## **ATTACHMENT 1**

Zoning Text Amendment No: 05-03

Concerning: Signs - Variances Draft No. & Date: 2 1-27-05 Introduced: February 1, 2005

Public Hearing: March 8, 2005; 7:30 pm

Adopted: Effective: Ordinance No:

## COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PORTION OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT WITHIN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

## By: Councilmember Praisner

AN AMENDMENT to the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance for the purpose of:

- increasing the Sign Review Board from 3 to 5 members.

- transferring authority to approve sign variances from the Sign Review Board to the Department of Permitting Services.

By amending the following sections of the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance, Chapter 59 of the County Code:

DIVISION 59-F-1

"Purpose, Intent, and Applicability"

Section 59-F-1.3

"General"

DIVISION 59-F-4

"Permanent Sign - Design Elements and Limitations"

DIVISION 59-F-10

"AUTHORITY"

Section 59-F-10.1

"The Director of the Department of Permitting Services"

Section 59-F-10.2

"Sign Review Board"

DIVISION 59-F-12

"Sign Variances"

EXPLANATION: Boldface indicates a heading or a defined term.

Underlining indicates text that is added to existing laws

by the original text amendment.

[Single boldface brackets] indicate text that is deleted from

existing law by the original text amendment.

<u>Double underlining</u> indicates text that is added to the text

amendment by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] indicate text that is deleted

from the text amendment by amendment.

\* \* \* indicates existing law unaffected by the text amendment.

## **ORDINANCE**

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council for that portion of the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following ordinance:

1	Sec. 1.	DIVISION 59-	-F is amende	ed as	COLLON	'S:		
2	DIVISION 5	9-F-1.	Purpose,	Inten	t, and	Applicabili	ty.	
3			*	*	*			
4	59-F-1.3. (	Seneral.						
5			*	*	*			
6	(b) T	o provide flexib	oility in the a	pprov	al of	sign permits,	the [Sign	
7	F	Review Board] <u>[</u>	Department o	f Pern	nitting	<u>Services</u> ma	ay approve a	a
8	V	ariance from an	y provision o	of this	Artic	le except Div	vision 59-F-	.7,
9	***	Prohibited Sign	s."			,		
10			*	*	*			
11	DIVISION 5	9-F-4. Per	manent Sign	- Des	sign E	Clements and	l Limitatio	ns.
12	Perman	ent signs are tho	ose which are	e inter	ided to	o remain pos	ted indefinit	tely.
13	A permanent	sign must obtain	a permit [pu	ırsuan	t to] <u>u</u>	ınder Section	ı 59-F-9.1 a	nd
14	may require a	building permit	or electrical	perm	it due	to its physica	al	
15	characteristics	s. Except as pro	vided below,	, any s	sign n	ot listed in th	nis Article or	r
16	which does no	ot conform to the	e requiremen	ts in t	his Aı	ticle must ob	otain a varia	nce
17	from the [Sign	Review Board	] Department	of Pe	ermitti	ng Services.	[Permanen	ıt
18	signs] Any pe	rmanent sign lo	cated [within	] <u>in</u> aı	n appr	oved urban r	enewal area	ı as
19	part of an opti	onal method de	velopment pr	roject	[are]	need not [req	[uired to]	
20	conform to the	e Design Elemen	nts and Limit	ations	s prov	isions of this	Article[,	
21	provided the s	igns are] <u>if the</u> s	<u>sign is</u> appro	ved by	y the S	Sign Review	Board as pa	ırt of
22	a sign concept	t plan [pursuant	to] <u>under</u> Sec	ction	59-F-	10.2(b)(1)(H)	). However	, the
23	Sign Review l	Board must hold	l a public hea	ring o	on any	sign concep	t plan [with	in]
24	in the Urban F	Renewal Area, a	fter 30-day n	otice,	and v	erify that the	applicant [	for
25	the sign conce	pt plan approva	l] has compl	ied wi	ith the	variance not	tification	
26	requirements (	of [59-F-10.2(b)	)(1)(G)1] <u>Sec</u>	tion 5	9-F-1	0.2(b)(1)(F)	<u>1</u> .	
27			*	*	*			

