Rock Creek Hiker-Biker Trail Bridge Public Meeting Comments May 1, 2003

The first Public Meeting for the Rock Creek Hiker-Biker Trail Bridge Facility Planning Study was held at Wheaton Woods Elementary School on Thursday, May 1, 2003. Approximately 15 citizens attended this meeting. Most of those in attendance live near the Rock Creek Trail or access the Trail in the vicinity of the Study Area. Some of the attendees are bicyclists who use the Rock Creek Hiker-Biker Trail as their primary bike route to work and others use it for recreation. No elected officials attended. Following a short presentation given by the Study Team, a question and answer session concluded the meeting. The following displays were available for public comment:

- Alternative 1 No-Build
- Alternative 2 M-NCPPC preferred Alignment
- Alternative 3 SHA suggested Alignment (winding path through park)
- Alternative 4 Direct Trail Connection (minimization of impacts)

The following specific comments and responses were recorded at the meeting and categorized by subject in the following table:

TOPIC	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
General	Are the proposed alignments marked in the field? Could a public walk-through be organized?	M-NCPPC and DPWT will look into the possibility of a public field review
General	Neighborhood transit (bus) users would benefit from improved access to the Trail and the proposed crossing – to cross Veirs Mill Road more safely.	All alternatives provide a safer crossing of Veirs Mill Road and access to the bus stop.
General	Bicycle commuters would benefit from shorter, more direct trails. The Park already has miles of winding path and additional meandering is not desired.	Will be a consideration during the decision making process.
General	Could a high fence block the view of the bridge from the residences?	No, the required height of the fence would be at least 20-feet in places, which is an undesirable condition. Fences may also detract from the aesthetics of the bridge.
No-Build	How can the intersection be improved for pedestrians with the soon-to-be constructed widening?	As part of SHA's project, signals will be programmed to provide adequate pedestrian Crossing time. Pedestrian refuge will be provided in the widened median. Improved sidewalks will be provided along the north edge of MD 586.

Rock Creek Hiker-Biker Trail Bridge Public Meeting Comments May 1, 2003

General	Has wildlife habitat and road crossing been accounted for?	Yes. As part of the study, impacts to wildlife and habitat will be accounted for and documented. Currently, the Veirs Mill Road structure over Rock Creek provides for wildlife crossings.
Design Criteria	Commuter bicyclist would like to see larger curve radius to provide smoother travel at greater speeds.	The Design Team will consider this.
Impacts	How will impacts to environmental features be mitigated?	Mitigation practices will be performed for all impacts to the environment as set by Federal, State and Local Environmental Agencies.
Bridge	What type of bridge will be constructed?	No decisions have been made about the design of the bridge at this point. It will be constructed to provide a "Gateway to Rockville " with certain features designed by an artist.
Bridge	Could the bridge be skewed across MD 586?	That option was investigated and was found not to be desirable due to the length and depth of structure it would require.
Timeline	How long before the project is complete?	Application for funding will happen by Sept. 2003. Construction will start two years after funding approval.

June 23, 2003

MEMORANDUM

TO:

File

FROM:

Robert T Galla, Jr., P.E.

REFERENCE:

Rock Creek Hiker-Biker Trail Bridge Study

Meeting with Aspen Hill Civic Association

Meeting Summary

A meeting between the Rock Creek Hiker-Biker Trail Bridge Study Team and the Aspen Hill Civic Association (AHCA) was held on Wednesday, June 18, 2003 at 10:00 a.m. in the offices of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) in Silver Spring, Maryland. The purpose of the meeting was to review Alternative No. 5 with the AHCA. The Team developed Alternative No. 5 to address earlier comments from the AHCA. The following were in attendance:

Thomas Hardmon	AHCA	301-871-6216
David Polinsky	AHCA	301-466-4874
Carol Petzold	AHCA	301-871-7413
Dilip Pandya	M-NCPPC	301-495-2469
Tricia McManus	M-NCPPC	301-495-3580
Rob Galla	URS Corporation	410-785-7220

Mr. Galla began the meeting by describing Alternative No. 5. The AHCA representatives offered the following comments:

- Consider sidewalk on Baltic instead of ramps in park.
- Who owns property on park side of Baltic? (Later confirmed to be M-NCPPC.)
- Add stairs from bridge at south side of Veirs Mill Road crossing.
- Put bus stop on south side of Veirs Mill Road next to bridge. Request State to put in sidewalk to connect to new bus stop as a change order on CRS project.
- Request that SHA accommodate pedestrians at traffic signal at Aspen Hill Road intersection by providing: more time for people to cross; island in middle; and on demand pedestrian.
- Community concerned that trail is located in isolated area.
- Lighting on bridge will not resolve security concerns.
- Snow removal/maintenance? M-NCPPC does not remove snow from trails.
- Provide AHCA copies (JPEG/PDF) of alternatives.

