Project Plan No. 9-05003 Easley Subdivision (The Adele) Page 22

#### 4. Moderately Priced Dwelling Units (MPDUs)

The Applicant shall provide 15% or 15 MPDUs on-site, consistent with the requirements of Chapter 25A. The development is receiving a 22% density bonus for providing additional MPDUs on the site.

#### 5. Public Use Space

- a. The proposed development shall provide at least 20 percent of onsite public use space and 23.7 percent of off-site public use space.
- b. The proposed public use space shall be easily and readily accessible to the general public and used for public enjoyment.
- c. The Applicant shall make a contribution to the M-NCPPC toward park land acquisitions or improvements in the Silver Spring CBD in the amount of \$70,000.

#### 6. Streetscape

- a. The Applicant shall provide the full streetscape improvements along the Fenton Street and Thayer Avenue frontage using the *Silver Spring Streetscape Plan* (April 1992) Technical Manual. The following elements are proposed: stone, precast concrete, brick pavers, street trees, lighting, and any other details that are necessary, including the undergrounding of utilities along the frontage of the property, to fulfill the Silver Spring streetscape standards.
- b. Off-site improvements shall be provided along the property frontage of Fenton Street and Thayer Avenue, and extended along the south side of Thayer Avenue to the entrance to the County parking lot.

#### 7. Public Art

A public art program shall be developed to include opportunities within the public plaza area to reinforce the architecture of the building, provide for pedestrians and shoppers to congregate and provide for seasonal color in front of the buildings. The Applicant has identified three areas or elements that could be incorporated into the public art component: seating areas, paving within the streetscape, and the railings, which could incorporate glass or acrylic elements as part of the overall design.

Project Plan No. 9-05003 Easley Subdivision (The Adele) Page 23

#### 8. Staging of Amenity Features

- The proposed project shall be developed in one phase. а
- Landscaping to be installed no later than the next growing season b. after completion of building and public plaza.
- Streetscape improvements and public art to be installed prior to C. occupancy of the building.

#### 9. **Maintenance and Management Organization**

Initially the Applicant, and, subsequently, within ninety days of formation, the Condominium Association, shall become a member of and enter into an agreement with the Silver Spring Urban District for the purpose of maintaining public open spaces and participating in community events.

#### 10. Coordination for Additional Approvals Required Prior to Site Plan **Approvals**

The Applicant shall secure the following additional approvals prior to Site Plan Review:

- a. Coordinate with the Silver Spring Regional Service Center on the placement of the proposed Type C2 way finding sign (Sign #73) located on Thaver Avenue:
- b. Present the public art components to the Art Review Panel for review and comment to be available to the Planning Board;
- c. Coordinate with the M-NCPPC staff and the Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation (MCDPWT) staff regarding the final design and extent of the non-standard elements as part of the proposed streetscape improvements:
- d. The Applicant shall request a waiver for all non-standard elements and non-standard streetscape improvements as well as a maintenance and liability agreement with the MCDPWT;
- e. Enter into a maintenance agreement with the Silver Spring Urban District for maintenance of all or some of the streetscape improvements.

Consistent with Montgomery County Code § 59-D-2.7, Project Plan No. 9-04007 will remain valid for 24 months from the Initiation Date (30 days after the mailing of the written opinion or at the conclusion of an [CERTIFICATION OF BOARD VOTE ADOPTING OPINION ON FOLIAGE PAGE]

## APPENDIX C



#### DEPARTMENT OF PERMITTING SERVICES

Douglas M. Duncan County Executive

March 10, 2005

Robert C. Hubbard

Director

Mr. Steven Wilde Macris, Hendricks & Glascock, P.A. 9220 Wightman Road, Suite 120 Montgomery Village, MD 20886

Re:

Stormwater Management CONCEPT Request

for Easley Subdivision Preliminary Plan #: Pending

SM File #: 216524

Tract Size/Zone: 0.65 acres / CBD-1 Total Concept Area: 0.65 acres

Lots/Block: Lot 10, part of lots 5,6 &7/F

Parcel(s): N/A

Watershed: Sligo Creek

Dear Mr. Wilde:

Based on a review by the Department of Permitting Services Review Staff, the stormwater management concept for the above mentioned site is **acceptable**. The stormwater management concept consists of on-site water quality control via construction of a green roof. Onsite recharge is not required because this is a redevelopment project. Channel protection volume is not required because the one-year post development peak discharge is less than or equal to 2.0 cfs.