28	DIVISION	59-F-10. AUTHORITY.
29	59-F-10.1.	The Director of the Department of Permitting Services.
30	(a)	Enforcement.
31		* * *
32		(4) Enforcement of Variances. The Director must enforce the
33		conditions and terms of a variance issued by the [Sign Review
34		Board] Department of Permitting Services.
35		* * *
36	(b)	Permits and Licenses.
37		(1) Permits. The Director may issue a permit required by this
38		Article [upon compliance by] when the applicant complies with
39		[the requirements of] Section 59-F-9.1. A permit may be
40		issued:
41		(A) for each sign on an individual basis; or
42		(B) for each sign on a collective basis [following the
43		acceptance and approval of] after a sign concept plan [as
44		required in] is approved under subsection 59-F-9.1(c)(7).
45		Except for optional method development [within] in an
46		[approved] urban renewal area, when a sign concept plan
47		exceeds the maximum allowable sign area for the site,
48		the applicant must seek a variance from the [Sign Review
49		Board] Department of Permitting Services in
50		conformance with subsection 59-F-10.2(c)(2).
51		* * *
52	(d)	Relief from an Action of the Director.
53		(1) Variance from the [Sign Review Board] <u>Department of</u>
54		Permitting Services. An applicant may file a request for a

55			variance with the [Sign Review Board] Department of
56			Permitting Services if the Director denies a sign permit because
57			the proposed sign fails to comply with [the standards of] this
58			Article.
59			* * *
60	59-F-10.2.	Sign	Review Board.
61	(a)	Com	position. The Sign Review Board [is established as an agency
62		whic	h] consists of [3] 5 members:
63		(1)	appointed by the County Executive[, subject to the confirmation
64			of] and confirmed by the Council, and [bound by the
65			requirements of] subject to Section 2-148 [of the Code];
66		(2)	who are residents of the County;
67		(3)	one of [the members] whom must [be the operator of] operate a
68			business in the County;
69		(4)	one of [the members] whom must be [a State of Maryland
70			licensed] an architect licensed in Maryland. [Prior to the
71			appointment of this member the County] The Executive must
72			request from the Potomac Valley Chapter of Maryland,
73			American Institute of Architects, recommendations of architects
74			who are [well] qualified to serve on the [Sign Review] Board,
75			[however] but the [County] Executive is not limited to [this] the
76			Chapter's recommendation;
77		(5)	one of [the three members] whom must be [appointed
78			chairperson] designated as chair by the [County] Executive,
79			subject to confirmation by the Council; and

80		(6)	each	[mem	iber] <u>o</u>	f whom serves a 3-year term, except that an
81			appo	intme	nt to f	ill a vacancy occurring before [the expiration
82			of] a	term g	<u>expire</u>	s is for the remainder of the unexpired term.
83	(b)	Powe	ers and	Dutie	es.	
84						* * *
85			[(F)	not g	grant a	variance for signs covered under division 59-
86				F-7.	"Proh	ibited Signs," or for requirements in Section
87				59-F	-9.2. '	'License."]
88			[(G)]	<u>(F)</u>	[Not	tification] Notice.
89				1.	Veri	fy that an applicant for a sign variance has:
90				a.	Subi	mitted to the Director with the application for a
91					varia	ance a list of all those to be notified of the
92					hear	ing. The list must include:
93					i.	Owners and residents of all properties
94						contiguous or opposite to the proposed
95						location of the sign. In the case of
96						individual condominium owners within
97						multi-family buildings, the condominium's
98						council of unit owners may be notified
99						instead;
100					ii.	Officials of the local citizens association(s);
101					iii.	Any municipality or special taxing district
102						[within whose territory] where the proposed
103						sign [is] would be located;
104		,			iv.	The technical staff of the [Maryland
105						National Capital Park and Planning
106						Commission,] Planning Board if the sign [is