MEMORANDUM June 23, 2003 Page 2

Post public meeting notes at Glenmont Metro, WMATA bus stops in park.

The AHCA wanted to look at locating the bridge closer to the community. The group discussed moving the crossing to the Aspen Hill Road intersection. It was agreed that a crossing in this location would require substantial property acquisition on the north side of Veirs Mill Road in order to provide an accessible route to the structure and would leave the bike trail within the community. The AHCA understood the undesirable impacts at crossing at the intersection.

A copy of Alternative No. 5 display was left with the AHCA and an electronic version will be provided so it can be posted on their website.

The meeting ended at 11:00 a.m.

RTG:slm

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dilip Pandya

FROM:

Romaine Kesecker, RLA, ASLA

DATE:

July 8, 2003

REFERENCE:

Rock Creek Hiker-Biker Trail Bridge Study

July 2, 2003 Public Meeting Meeting Minutes / Action Items

The second Public Meeting for the Rock Creek Trail Bridge Project was held at Parkland Middle School on Wednesday, July 2, 2003 at 7:30 PM. Approximately 10 citizens attended this meeting along with a number of County and M-NCPPC staff. Most of those in attendance reside near the Rock Creek Trail, or access the Trail in the vicinity of the Study Area. Some of the attendees were bicycling commuters who use the Rock Creek Hiker-Biker Trail as their primary bike route to work, and others use it for recreation. House Delegates Carol Petzold, Hank Heller (of District 19, Ways and Means Committee) and a representative of Council member Marilyn Praisner's office also attended. Following a project introduction by Dilip Pandya, a presentation of the project, its latest alignment and other items was given by Romaine Kesecker via PowerPoint, with a question and answer session concluding the meeting. The following materials were available for public review and comment:

- Alternative 5 Display
- 30% Construction Plans

The following specific comments and responses were recorded at the meeting and categorized by subject in the following table:

TOPIC	COMMENTS	RESPONSE	ACTION ITEMS
1. Bridge	How close is the bridge	The bridge as proposed is	M-NCPPC should
over Veirs	, , , , ,	approximately 85' from the	establish a meeting with
Mill Road	and residence at 5114	property line and 130' from the	
	Adrian Street? Is the	1 3	field as soon as possible
	bridge is too close? Can	needs to be reasonably close to	to review the new
	it be moved farther	the intersection to be worth using	
	away?	by pedestrians and bicyclists, and	additional concerns. The
	·	especially for the transit stops. It	
		has been located away from the	
		adjacent property to the extent	
		possible to minimize	2570, cell is 301-252-

		environmental impacts and to service the neighborhood pedestrians and transit users.	2946.
2. Bridge	Will the bridge be visible from the adjacent property? The bedrooms of two young girls face the bridge site, and trail users should not be able to look into the house. The adjacent homeowner does not want screening of landscape plant material and is concerned that it may attract homeless to set up camp between the landscape screening and	The Design team can work with the adjacent homeowner regarding additional landscape screening possibilities for the view of the bridge from the house.	
3. Bridge	Will the bridge be designed to support snow removal trucks? The park needs to be able to plow the bridge after snowfall.	The bridge will be designed for H-10 loading which will accommodate light maintenance vehicles, such as pickup trucks. M-NCPPC does not perform snow removal on their trails from a maintenance standpoint. However, for transit users there may be a need for snow plowing. Snow would have to be removed from the bridge, as snow can not be pushed/blown through the barrier fence to the road below.	M-NCPPC, the Park Manager, Montgomery County, and related transit authorities to discuss how snow plowing will be handled, and who will perform the work.
4. Bridge	Please coordinate with police so that they can provide input on their needs. Police need to be able to drive on path through park. Can a police vehicle cross the bridge?	The bridge will have 12' wide inside clearance. A police cruiser would be able to cross the bridge. Placement of bollards at the bridge entrances is not a standard practice by the M-NCPPC, and they are a safety issue with bicyclists.	M-NCPPC to discuss issues with park police to determine need for a police cruiser to cross via the bridge.

