

January 2, 2004

#### Memorandum

To:

Montgomery County Planning Board

Karl Moritz, Research & Technology Center, 301-495-1312 From:

Re: Bill 37-03: Growth Policy - Process

This bill contains proposed changes to the process for adopting an Annual Growth Policy. The proposed amendments implement positions taken by the County Council during their review of the Annual Growth Policy in October 2003. Most of the growth policy decisions made by the Council were implemented by amending the AGP resolution, but process-related changes are made by amending the County Code.

The proposed changes are needed because the County Code now requires the Planning Board to prepare an AGP Ceiling Element each year. One of the main reasons a Ceiling Element is needed is to implement Policy Area Transportation Review. Since the County Council eliminated Policy Area Transportation Review, a Ceiling Element is no longer needed.

The other major change contained in Bill 37-03 is a compression of the schedule for the biennial AGP process now referred to as the "policy element." In oddnumbered years starting in 2005, the schedule would be as follows:

	Current	Proposed
Staff Draft due by:	May 1	June 15
Planning Board recommendations due by:	June 15	August 1
Executive's recommendations due by:	August 1	September 15
Board of Education's recommendations due by:	September 1	October 1
WSSC's recommendations due by:	September 1	October 1
Council action due by:	November 1	November 15

While the time period for the Planning Board's review would shift from May to July, the amount of time (45 days) would remain the same.

A public hearing is tentatively scheduled for January 13, 2004. Staff recommends that the Planning Board support the proposed review schedule. Although the differences in the two schedules are modest, the proposed schedule reduces the lag time between the release of the Planning Board's recommendations and the County Council's public hearing on those recommendations by about half (90 days to 45 days). These may be some benefit to reducing this lag time; at least, staff does not believe that the current schedule's "summer break" between the Planning Board's work and the Council public hearing has had demonstrable benefits.

The other benefit to the proposed schedule is that the Staff Draft AGP could take into account the most recent CIP, which is adopted in May.

Bill 37-03 is attached.

#### Update on Other AGP-Related Issues

Report on the Effect of the New AGP

On November 19, 2003, Chairman Berlage received a letter from Councilmembers Andrews, Perez and Praisner requesting that six months after the new AGP goes into effect, the Planning Board report on the "track the loss of developer-funding for transportation mitigation that was an option for addressing area wide traffic congestion under policy area review." On December 5, 2003, Chairman Berlage replied that such a report would be prepared on or about January 31, 2005. A copy of the letter and the reply is attached.

Limiting Unmitigated Trips in Metro Station Policy Areas

The newly-adopted AGP resolution states that "The Planning Board must submit to the Council by February 1 an AGP amendment proposing a specific maximum number of unmitigated trips for each Metro Station Policy Area under the Alternative Review Procedure for Metro Station Policy Areas, considering its current number of jobs and housing units and its current congestion levels." Planning staff is working on the issue, which we plan to bring to the Planning Board for review in a week or two.

#### Testing the Adequacy of Transportation Facilities at Zoning

In April 2003, the County Council passed zoning text amendment 03-06, which addressed the issue of testing rezoning applications for the adequacy of transportation facilities in Metro station policy areas. Specifically, the zoning text amendment addressed the situation of a proposed development project that would be using the AGP's Alternative Review Procedure for Metro Station Policy Areas. At issue: what is an appropriate test at the zoning stage when a policy decision has been made to reduce the stringency of the test at the subdivision stage. The Council's action on ZTA 03-06 made it clear that such projects could satisfy the transportation test at zoning by showing that they would be able to comply with the requirements of the AGP's Alternative Review Procedure for Metro Station Policy Areas.

However, the Council included sunset language in ZTA 03-06 so that the provision expired on November 1, 2003. The expectation was that the issue would be resolved during the AGP Policy Element discussions, but it was not. Staff is now reviewing options for addressing the issue and will be bringing a proposal to the Planning Board soon.

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:

County Council

FROM:

Michael Faden, Senior Legislative Attorney

SUBJECT:

Introduction: Bill 37-03, Growth Policy - Process

Bill 37-03, Growth Policy - Process, sponsored by the Council President, is scheduled to be introduced on November 18, 2003. A public hearing is tentatively scheduled for January 13, 2004.

Bill 37-03 makes the technical changes to the current law required to implement the Council's decision, made during its consideration of the 2003-5 Annual Growth Policy, to eliminate the ceiling element and convert the Policy fully to a biennial basis.