The following **items** will need to be addressed **during** the detailed sediment control/stormwater management plan stage:

- 1. Prior to permanent vegetative stabilization, all disturbed areas must be topsoiled per the latest Montgomery County Standards and Specifications for Topsoiling.
- 2. A detailed review of the stormwater management computations will occur at the time of detailed plan review.
- 3. An engineered sediment control plan must be submitted for this development.
- 4. All filtration media for manufactured best management practices, whether for new development or redevelopment, must consist of MDE approved material.
- 5. The green roof is credited as providing a measure of channel protection for this development.
- 6. Since the green roof will provide formal stormwater management controls, a maintenance covenant will be required. Please work directly with the Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection (MCDEP) to produce an agreeable document. It is not feasible to use the County's standard easement and covenant documents for a project of this nature. The document(s) must be recorded in the County Land Records prior to detailed plan approval. Please contact Dan Harper at MCDEP (240-777-7709).



- 7. The green roof must cover a minimum of 9,600 square feet of roof area, as proposed in this concept submittal. Prior to detailed plan submission, the design must be submitted to the County's "New Products" Review Committee for conceptual review and approval. Please contact Richard Gee at 240-777-6333.
- 8. Additional measures should be investigated for water quality control for this project. These may include designing the planter boxes to provide some water quality control, use of pavers for pedestrian areas, etc.
- 9. Note that all covered parking areas must drain to WSSC.

This list may not be all-inclusive and may change based on available information at the time.

Payment of a stormwater management contribution in accordance with Section 2 of the Stormwater Management Regulation 4-90 is not required.

This letter must appear on the sediment control/stormwater management plan at its initial submittal. The concept approval is based on all stormwater management structures being located outside of the Public Utility Easement, the Public Improvement Easement, and the Public Right of Way unless specifically approved on the concept plan. Any divergence from the information provided to this office; or additional information received during the development process; or a change in an applicable Executive Regulation may constitute grounds to rescind or amend any approval actions taken, and to reevaluate the site for additional or amended stormwater management requirements. If there are subsequent additions or modifications to the development, a separate concept request shall be required.

If you have any questions regarding these actions, please feel free to contact Mark Etheridge at 240-777-6338.

3inceréi

Richard R. Brush, Manager Water Resources Section

**Division of Land Development Services** 

RRB:dm mce

CC:

R. Weaver S. Federline SM File # 216524

QN -ON; Acres: 0.65 QL - ON; Acres: 0.65 Recharge is not provided

# MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION FOREST CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

TO:	Doug Johnsen , Development Review Division
SUBJE NRI/FSI	ECT: Project Name Easely Subdivision, Lot 10 & Part of Lots 5, 6 & 7 Date Recd 01/06/05 D # 4-05071E
to dete Conser	ove-referenced plan has been reviewed by the Environmental Planning Division ermine the requirements of Chapter 22A of the Montgomery County Code (Forest vation Law). A determination has been made that the plan qualifies for the ng exemption:
EXEM	PTION:
<u>Note</u> : T	<ul> <li>X Activity occurring on a tract less than or equal to 1.5 acre in size where there is no existing forest and afforestation, requirements would be less than 10,000 square feet, and no specimen or champion trees will be disturbed;         <ul> <li>Activity occurring on a tract less than or equal to 1 acre in size where activity will not result in the clearing of more than 30,000 square feet of existing forest, or any specimen or champion trees, and reforestation requirements would be less than 10,000 square feet.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Tree preservation and/or replanting of individual trees may be required. Forest within any area on-site must be preserved.</li> </ul>
This p	roperty may be subject to a Tree Save Plan.
1	roperty is not within a Special Protection Area*.  * Properties within a Special Protection Area (SPA) must submit a Preliminary Water Quality Plan. Contact Leo Galanko at MCDPS for information regarding the requirements (240-777-6242).
Comme <u>Plar</u>	ents <u>At the preliminary plan stage we will determine whether a Tree Save</u> n is required or not.
Signatucc: Laur	Date: 01/14/05 Evelyn W. Gloson, Environmental Planning, CWP Division  en Wirth, for the applicant (Fax 301-948-0693)





