limited parking.
  - Vehicular access (both cars and buses) is inferior for a transit station.

- There is no signal at Middlebrook Road and Amaranth Drive.
- > There is no median break at Middlebrook Road and Amaranth Drive; only right in and right out movements are and will be permitted because of site distance issues, the curve and hill on Middlebrook Road and intersection spacing with Waring Station Road to the West.
- Automobiles and buses coming from the north and west could not access the station without going well beyond I-270, making a U-turn and then coming back to the station.
- > Traffic leaving the station and headed south and east on Middlebrook Road would need to cross several lanes of traffic immediately in order to enter the left turn lane at Waring Station Road and then make a U-turn.
- In addition to the conflict with this site, a station and transit alignment here would eliminate an <u>existing</u> office building and parking on an adjoining site.
- There are better alternatives; a site at the extension of Waring Station Road, perhaps on the corner of the Department of Energy, would allow full intersection movements at Middlebrook Road, more parking and would better serve the Department of Energy.

In summary, our client must register its complete opposition to the sale of this property. Our client's intention is to continue proceeding with its Site Plan approval and to proceed with the next phase of development within the next several months, followed later by the other phases. In the interest of cooperation, however, our client is prepared to offer the County a reservation of a strip of land along the frontage of its property to accommodate the transitway itself (not a station) as reflected in the pending Site Plan. Although our client is not obligated to place even that property in reservation because it already consists of record lots and does not have to go through the subdivision process, our client is prepared to place the area shown on the Site Plan in reservation if the subject Site Plan is approved. This would protect the frontage of the property for a possible transitway but would allow our client to develop the

The Honorable Derick Berlage March 14, 2003 Page 5

remainder of it for the contemplated uses. We recognize that it would not allow construction of the station but, we believe, for the reasons stated above, that no station will ever be constructed on the property anyway. We understand the importance of the transitway to the County and hope that the Planning Board understands the importance of this property to our client. The transitway itself can be accommodated here but the station cannot. We ask that you consider these thoughts in your deliberations.

Cordially yours

Robert R. Harris

cc: Planning Board Members
Jack Fitzgerald
Sue Edwards
Ron Welke

Michelle Rosenfeld, Esquire

Robert Kronenberg

bsa1 #22215 v1



### MARYLAND TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

### MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr., Governor • Robert L. Flanagan, Secretary • Robert L. Smith, Administrator

April 18, 2003

Mr. Perry Berman 5087 Columbia Road Columbia MD 21044-5505

Dear Mr. Berman:

I am writing to share the status of our ongoing work to minimize potential impacts associated with the Corridor Cities Transitway (CCT) alignment which is under study as part of the I-270/US 15 Multi-Modal Corridor Study. This work relates to your client's property at Middlebrook Road and Amaranth Drive near the proposed Middlebrook Road station.

At your request, we attempted to determine whether the alignment could be shifted into excess State Highway Administration right-of-way. After careful study, we are unable to determine the exact right-of-way needs beyond what was previously identified in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. Updated mapping obtained from the development site engineers is not detailed enough to accomplish this task. Though there will be later opportunities for alignment revisions, at this time we cannot rule out the possibility of impacts to the auto dealership, parking areas, and the stormwater management pond.

We understand that the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission is proceeding with negotiations to acquire the parcel for a future transit station. In cooperation with that effort, during the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) phase we will obtain additional mapping that will allow us to make a more definitive determination of the alignment and station location, as well as determine measures needed to minimize any impacts to adjacent site improvements. This approach does not constitute any agreement or intention on our part to shift or relinquish the CCT master plan alignment, or any determination of the location and need by the County for a future station.

We have also investigated your suggestion for a station closer to the Department of Energy (DOE). Our review of files concerning coordination between Montgomery County and DOE during the early phase of the transitway development indicates that DOE prefers a station close to their primary entrance off MD 118, but ruled out a station on their own property due to security concerns which have since been heightened. DOE representatives felt that a closer, larger station in the Germantown Town Center and a secondary station on Middlebrook Road to the south were preferable to a station on DOE property.

Mr. Perry Berman Page 2

We will continue to work with Montgomery County representatives to finalize the alignment and long-term station location so that local planning issues are area properly addressed. The M-NCPPC will undertake a revision to the 1989 Germantown Master Plan in FY 04 which will include examination of land use, circulation, and transit station location for a small area of Middlebrook Road near Waring Station Road and Amaranth Drive. Strategies to maximize vehicular and pedestrian access opportunities will be investigated as well.

We anticipate identifying a preferred highway and transit alternate in late 2003. The FEIS will then be prepared, and federal approval of the document will be requested in Fall, 2004. The project will then become a candidate for subsequent funding to perform detailed engineering in order to develop the construction details.

Thank you for your interest and involvement in this study. If you have any questions, please call me at 410-767-3787 or Mr. Lorenzo Bryant, the MTA project manager, at 410-767-3754.

Sincerely,

Henry M. Kay, Director

Office of Planning

cc: Mr. Lorenzo Bryant, Project Manager, Office of Planning, Maryland Transit
Administration

Ms. Sue Edwards, Team Leader Area 3, Community-Based Planning, M-NCPPC

Mr. Richard Hawthorne, Chief, Transportation Planning, M-NCPPC

Mr. Rob Klein, Transportation Planner, Montgomery Co., DPW&T

Mr. Russell Walto, Project Manager, State Highway Administration