This packet contains:
Bill 37-03
Legislative Request Report

F:\BILLS\0337 AGP\Intro Memo.Doc

Bill No	<u>37-03</u>		
Concerning:	Growth Polic	y - Process	3
Revised:	11-14-03	Draft No.	2
Introduced:	November	18, 2003	
Expires:	May 18, 20	05	
Enacted:			
Executive: _			
Effective:			
Sunset Date:	None		
Ch, L	aws of Mont. C	Co.	

# COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President
-----------------------

#### AN ACT to:

- (1) repeal the requirement to adopt a ceiling element of the annual growth policy;
- (2) revise the schedule and terminology for the policy element; and
- (3) generally amend the law governing the County growth policy.

### By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 33A, Planning Procedures Section 33A-15

Boldface	Heading or defined term.
Underlining	Added to existing law by original bill.
[Single boldface brackets]	Deleted from existing law by original bill.
Double underlining	Added by amendment.
[[Double boldface brackets]]	Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.
* * *	Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

1	Sec.	Section 55A-15 is amende	u as ionows:
2	33A-15.	Annual] Growth Policy.	
3	(a)	Purpose.	• •
4		1) The purpose of this Ar	ticle is to establish a process by which the
5		County Council can gi	ve policy guidance to agencies of
6		government and the pu	ablic on matters concerning:
7		(A) land use develop	pment;
8		(B) growth manage:	ment; and
9		(C) related environr	nental, economic, and social issues.
10		2) The [process] policy g	uidance will be [established] provided
11		through the adoption b	y the County Council of [an annual] a
12		growth policy, which i	s intended to be an instrument that
13		facilitates and coordinates	ates the use of the powers of government to
14		limit or encourage gro	wth and development in a manner that best
15	•	enhances the general h	ealth, welfare, and safety of the residents
16		of the County.	
17	(b)	Simplified description.	
18		(1) The annual growth pol	icy has 2 components: a ceiling element
19		and a policy element.	
20		2) The ceiling element m	ust be adopted annually by the County
21		Council. It consists of	
22		(A) growth ceilings	based on transportation capacity for each
23		policy area in th	e County, for both residential and
24		employment lar	d uses, which must be consistent with
25		relevant portion	s of state, county, and municipal capital
26		improvement pr	ograms;

27			(B)	the determination whether adequate capacity will exist for
28				public schools; and
29			(C)	a list of any roads, transit, or school facilities that should
30				not be counted in calculating growth ceilings.
31		[(3)]	The g	growth policy [element] must be adopted every 2 years by
32			the C	ounty Council. It consists of [other] policy guidelines for
33			the P	lanning Board, and other agencies as appropriate, for their
34			admii	nistration of Section 50-35(k) and other laws and regulations
35			which	n affect growth and development.
36	(c)	Dutie	s of the	e [Montgomery] County Planning Board.
37		<b>[</b> (1)	Each	year, the Planning Board must produce a recommended
38			ceilin	g element.
39			(A)	By November 7, the Board must make available a staff
40				draft ceiling element to the County Executive and other
41				county agencies for their use in preparing recommended
42				capital improvement programs for the next fiscal year.
43			(B)	By May 1, the Board must:
44			(i)	for each policy area, calculate the existing pipeline of
45				approved development permits, including preliminary
46				subdivision plans, sewer authorizations, record plats, and
47			-	building permits;
48			(ii)	for each policy area, recommend growth ceilings based on
49				transportation capacity, for both residential and
50				employment land uses, consistent with the latest
51				information in relevant portions of the upcoming county,
52				state, and municipal capital improvement programs;

53		(111)	for each high school cluster, recommend whether public
54			school capacity will be adequate to serve planned growth;
55			and
56		(iv)	list any roads, transit, and school facilities that should not
57			be counted in calculating growth ceilings.
58	(2)]	Every	[2 years, beginning in 1995] odd-numbered year, the
59		Plann	ing Board must produce a recommended growth policy
60		[eleme	ent].
61	[(A)]	<u>(1)</u> By	[May 1] June 15, the Planning Board must send to the
62		Count	y Council a staff draft growth policy [element] which
63		includ	les:
64	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[(i)] <u>(</u>	A) a status report on general land use conditions in the
65			county, including the remaining growth capacity of zoned
66			land, recent trends in real estate transactions, the level of
67			service conditions of major public facilities and
68			environmentally sensitive areas, and other relevant
69			monitoring measures;
70		[(ii)] (	(B) a forecast of the most probable trends in population,
71			households, and employment for the next 10 years,
72			including key factors that may affect the trends;
73		[(iii)]	(C) a recommended set of policy guidelines for the
74			Planning Board, and other agencies as appropriate, with
75			respect to [their] administration of laws and regulations
76			which affect growth and development; and
77		[(iv)]	(D) any other information or recommendations relevant to
78			growth policy, or requested by the County Council in the

