


Riggs Farm Upper Rock Creek Vicinity Map North Branch Stream Valley Park Riggs Property North Branch Stream Valley Park North Branch Rock Creek North Branch Stream Valley Park North Branch earn Valley Parl



# Llewellyn Property




Santini Road Property




Upper Paint Branch Properties Key Map Southern Asia Adventist Church Erwin Property Existing 2000 4000 Feet 2000 **Proposed** Roads Forest is brown and grass is green in this early Spring 2004 aerial photograph - A-24 -

# Peach Orchard, Erwin and Mitchell



McNeill and Southern Asia Adventist



Parks

Existing


Proposed Roads

Roads
/ Streams

B-9



Anselmo and Lechlider Properties



## Intercounty Connector Environmental Impact Study Planning Board Briefing Schedule

#### **July 22, 2005 DRAFT**

#### **Prior SHA Activities**

- Scoping Public Open Houses; June 2003
- Alternatives Public Workshops; November 13, 15, and 19, 2003

#### **County Council Activities**

Comment on ARDS per November Public Workshops; December 1, 2003

# Briefing #1 – January 22, 2004 (2.0 hours—no public testimony)- COMPLETED Topics:

- Review ICC Study Background and Schedule
- Review Draft Alternates Retained for Detailed Study (ARDS)
- Review County Council Comments on ARDS
- Review 1989 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with SHA re: parkland impacts
- Review M-NCPPC staff involvement in SHA study

#### **Interim SHA Activities**

• ARDS selection, February 2004

Briefing #2 - March 4, 2004 (1.5 hours - no public testimony)-COMPLETED	
"Property Owner" topics	"Planning / Zoning Authority" topics
<ul> <li>Staff proposal defining how "equal quality" parkland will be assessed per MOU</li> <li>Means by which park owner statements can influence Section 4(f)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review proposed briefing schedule</li> <li>Confirmation that Planning Board process dovetails with SHA process</li> <li>Update on selected ARDS package, response to Council / Board comments</li> </ul>
impacts analysis	

#### **Interim SHA Activities**

- Draft Environmental Stewardship materials, March 2004
- Expert Land Use Panel Analysis Findings, June 2004
- Draft Travel Demand Analysis materials, April 2004
- Draft Cultural Resources Effects materials, May 2004
- Preliminary Draft Socioeconomic and Land Use Technical Report, 10 May 2004.
- Preliminary Draft Noise Quality Technical Report, 10 May 2004.
- Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation materials, May 2004

Briefing #3 – June 3, 2004 (2 hours – no pu "Property Owner" topics	"Planning / Zoning Authority" topics
<ul> <li>Review of SHA Draft Section 4(f)</li> <li>Impacts report</li> <li>Staff proposal for candidate replacement parklands that would satisfy</li> <li>MOU</li> <li>Staff proposal for Section 4(f) impacts analysis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review proposed briefing schedule</li> <li>Review SHA's interim draft technical report findings</li> <li>Review Environmental Stewardship process and findings</li> <li>Staff proposal for policy guidance on balancing natural / cultural / community resource protection and enhancement</li> </ul>

#### **Interim SHA Activities**

- Public Informational Update Meetings June 2004
- Draft Natural Environmental Technical Report June 2004
- Draft Air Quality Technical Report June 2004

Include Public Testimony on Staff Recon "Property Owner" topics	"Planning / Zoning Authority" topics
<ul> <li>Planning Board comment to SHA on staff proposals for MOU and candidate replacement parkland</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review proposed briefing schedule</li> <li>Planning Board comment to SHA on staff proposals for policy guidance</li> </ul>
	on balancing natural / cultural / community resource protection and enhancement • Review SHA's interim technical report findings
	Review Environmental Stewardship process and findings

#### **Interim SHA Activities**

- DEIS/Draft Section 4(f) Notice of Availability (NOA) December 3, October 2004
- Joint NEPA/Section 404 Public Hearings, January 4, 5, & 8, 2005

Briefing #5 - January 13, 2005 (2 hours - no public testimony) - COMPLETED	
"Property Owner" topics	"Planning / Zoning Authority" topics
Review draft Section 4(f) findings	Review DEIS summary of findings
로마 (그) 경우의 경우 기본 (왕인 등 경기 모양 경기 등로 기본 ) 경 - 이후 (교육) 이제 기본 (기본 기본 기	Review SHA Public Hearings
	Present menu of options for Preferred
	Alternative
보기 위한 경험 등 시간	Planning Board comment on
	additional supplementary information
	requested of staff for Briefing #6
	Review proposed briefing schedule

#### **Interim SHA Activities**

• Joint NEPA/Section 404 Public Hearing, January 29, 2005

Briefing #6 – January 20, 2005 (4 hours) - COMPLETED Include Public Testimony on Staff Recommendations	
"Property Owner" topics	"Planning / Zoning Authority" topics
As necessary	<ul> <li>Planning Board recommendations</li> <li>on Preferred Alternative</li> <li>Review proposed briefing schedule</li> </ul>

### **County Council Activities**

Transmittal of recommendations on DEIS to SHA, March 1, 2005

#### **Interim MDOT Activities**

• Identification of Preferred Alternative, July 11, 2005

Briefing #7 – July 28, 2005 (2 hours) Include Public Testimony on Staff Recom	mendations
"Property Owner" topics	"Planning / Zoning Authority" topics
<ul> <li>Planning Board comment to MDOT on staff proposals for parkland replacement for State's Preferred Alternative</li> </ul>	Review proposed briefing schedule

## **Interim SHA Activities**


- FEIS publication, Autumn 2005
- FHWA Record of Decision (ROD), Autumn 2005

Briefing #8 – November 2005 (2 hours – no public testimony)	
"Planning / Zoning Authority" topics	
Review proposed briefing schedule	

Subsequent Planning Board briefings for design and construction phases to be developed as needed.

FAX NO. : 301-384-8071

John D. & Lois J. Sherman 14800 Pebblestone Drive Silver Spring, MD 20905 301-384-8071



OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN THE MARYLAND NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

July 19, 2005

Mr. Derick Berlage, Chairman MNCPPC 8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20910

SUBJECT: Testimony regarding ICC Mitigation:

Dear Chairman Berlage and Commissioners:

For the record, we are in opposition to MNCPPC acquiring property in the Little Seneca watershed to mitigate the impacts of the ICC to Mill Creek Stream Valley Park, Rock Creek Regional Park, North Branch SVP, Northwest Branch Recreational Park. Layhill Local Park, NW Branch SVP (Unit 5) and Paint Branch Stream Valley Park.

NO AMOUNT OF NEW PARKLAND ACQUISITION OUTSIDE OF THE ROCK CREEK AND ANACOSTIA WATERSHEDS IN MONTGOMERY COUNTY CAN POSSIBLY MITIGATE IMPACTS WITHIN THESE WATERSHEDS.

THESE PARKLANDS ARE IRREPLACEABLE!

Please do not destroy the last and best portions of parkland remaining in the Montgomery County part of the Rock Creek and Anacostia watersheds.

Respectfully submitted,

Lois Jestiennan

John D. Sherman