#### DOWNTOWN SILVER SPRING URBAN DISTRICT

Douglas M. Duncan County Executive Gary Stith

Director

February 6, 2006

Mr. Derick Berlage, Chair Montgomery County Planning Board 8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dear Mr. Berlage:

The Silver Spring Urban District Advisory Committee (SSUDAC) received an update on The Adele project located in downtown Silver Spring at Fenton Street and Thayer Avenue. We are pleased with how this project is moving forward. The concept for the development of the public use space has been greatly improved and the proposed public art will add interest and contribute to our effort to enhance the Arts and Entertainment District.

The site plan shows the proposed entrance to the parking for The Adele from the publicly dedicated alley that is perpendicular to Fenton Street and serves as the access to the County PLD's Lot 3. By locating the entrance off of the alley, this will keep the new streetscape on Fenton Street and Thayer Avenue from being disrupted by an entrance. This will not only enhance the aesthetics of the area but should create a safer environment for pedestrians around this building by keeping all of the cross traffic in one location at the existing alley entrance where pedestrians are expecting vehicular access.

The Department of Public Works and Transportation is concerned about this location for the parking off the alley because the alley is only 20 feet wide. To address this, the developer has proposed to include a six foot wide area with their paving pattern between the dedicated alley and the building which could be used by pedestrians but would not be separated from the alley by a curb so vehicles could use it when necessary. We would encourage DPWT to agree with this location for the parking entrance for this project. We are aware of the potential development of Lot 3 and do not feel this project will conflict with this new joint development.

The Silver Spring Urban District Advisory Committee continues to support this project

Mr. Berlage Page 2 February 6, 2006

and hopes that this will be the beginning of the new Fenton Village. We ask the Montgomery County Planning Board to give final approval to this project.

Sincerely,

**Tom Collins** 

Chair

cc:

Douglas M. Duncan, County Executive Art Holmes, Director, Department Public Works and Transportation Robert Hubbard, Department of Permitting Services



#### SILVER SPRING REGIONAL CENTER

Douglas M. Duncan County Executive

Gary Stith

Director

February 7, 2006 E C 3 1 V 3 FEB - 9 2006

Mr. Lewie Bloom Fenton Street Development LLC 4412 Walsh Street Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815

Re: The Adele - Maintenance of Nonstandard Streetscaping within the Public Right-of-Way

Dear Mr. Bloom:

This letter confirms the Silver Spring Urban District's agreement with Fenton Street Development LLC concerning the maintenance of proposed nonstandard streetscaping materials to be located within the Fenton Street and/or Thayer Avenue rights-of-way in connection with the development of *The Adele* mixed-use project. The project is located at the southwest quadrant of the intersection of Thayer Avenue with Fenton Street.