79		course of adopting the [annual] growth policy or by a later
80		resolution.
81		[(B)] (2) By [June 15] August 1, the Board must produce a
82		recommended growth policy [element] which reflects the
83		Planning Board's views [on the items in subsection (2)(A)(iii) and
84		(2)(A)(iv).
85		(3) The Planning Board must promptly make available to the County
86		Executive, other agencies (including the Office of Zoning and
87		Administrative Hearings and the People's Counsel), and the
88		public copies of the staff draft and the Board's recommended
89		[ceiling and] growth policy [elements].
90	(d)	Duties of the County Executive.
<b>-9</b> 1		[(1) By May 15 of each year, the County Executive must send to the
92		County Council a recommended ceiling element, including:
93		(A) growth ceilings based on transportation capacity, for both
94		residential and employment land uses, consistent with the
95		latest information in relevant portions of the upcoming
96		county, state, and municipal capital improvement
97		programs;
98		(B) recommendations regarding whether public school
99		capacity will be adequate to serve planned growth; and
100		(C) a list of any roads, transit, and other school facilities that
101		should not be counted in calculating growth ceilings.]
102		[(2)] (1) Every [2 years, beginning in 1995] odd-numbered year, the
103		County Executive must send to the County Council by [August
104		1] September 15 any revisions to the [recommended] growth

105			polic	y [element of] recommended by the Planning Board in the
106			form	of specific additions and deletions.
107		[(3)]	(2) Th	e County Executive must promptly make available to the
108			Plani	ning Board, other agencies, and the public copies of the
109			Cour	aty Executive's recommendations.
110		[(4)]	<u>(3)</u> Th	e County Executive must assist the Planning Board to
111			comp	oile its status report for the recommended [annual] growth
112			polic	y by making available monitoring data which is routinely
113			colle	cted by executive branch departments.
114		[(5)	The (	County Executive should use the information in the Planning
115			Boar	d staff's draft ceiling element as a reference document in
116		ا پيا سر <sub>وون</sub>	prepa	aring the recommended capital improvement program for the
117		<del></del>	next	fiscal year, particularly with respect to the linkage between
118			futur	e capital construction schedules and policy area capacity
119			ceilir	egs.]
120	(e)	Dutie	s of th	e [Montgomery] County Board of Education.
121		[(1)	Each	year, the Board of Education must:
122			(A)	by February 15 send the County Council any comments on
123				the County Executive's recommended capital improvement
124				program as it pertains to the annual growth policy; and
125			(B)	by June 1 send the County Council any comments on the
126				recommended ceiling elements submitted by the Planning
127				Board and the County Executive, including any revisions
128	•			in the form of specific additions or deletions.]
129		[(2)]	(1) Ev	ery [2 years, beginning in 1995] odd-numbered year, the
130			Boar	d of Education must send to the County Council by
131			[Sept	ember 1] October 1 any comments on the recommended

132		grow	th policy [element] submitted by the Planning Board and the
133		Exec	cutive's recommendations, including any proposed revisions
134		in the	e form of specific additions or deletions.
135	[(3)]	(2) Th	e Board of Education must promptly make available to the
136		Plan	ning Board, the County Executive, and the public copies of
137		these	e comments and revisions.
138	[(4)]	<u>(3)</u> [D	uring the year, the] The Board of Education must assist the
139		Plani	ning Board to compile its status report for the [draft annual]
140		grow	th policy by making available monitoring data which is
141		routi	nely collected by Montgomery County Public Schools staff
142		[of th	ne Board of Education].
143	(f) Dutie	es of th	ne Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission.
144	[(1)	Each	year, the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission must:
145		(A)	by February 15 send the County Council any comments on
146			the County Executive's recommended capital improvement
147			program as it pertains to the annual growth policy; and
148		(B)	by June 1 send the County Council any comments on the
149			recommended ceiling elements submitted by the Planning
150			Board and the County Executive, including any revisions
151			in the form of specific additions or deletions.]
152	[(2)]	(1) Ev	ery [2 years, beginning in 1995] odd-numbered year, the
153		Wasl	hington Suburban Sanitary Commission must send to the
154		Cour	nty Council by [September 1] October 1 any comments on
155		the re	ecommended growth policy [element] submitted by the
156		Plani	ning Board and the Executive's recommendations, including
157		any p	proposed revisions in the form of specific additions or
158		delet	ions.