107	to] would be located on property under a
108	Section 59-D-3 site plan agreement; and
109	v. Any citizen association or person/agency
110	that has expressed an interest to the
111	government or the applicant.
112	b. Notified all persons and organizations on the
113	list at least 15 days before the hearing.
114	2. Notify the parties of record of the [Sign Review Board]
115	decision.
116	* * *
117	(2) Powers. The Sign Review Board may:
118	(A) [provide a recommendation to] <u>advise</u> the Director [as to]
119	whether an application for a permit [meets the
120	requirements of] complies with this Article or needs a
121	variance;
122	(B) order the appearance of a person or evidence at a hearing
123	before them; and
124	[(C) hear and decide an application for a variance from the
125	sign regulations of this Article after finding that:]
126	[1. the strict application of the sign regulation results
127	in a particular or unusual practical difficulty,
128	exceptional or undue hardship, or significant
129	economic burden upon an applicant;]
130	[2. the variance is the minimum reasonably necessary
131	to overcome any exceptional conditions; and]
132	[3. the variance can be granted without substantial
133	impairment of the intent, purpose, and integrity of

shape, color, design elements, location, or cost of the sign;]  139 [(ii) the compatibility of the proposed sign with the surrounding property, the proximity of other signs, and the characteristics of the area; and]  141 area; and]  143 [(iii) the recommendations of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning  145 Commission or technical staff, if requester	134			the re	equirements of this Article. A variance
shape, color, design elements, location, or cost of the sign;]  [(ii) the compatibility of the proposed sign wind the surrounding property, the proximity of other signs, and the characteristics of the area; and]  [(iii) the recommendations of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning  Commission or technical staff, if requested by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Commission.]  [(D) decide an application for a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued by the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals.	135			decis	ion must be based on consideration of:]
cost of the sign;]  [(ii) the compatibility of the proposed sign with the surrounding property, the proximity of other signs, and the characteristics of the area; and]  [(iii) the recommendations of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning  Commission or technical staff, if requested by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Board of Appeals when:]  [(D) decide an application for a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued to the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less sign Which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign Which is less sign Which is less sign Which is less s	136			[(i)	one or more of the following elements: size,
[(ii) the compatibility of the proposed sign wind the surrounding property, the proximity of other signs, and the characteristics of the area; and]  [(iii) the recommendations of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning  Commission or technical staff, if requested by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Sign on property subject to a special exception issued by the Board of Appeals when:]  [(D) decide an application for a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued by the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals.	137				shape, color, design elements, location, or
the surrounding property, the proximity of other signs, and the characteristics of the area; and]  [(iii) the recommendations of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning  Commission or technical staff, if requested by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Sign on property subject to a special exception issued the Board of Appeals when:]  [(D) decide an application for a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign.  Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of the Board of Appeals.	138				cost of the sign;]
other signs, and the characteristics of the area; and]  [(iii) the recommendations of the Maryland- National Capital Park and Planning Commission or technical staff, if requeste by the Sign Review Board or offered by t Commission.]  [(D) decide an application for a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued b the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign. Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign. Nothing in this subparagraph or restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of	139			[(ii)	the compatibility of the proposed sign with
area; and]  [(iii) the recommendations of the Maryland- National Capital Park and Planning Commission or technical staff, if requeste by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Sign Review Board or a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and] [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign. Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of	140				the surrounding property, the proximity of
[(iii) the recommendations of the Maryland- National Capital Park and Planning Commission or technical staff, if requeste by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Sign Review Board or a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued by the Board of Appeals when:  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign. Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals.	141				other signs, and the characteristics of the
National Capital Park and Planning Commission or technical staff, if requeste by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Sign Review Board or a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued by the Board of Appeals when:  [1.	142				area; and]
Commission or technical staff, if requested by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Commission.]  [(D) decide an application for a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued by the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph or restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals.	143			[(iii)	the recommendations of the Maryland-
by the Sign Review Board or offered by the Commission.]  Commission.]  [(D) decide an application for a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued by the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less than the Sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Sign which is less than the Sign which is	144				National Capital Park and Planning
Commission.]  [(D) decide an application for a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued to the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign.  Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals.	145				Commission or technical staff, if requested
[(D) decide an application for a variance which involves a sign on property subject to a special exception issued to the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign.  Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of	146				by the Sign Review Board or offered by the
sign on property subject to a special exception issued to the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign.  Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals.	147				Commission.]
the Board of Appeals when:]  [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals.	148	[(D)	decid	e an ap	oplication for a variance which involves a
151 [1. the findings of subparagraph (C) above have been satisfied; and] 152 satisfied; and] 153 [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign. 154 Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals are strictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals.	149		sign o	on prop	perty subject to a special exception issued by
satisfied; and]  [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign.  Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals.	150		the B	oard o	f Appeals when:]
153 [2. the Board of Appeals has approved the sign.  Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Sign.  Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals.	151		[1.	the fi	ndings of subparagraph (C) above have been
Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the Signals Review Board from imposing more restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of the Board of Signals Review Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Signals Review Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of Appeals are signals.	152			satisf	ied; and]
Review Board from imposing more restrictive  conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must  not approve a variance for a sign which is less  restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of	153		[2.	the B	oard of Appeals has approved the sign.
conditions than the Board of Appeals, but it must not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of	154			Nothi	ng in this subparagraph precludes the Sign
not approve a variance for a sign which is less restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of	155			Revie	w Board from imposing more restrictive
restrictive than the conditions set by the Board of	156			condi	tions than the Board of Appeals, but it must
·	157			not ap	oprove a variance for a sign which is less
Appeals.]	158			restric	ctive than the conditions set by the Board of
	159			Appe	als.]