The proposed Site Plan for *The Adele* (Site Plan Review No. 820060200) contemplates the extension of special paving materials and landscaping into the public right-of way. Although at the time of site plan approval the Planning Board will determine the limit and types of materials to be located within the public right-of-way, the Silver Spring Urban District agrees any approved nonstandard materials shall be maintained as follows:

- 1. The Property owner shall be responsible for maintaining all nonstandard materials located within the public-right-of-way.
- 2. The Property owner shall maintain a replacement supply of the nonstandard paving materials equal to ten percent (10%) of the total quantity of nonstandard paving materials installed within the public right-of-way. The replacement materials shall be stored on the Property.
- 3. Upon request, The Property owner shall provide the Silver Spring Urban District with access to the replacement supply of nonstandard paving materials, and the Silver Spring Urban District shall be permitted to use the replacement materials as necessary to replace any damaged materials located within the public right-of-way in the event the Property owner fails to do so. Before taking any action under this paragraph, the Property owner shall be given notice



Mr. Bloom February 7, 2006 Page 2

and a reasonable opportunity to replace the damaged materials. In the event that the Silver Spring Urban District finds it necessary to make repairs or replace the non-standard materials, the cost to the Silver Spring Urban District will be covered by imposing an Optional Method Development Fee as permitted by County Code upon the Property Owner.

The Property owner must obtain all necessary approvals and permits before installing any materials within the public right-of-way.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 565-7359.

Sincerely,

my Still Director

cc:

Mr. Robert Kronenberg Todd D. Brown, Esq.

# M-NCPPC

#### MONTGOMERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARK AND PLANNING

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3760 301-495-4500, www.mncppc.org

March 14, 2006

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

Robert Kronenberg, RLA, Planner Coordinator

**Development Review Division** 

FROM:

Glenn Kreger, Team Leader, Silver Spring/Takoma Park Team

Community-Based Planning Division

SUBJECT:

Site Plan Review No. 820060200

The Adele

The Community-Based Planning staff has reviewed the above referenced Site Plan for conformance with the Silver Spring Central Business District and Vicinity Sector Plan (Approved February 2000). The subject property is located at the south west quadrant of the intersection of Fenton Street and Thayer Avenue in Fenton Village. Community-Based Planning recommends the <u>approval</u> of this Site Plan with the following conditions needed to ensure consistency with the approved CBD Sector Plan:

- 1. Provide full width streetscape improvements on Fenton Street and Thayer Avenue in accordance with the *Silver Spring Streetscape* (April 1992) technical manual or as amended.
- 2. Enter into an agreement with the Silver Spring Urban District for maintenance of all or some of the streetscape improvements.

#### **ZONING AND LAND USE:**

The 29,326 square foot (41,743 square foot gross tract area) subject property is zoned CBD-1 (Central Business District, 1.0). The approved CBD Sector Plan recommends the CBD-1 zoning for this site, which was applied through the Sectional Map Amendment (SMA) adopted July 18, 2000 per County Council Resolution 14-600. The property is within the Fenton Village Overlay Zone, which provides for flexibility of development standards to encourage innovative design solutions.

The proposed commercial and residential uses are permitted under the CBD-1 Zone. The proposal will be implemented under the Optional Method of Development. The project proposes 145,471 gross square feet of development including 96 residential units, 15,020

square feet of retail and 18,200 square feet of office. The development has a 3.0 FAR plus a 22 percent residential density bonus (with 15 percent MPDUs), which is the permitted maximum for mixed-use, Optional Method projects under the CBD-1 Zone. The minimum required public use space for this project is 5,705 square feet (20 percent of the net lot). The project proposes 5,705 square feet of on-site public use space, which equals 20 percent of the net lot, plus off-site streetscape improvements.

The Fenton Village Overlay Zone mandates height restrictions in order to address compatibility issues. The Overlay Zone requires a maximum height of 60 feet for projects on the west side of Fenton Street. The building height may be increased up to 90 feet for projects that are at least 33 percent residential and where the additional height is placed closest to Georgia Avenue and decreases as you move east toward Fenton Street.

The proposed building is set back 8 feet from the Fenton Street right-of-way and is 60 feet in height. A 90-foot portion of the building mass is setback an additional 12 feet for a total setback of 20 feet from the Fenton Street right-of-way. This is generally consistent with the provisions in the Fenton Overlay Zone and similar guidance in the Sector Plan in terms of achieving two important objectives. The first objective is encouraging new housing in mixed-use projects within Fenton Village. The second is achieving a gradual transition in building height between the central part of the CBD (along Georgia Avenue) and the edge along Fenton Street. Building heights along the east side of Fenton Street are limited to 60 feet for residential projects.