159		[(3)] (2) The [Washington Suburban Sanitary] Commission must
160		promptly make available to the Planning Board, the County
161		Executive, and the public copies of these comments and
162		revisions.
163		[(4)] (3) During the year, the [Washington Suburban Sanitary]
164		Commission must assist the Planning Board to compile its status
165		report for the [draft annual] growth policy by making available
166		monitoring data which is routinely collected by Commission staff
167		[of the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission].
168	(g)	Duties of the County Council.
169		(1) After [receipt of either a ceiling element or a policy element]
170		receiving the recommended growth policy, the recommendations
171		of the County Executive, and [the] any other agency comments,
172		the County Council must hold a public hearing on the [draft,]
173		recommendations and comments.
174		[(2) No later than July 15 of each year, the County Council must
175		adopt a ceiling element to be effective until the following July 15.
176		If the County Council does not adopt a new ceiling element, the
177		ceiling element adopted most recently remains in effect.]
178		[(3)] (2) Every [2 years, beginning in 1995] odd-numbered year, the
179		County Council must adopt by November [1] 15 a growth policy
180		[element] to be effective until November [1] 15 two years later.
181		If the County Council does not adopt a new growth policy
182		[element], the growth policy [element] adopted most recently
183		remains in effect.
184	(h)	Amending the [annual] growth policy.

185	(1)	I ne	County Council, the County Executive, or the Planning
186		Boar	d may initiate an amendment to [either the ceiling element
187		or] th	ne growth policy [element].
188	(2)	If the	[County] Executive initiates an amendment:
189		(A)	the [County] Executive must send it to the [County]
190			Council, the Planning Board, and other agencies, and make
191			copies available to the public;
192		(B)	the Planning Board must send any comments on the
193			proposed amendment to the [County] Council and the
194			other agencies within 45 days after receiving the
195			amendment (unless the Council requests an earlier
196	S. Carlotte		response), and must make copies of any comments
197	•		available to the public; and
198		(C)	the [County] Council may amend [either the ceiling
199			element or] the growth policy [element] after [receiving]
200			giving the Planning [Board's comments] Board an
201			opportunity to comment and holding a public hearing.
202	(3)	If the	Planning Board initiates an amendment:
203 <sup>-</sup>		(A)	the Planning Board must send it to the [County] Council,
204			the [County] Executive, and other agencies, and make
205			copies available to the public;
206		(B)	the [County] Executive must send any comments on the
207			proposed amendment to the [County] Council and other
208			agencies within 45 days after receiving the amendment
209		•	(unless the Council requests an earlier response), and must
210			make copies of any comments available to the public; and

211	·	(C)	the [County] Council may amend [either the ceiling
212			element or] the growth policy [element] after [receiving]
213			giving the [County Executive's comments] Executive an
214			opportunity to comment and holding a public hearing.
215	(4)	If the	County Council initiates an amendment:
216		(A)	the [County] Council must send it to the [County]
217			Executive, the Planning Board, and other agencies, and
218			make copies available to the public;
219		(B)	the [County] Executive and the Planning Board must send
220			any comments on the proposed amendment to the [County
221			Council and other agencies within 45 days after receiving
222	energy (	2	the amendment (unless the Council requests an earlier
223			response), and must make copies of any comments
224			available to the public; and
225		(C)	the County Council may amend [either the ceiling element
226			or] the growth policy [element] after a public hearing.
227	(5)	If it f	inds that an emergency [exists] so requires, the County
228		Cour	ncil may hold the public hearing and adopt an amendment
229		befor	re receiving comments under subparagraphs (2)(B), (3)(B),
230		or (4	)(B).
231	Sec. 2. Cross references. Any reference in any other section of the County		
232	Code, or any other County document, to the Annual Growth Policy refers to the		
233	Growth Policy approved under Code Section 33A-15, as amended by Section 1 of		
234	this Act, with respect to any Growth Policy or amendment to a Growth Policy		
235	approved after this Act takes effect.		

