

ATTACHMENT # 2

TITLE: Planning Board Worksession Schedule, January 28, 2004 DRAFT

**Intercounty Connector Environmental Impact Study
Planning Board Worksession Schedule**

January 28, 2004 DRAFT

Prior SHA Activities

- Scoping Public Open Houses; June 2003
- Alternatives Public Workshops; November 13, 15, and 19, 2003

County Council Activities

- Comment on ARDS per November Public Workshops; December 1, 2003

Worksession #1 – January 22, 2004 – no public testimony - COMPLETED
<p>Topics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review ICC Study Background and Schedule • Review Draft Alternates Retained for Detailed Study (ARDS) • Review County Council Comments on ARDS • Review 1989 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with SHA re: parkland impacts • Review M-NCPPC staff involvement in SHA study

Interim SHA Activities

- ARDS selection, February 2004

Worksession #2 – March 4, 2004 (2 hours – no public testimony)	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff proposal defining how “equal quality” parkland will be assessed per MOU (Hench) • Means by which park owner statements can influence Section 4(f) impacts analysis (SHA) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed worksession schedule (Valladares) • Confirmation that Planning Board process dovetails with SHA process (SHA) • Update on selected ARDS package (SHA), response to Council / Board comments

Interim SHA Activities

- Draft Environmental Stewardship materials, March 2004
- Land Use Expert Panel Analysis Findings, April 2004
- Draft Travel Demand Analysis materials, April 2004
- Draft Cultural Resources Effects materials, May 2004

- Draft Noise Quality materials, May 2004
- Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation materials, May 2004

Worksession #3 – May 20, 2004 (2 hours – no public testimony)	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of SHA Draft Section 4(f) Impacts report • Staff proposal for candidate replacement parklands that would satisfy MOU (Hench) • Staff proposal for Section 4(f) impacts analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed worksession schedule (Valladares) • Review SHA’s interim draft technical report findings (Edwards? / Hardy / Federline / Hench) • Review Environmental Stewardship process and findings (Sandberg) • Staff proposal for policy guidance on balancing natural / cultural / community resource protection and enhancement

Interim SHA Activities

- Public Workshops, late Spring 2004
- Draft Natural Environmental materials, June 2004
- Draft Air Quality materials, June 2004

Worksession #4 – July 15, 2004 (4 hours)	
Include Public Testimony on Staff Recommendations	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning Board comment to SHA on staff proposals for MOU and candidate replacement parkland • Planning Board position on Section 4(f) minimization 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed worksession schedule (Valladares) • Planning Board comment to SHA on staff proposals for policy guidance on balancing natural / cultural / community resource protection and enhancement • Review SHA’s interim technical report findings (Bunnag / Hekimian?) • Review Environmental Stewardship process and findings (Sandberg)

Interim SHA Activities

- DEIS publication, October 2004
- Location and Design Public Hearings, December 2004

Worksession #5 – November December 2, 2004 (2 hours – no public testimony)	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff proposal on parkland mitigation concepts for inclusion in FEIS (both Corridor 1 and Corridor 2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed worksession schedule (Valladares) • Review DEIS summary of findings • Planning Board comment on additional supplementary information requested of staff for Worksession #6

Worksession #6 – January 20, 2005 (4 hours)	
Include Public Testimony on Staff Recommendations	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning Board comment to SHA on staff proposals for parkland mitigation concepts for staff recommended selected alternate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed worksession schedule (Valladares) • Planning Board Comment on staff recommendation for selected alternate

Interim SHA Activities

- Draft FEIS preparation, March 2005

Worksession #7 – March 24, 2005 (2 hours – no public testimony)	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss status of parkland mitigation with SHA staff 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed worksession schedule (Valladares)

Interim SHA Activities

- FEIS publication, April 2005
- FHWA Record of Decision (ROD), June 2005

Worksession #8 – June 30, 2005 (2 hours – no public testimony)	
“Property Owner” topics	“Planning / Zoning Authority” topics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss status of parkland mitigation with SHA staff 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposed worksession schedule (Valladares)

Subsequent Planning Board worksessions for design and construction phases to be developed as needed

Topic details

Section 4(f) analysis / influence includes:

- Indirect “constructive use” impacts
- Bike path definition as recreation vs transportation resources
- Means by which a “higher acreage” impact could be the preferred minimization alternative and how the Planning Board can influence the FHWA findings in this regard

Travel demand analysis includes:

- Accessibility
- Value pricing / toll issues
- Effect of ICC on intersections and links in study area
- Alternative interchange / truncation options

Natural environmental impact analysis includes:

- Stream crossing techniques and designs
- Stormwater management treatment considerations
- SPA considerations

“Balancing natural/cultural/community resource protection and enhancement” considers policy issues such as:

- Transportation/recreation value of bike path versus impervious surface/resource protection issues
- Support for distributing presumably scarce stewardship resources among categories