160		(E) <u>(</u>	2) approve a right-of-way sign as stipulated in subsection		
161			59-F-7.1.(f)(2) [based upon] after receiving a		
162			recommendation from the appropriate transportation		
163			jurisdiction[;].		
164		[(F)	impose conditions and terms on a sign variance; and]		
165		[(G)	conduct a show cause hearing for revocation of a		
166			previously granted sign variance when it determines:]		
167			[1. the applicant supplied inaccurate information to		
168			the Sign Review Board, or]		
169			[2. the terms of a variance set by the Sign Review		
170			Board have not been met or have been violated.]		
171			* * *		
172	<b>DIVISION</b> 59-F	<u>-12</u>	Sign Variances.		
173	(a) The	Directo	or of Permitting Services, or the Director's designee, may:		
174	<u>(1)</u>	<u>after</u>	a hearing, approve an application for a variance from the		
175		sign 1	regulations of this Article if the Director find that:		
176		<u>(A)</u>	the strict application of the sign regulation results in a		
177			particular or unusual practical difficulty, exceptional or		
178			undue hardship, or significant economic burden on an		
179			applicant;		
180		<u>(B)</u>	the variance is the minimum reasonably necessary to		
181			overcome any exceptional conditions; and		
182		<u>(C)</u>	the variance can be granted without substantial		
183			impairment of the purpose of this Article. A variance		
184			decision must consider:		
185			(i) the sign's size, shape, color, design elements,		
186			location, or cost;		

187				<u>(ii)</u>	compatibility of the proposed sign with the
188		-			surrounding property, the proximity of other signs
189					and the characteristics of the area; and
190				<u>(iii)</u>	any recommendation of the Planning Board or its
191					technical staff including findings made by the
192					Planning Board pursuant to Section 59-D-3;
193		<u>(2)</u>	appro	ove a v	rariance for a sign on property subject to a special
194			exce	otion is	ssued by the Board of Appeals if:
195			<u>(A)</u>	the e	lements of subparagraph (a)(1)(A) have been
196				satisf	fied; and
197			<u>(B)</u>	the B	soard of Appeals has approved the sign. Nothing in
198				this p	paragraph precludes the Director from imposing
199				more	restrictive conditions than the Board of Appeals,
200				<u>but</u> tl	ne Director must not approve a variance which is
201				<u>less</u> <u>r</u>	restrictive than any condition set by the Board of
202				Appe	eals;
203		<u>(3)</u>	<u>after</u>	<u>hearin</u>	g, revoke a previously granted sign variance if the
204			Direc	ctor fin	ids that:
205			<u>(A)</u>	the a	pplicant supplied inaccurate information, or
206			<u>(B)</u>	the te	erms of a variance have not been met.
207	<u>(b)</u>	The 1	Directo	or may	impose conditions and terms when approving a
208		varia	nce.		
209	<u>(c)</u>	The ]	Directo	or mus	t not approve a variance for any sign prohibited
210		unde	<u>r Divis</u>	ion <u>59</u>	2-F-7, or vary any requirement of Section 59-F-9.2.
211					
212	This is a co	rrect c	opy of	Coun	cil action.
213					

214 Elda M. Dodson, CMC, Acting Clerk of the Council

Date