#### LEGISLATIVE REQUEST REPORT

#### Bill 37-03

#### Growth Policy - Process

**DESCRIPTION:** 

Converts the Annual Growth Policy to a fully biennial process.

Eliminates the annual ceiling element.

PROBLEM:

Without policy area ceilings, the Council in its adoption of the 2003-

5 Annual Growth Policy decided that an annual ceiling element

would be unnecessary.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES:

To conform the law establishing the Growth Policy to the current

policy structure.

**COORDINATION:** 

Planning Board

FISCAL IMPACT:

Minimal.

ECONOMIC IMPACT:

Minimal.

**EVALUATION:** 

To be requested.

**EXPERIENCE** 

To be researched.

**ELSEWHERE:** 

SOURCE OF

Michael Faden, Senior Legislative Attorney, 240-777-7905; Glenn

Orlin, Deputy Council Staff Director, 240-777-7936

**APPLICATION** 

**INFORMATION:** 

WITHIN

**MUNICIPALITIES:** 

Applies only to County Growth Policy.

PENALTIES:

Not applicable

# AGP TEAM MEETING 3 PM \* December 3, 2003 \* Transportation Planning Conference Room

#### **AGENDA**

# 1. Letter from Councilmembers Andrews, Perez, and Praisner

How do we "track the loss of developer-funding for transportation mitigation that was an option for addressing area wide traffic congestion under policy area review?"

# 2. Bill 37-03: Amendments to the Growth Policy section of the County Code

The Ceiling Element of the AGP is to be eliminated. The schedule for the biennial Policy Element is to be changed. In odd-numbered years starting in 2005, the schedule would be as follows:

Staff Draft due by:

June 15

Planning Board recommendations due by:

August 1

Executive's recommendations due by:

September 15

Board of Education's recommendations due by:

October 1

WSSC's recommendations due by:

October 1

Council action due by:

November 15

Public hearing will be January 13, 2004.

## 3. Maximum Unmitigated Trip Level for Metro Station Areas

"The Planning Board must submit to the Council by February 1 an AGP amendment proposing a specific maximum number of unmitigated trips for each Metro Station Policy Area under the Alternative Review Procedure for Metro Station Policy Areas, considering its current number of jobs and housing units and its current congestion levels."



#### MONTGOMERY COUNTY COUNCIL ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

PHIL ANDREWS COUNCILMEMBER - DISTRICT 3

OFFICE OF THE THE MARYLAND NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

November 17, 2003

Mr. Derick Berlage, Chairman Montgomery County Planning Board 8787 Georgia Ave. Silver Spring, MD 20910-3760

Dear Chairman Berlage:

As you know, the County Council has eliminated policy area review from the Annual Growth Policy, effective July 1, 2004. Among our concerns about this action is the loss of developer funding for transportation mitigation that was an option for addressing area wide traffic congestion under policy area review. We would appreciate it if the Planning Board's staff could track the loss of such developer funded traffic mitigation once the new Annual Growth Policy goes into effect next summer, on a project-by-project basis. We would appreciate a summary of the loss of developer funded traffic mitigation on or about January 31, 2005.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Councilmember

Tom Perez

Councilmember Councilmember



THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION
Office of the Chairman, Montgomery County Planning Board

December 5, 2003

Councilmember Phil Andrews Montgomery County Council 100 Maryland Avenue Rockville, MD 20850

Dear Mr Andrews:

I want to thank you for your letter, co-signed by Councilmembers Perez and Praisner, requesting that the Planning Board staff track the effects of the new Annual Growth Policy when it goes into effect on July 1, 2004. Specifically, you requested that the staff track the loss of developer-funded traffic mitigation on a project-by-project basis, with a summary analysis delivered to the County Council on or about January 31, 2005.

I have directed the Planning staff to comply with your request. Part of the effect of the new AGP is that private development will no longer be required to submit traffic studies that address area-wide congestion issues, and this means that a valuable source of information is no longer available. Nevertheless, Planning staff assures me that we will be able to provide the County Council with useful information about the effects of the new AGP on the developer-funded traffic mitigation when we submit our report in January 2005.

Sincerely,

Derick P. Berlage

Chairman

DPB:KWM

cc:

Councilmember Perez Councilmember Praisner