#### **SECTOR PLAN CONFORMANCE:**

The Silver Spring Central Business District and Vicinity Sector Plan, approved by the County Council on February 1, 2000, outlines six themes, which articulate the shared goals and vision for a revitalized Silver Spring. Four of these themes (i.e. a residential downtown; a green downtown; a commercial downtown; and a pedestrian-friendly downtown) apply to this proposed project. The Sector Plan does not specifically identify the proposed project site as a potential housing site. However, the Sector Plan does encourage housing as an important component of the revitalization efforts and recommends height incentives for the construction of new housing in Fenton Village.

The proposed project is being developed under the optional method of development and proposes a new public open space. This project encourages the development of active urban streets by providing street-facing building entrances and a highly visible public space with a public art component as an activity generator. This project expands the employment base within the CBD by providing new retail and office opportunities. This project encourages the development of active urban streets and improves the quality of the pedestrian environment by providing the Silver Spring streetscape treatments on Thayer Avenue and Fenton Street. While the proposed project is larger than most existing buildings on Fenton Street, staff feels that the approved building height and land uses meets the compatibility requirements of the Overlay Zone and the Sector Plan for future redevelopment.

#### **COMMUNITY OUTREACH:**

The applicant has presented the development proposal to the East Silver Spring Citizens Association (ESSCA) and the Commercial and Economic Development (CED) Subcommittee of the Silver Spring Citizens Advisory Board. The Silver Spring Urban District submitted a letter on February 6, 2006, in support of the project.

GK:tv: G:\Kreger\The Adele.doc



# Development Review Division Montgomery County Department of Park and Planning

### CHECKLIST Site Plan / Project Plan Review

		***				
Plan # <b>37006 0</b>	200	Vame: EASL	ey Subdiv	ISION	THE ADE	, E '
Zone: CB0-1	Tract Area	0.96ac	roposed	Use: <b>R£</b>	SIDENTIAL	<b>b</b> f
Number of Units:	96	Square Foo	otage: 145,	471 5	C	
Development Metho	d: OP Te	ONAL	Other:			
Referral Comments		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
M-NCPPC			Other Agencie	s.	•	
W-NGFFC	Staff	Date		Staff	Date	
Transportation	SE	12/7/05	SHA	NIC	,	
Environmental	哲母	1/14/05	DPS (SWM)	RB		
Community Planning	ak	3/4/06	DPS (Traffic)	SN	12/5/05	
Historic Planning	NC	MINISTER SERVICE TO A STATE OF THE SERVICE TO SERVICE T	Public School	N/C		
Park Planning	NC	en secondario de	Utility	RB	12/5/05	•
Research/Housing	Nla	Commission of the Control of the Con	Fire & Rescue		READTH LEGISLATION CONTROL TO LOS (FIGURE NO. 1)	
			DPW & T	ちし	2/25/0	6
Development Stand	dards / Red	quirements		•		
Zoning Requiremen		MPDU Calculation	Buildin	g Restriction	n Lines	
Development Data		TDR Calculation		ig Height		
Recreation Calculat		Timing/Phasing Cond	No. of the last of	r Plan Confo	rmance	
•	1011	Timing/Timesing Cont	1110110	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Prior Approvals	<b></b>		dem <b>de D</b> ute e €	Sito Dies Ass	provole	
Development Plan		Preliminary Plan	Prior	Site Plan App	rovais	
Record Plat						
Community Input						
Civic Association	SILVER	e spring	REGIONAL	- entr	, bewitte	0
Individuals	5.5.	URBAN D				
Supervisor Review			3.24.1	06	RAL	
Chief Review			•			
CHICL LEVIEW						