5.Stair	Please describe the stair	The stair tower would consist of	M-NCPPC to determine
Tower	tower, and how far is	about 45 to 50 steps with	if stair tower is to be
	the stair tower and the	landings at about every 12 steps.	included in application
	connecting sidewalk	It would consist of an open	for funding. Preliminary
	from Veirs Mill Road?	design for security concerns in	cost estimates for the
	Is too far away? Can it	order to allow for the clearest	stair tower are at
	be moved closer? The	visibility to the structure.	\$40,000 however the cost
	stair tower should be no		may be substantially
	further than 20' from	The path to the stair tower from	higher for all
	the roadway. Many	the transit stop would vary from	requirements, including
	trail users will be using	about 10' to 40' from the future	lighting and long-term,
	the trail late at night and	edge of widened Veirs Mill Road	maintenance of this
	will not feel safe using	(location of stop subject to	structure.
	the sidewalk/stair tower	change). The sidewalk as it is	Sir wertin C.
	if it is so far from the	shown was set to avoid a	
	road. The sidewalk	proposed SWM ditch which will	
	should be along the	run along the widened shoulder,	
	road. The ingress/egress	and to avoid existing trees. The	
	to the stair tower should	sidewalk and tower can be	
	be oriented to the	moved closer to the roadway, but	
	roadway.	the ditch may be impacted. Also,	
	Todaway.	moving the stair tower closer will	
		increase the number of steps	
		required. Too many steps may	
		deter pedestrians from using the	
		stair tower. The design team will	
		look into options for setting the	
		sidewalk and stair tower closer to	
		the road. It was shown as well	
		that there may be an alternative	
		to the stair tower by connecting a	
		path from the transit stop to the	
		southern terminus of the bridge.	
		The stair tower will also be	
		subject to available construction	
		funding for the overall project.	
6 Cananal	Will the various	Yes. The sidewalks and stairs	
6. General			
	sidewalks and stairs be	will be appropriately lighted with pedestrian poles or other suitable	
	lighted?	<u> </u>	
		fixtures. The bridge lighting may	
		be flush-mount type fixtures in	
		the parapet (assisting to reduce glare considerations for	
		١	
7 Company	Homeless individuals	motorists).	M NCDDC to itit
7. General			M-NCPPC to investigate.
	have been noted living	manager item to resolve.	

	within the forested areas of the northern section of the bridge area. Will they be a problem living under the bridge?		
8. Bus Stop	Can a more formalized	The final design will investigate this with the transit agency.	M-NCPPC and DPWT to consider determining if rider ship numbers exist
	be provided?		for the transit stops.

Additional topics discussed at the meeting include:

- Overall, there was general consensus the project would benefit the community, and that the crossing would provide an excellent means of pedestrians and transit users crossing Veirs Mill Road more safely.
- It was also noted that SHA widening work included reprogramming signal to provide adequate timing for pedestrians and provision of pedestrian refuge in the center median.
- M-NCPPC also anticipates adding a public artist to the Team in the near future. The artist will work with the Team for incorporation of artistic treatment into the project.
- The Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) and the SHA's District Office are critical Team members in the project and will be involved in every aspect of the bridge crossing.
- Drainage off the bridge will likely be pick-up with scuppers and directed to appropriate stormwater management practices. No drainage discharge will occur over the roadway.

The attendance sign-in sheet has been scanned and is available from Dilip Pandya or URS.

Please contact me if there are any question or comments regarding the above.

cc: Peter Noursi, M-NCPPC
Tricia McManus, M-NCPPC
Marian Elsasser, M-NCPPC
Rodney Brown, P.E., DPWT
Hai-yan Zhang, DPWT
Bob Simpson, DPWT
Robert T. Galla, Jr., P.E., URS
B.C. Mehta, P.E., URS
Chris Growchowski, URS