MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 9, 2006

TO: Montgomery County Planning Board

VIA: Rose Krasnow, Chief
  Catherine Conlon, Supervisor
  Development Review Division

FROM: Dolores Kinney, Senior Planner (301) 495-1321
  Development Review

REVIEW TYPE: Preliminary Plan Review
APPLYING FOR: Subdivision of Parcel 496

PROJECT NAME: Spring Hill Manor Update
CASE #: 120060590 (Formerly 1-06059)
REVIEW BASIS: Chapter 50, Montgomery County Subdivision Regulations

ZONE: R-90
LOCATION: Located on the east side of Spring Hill Lane, approximately 80 feet
  south of the intersection with Glenmoor Drive

MASTER PLAN: Bethesda Chevy Chase
APPLICANT: George W. Hugueley
ENGINEER: WCG, LLC
HEARING DATE: May 18, 2006, June 22, 2006
STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval, pursuant to Chapter 50 of the Montgomery County Subdivision Regulations, and subject to the following conditions:

1) Approval under this preliminary plan is limited to 2 residential lots.
2) The applicant shall comply with the conditions of the final tree save plan, which must be approved by MNCPPC staff prior to any demolition, clearing, or grading on the subject property. Final plan must be in accordance with all conditions of approval in Environmental Planning memo dated May 1, 2006.
   a) The final tree save plan must save designated trees shown outside the limit of disturbance (LOD) as shown on the preliminary tree save plan signed and dated May 1, 2006.
   b) Final tree save plan must be prepared, signed and stamped by an ISA certified arborist and include complete details on the proposed tree protection measures. Any trees that need to be removed based on the arborist’s review must be replaced at a rate up to 1” DBH per every 1” lost.
3) The applicant shall comply with the conditions of approval of the MCDPS stormwater management approval dated April 27, 2006.
4) The applicant shall comply with conditions of MCDPWT letter dated March 24, 2006, unless otherwise amended.
5) The applicant shall resolve the fire code issues relating to an approved turnaround and turning radius from Spring Hill Lane prior to record plat.
6) Record plat shall reflect common ingress/egress and utility easements over all shared driveways.
7) The Adequate Public Facility (APF) review for the preliminary plan will remain valid for sixty-one (61) months from the date of mailing of the Planning Board opinion.
8) The record plat shall reflect other necessary easements.

SITE DESCRIPTION:

The subject property, identified as Parcel 496 (Subject Property), is located on the east side of Spring Hill Lane, approximately 80 feet south of the intersection with Glenmoor Drive (Attachment A). The Subject Property contains 0.86 acre and is zoned R-90. A dwelling currently exists on the property and will remain.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

This is a subdivision application for two residential lots for two one-family attached dwellings (Attachment B), one of which already exists. Access to the Subject Property will be via a shared driveway from Spring Hill Lane. Stormwater management will be provided by adding topsoil to all disturbed areas, prior to permanent vegetation stabilization, and adherence to other best management practices and Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (DPS) regulations.
DISCUSSION:

Previous Hearing

The preliminary plan was presented to the Planning Board on May 18, 2006, at which time the Board deferred decision on the plan. The preliminary plan proposes an existing dwelling on Lot 1 with frontage on Glenmoor Drive and a dwelling on Lot 2, at the rear of an existing dwelling fronting on Spring Hill Lane. The property owner of Lot 13, which fronts on Spring Hill Lane, testified in opposition to the plan, indicating that the proposed Lot 2 did not meet Section 50-29 (a)(1) of the Subdivision Regulations. The neighbor’s representative cited that the shape and orientation of the proposed Lot 13 was not appropriate for the location of the subdivision. The Board deferred the preliminary plan to provide additional time for the applicant to address this issue and to identify similar configurations within the community.

Staff’s Position

The original staff report did not specifically address Section 50-29 (a) (1) of the subdivision regulations, but the issue was evaluated during the review of the application. The dwelling on the proposed Lot 2 will be approximately 140 feet in distance from the existing dwelling on Lot 13. The proposed Lot 2 is in the shape of a pipistem and contains a driveway to be shared by both the proposed lots. The existing dwelling on proposed Lot 1 has a rear yard facing Glenmoor Drive. But the front of the existing dwelling on Lot 1 and the proposed dwelling on Lot 2, will both be oriented towards the shared access, very similar to a cul-de-sac configuration.

As previously stated, the dwelling on the proposed Lot 1 fronts on Glenmoor Drive. However, the rear of the house faces Glenmoor and the front of the house faces the proposed Lot 2. The front of the dwelling on the proposed Lot 2 faces Spring Hill Lane. The two (2) new dwellings have common orientation towards the private driveway, very similar to the typical orientation of dwellings to a cul-de-sac. The orientation of the two (2) new lots is much like that of other lot orientations in the neighborhood.

Immediately south of the Subject Property are properties which front on Spring Hill Court, which is a cul-de-sac. Lot 11, which fronts on Spring Hill Court, is located to the rear of Lot 12. Lot 12 fronts and faces Spring Hill Lane. The orientation of the lots adjacent to Spring Hill Court is very similar to the orientation proposed for the Subject Property, except the proposed lots obtain access via driveway instead of a public cul-de-sac.

The above description of Lot 11 references a similar configuration, to the proposed preliminary plan and is in the vicinity of the Subject Property. The orientation of “lots-behind-lots” is not uncommon in this area. As such, Staff finds that the orientation of the proposed lots is appropriate for the subdivision and find that Preliminary Plan #120060590 (Formerly 1-06059) Spring Hill Manor Parcel 496,
complies with Section 50-29 (a)(1) and all other provisions of the Subdivision Regulations.

The neighboring property also contended that the shape of Lot 2 was not appropriate for the location of the subdivision. The proposed Lot 2 would be created from the existing Parcel 496, which is a pipestem. Although pipestems are not typical lots in this area, this particular configuration is an existing condition. Therefore, the shape of the proposed lot has already existed at the current location. Unlike an application for resubdivision, the proposed lots are not required to be of the same character as the existing lots in the neighborhood.

Section 50-29(a)(1) of the Subdivision Regulations requires that any proposed lot have appropriate lot size, width, shape and orientation for the location of the subdivision. In reference to this preliminary plan, the Board questioned shape and orientation of the proposed Lot 2. Based on the above findings and others, as discussed in the May 18, 2006 Staff memorandum (Attachment A), Staff finds that the proposed preliminary plan complies with Chapter 50 of the Montgomery County Code, Subdivision Regulations, in that public facilities will be adequate to support and service the area of the proposed subdivision. Staff continues to recommend approval of the preliminary plan.

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment A May 18, 2006 Staff Memorandum
MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 1, 2006

TO: Montgomery County Planning Board

VIA: Rose Krasnow, Chief
     Catherine Conlon, Supervisor
     Development Review Division

FROM: Dolores Kinney, Senior Planner (301) 495-1321
      Development Review

REVIEW TYPE: Preliminary Plan Review
APPLYING FOR: Subdivision of Parcel 496

PROJECT NAME: Spring Hill Manor
CASE #: 120060590 (Formerly 1-06059)
REVIEW BASIS: Chapter 50, Montgomery County Subdivision Regulations

ZONE: R-90
LOCATION: Located on the east side of Spring Hill Lane, approximately 80 feet south of the intersection with Glenmoor Drive

MASTER PLAN: Bethesda Chevy Chase
APPLICANT: George W. Hugueley
ENGINEER: WCG, LLC
HEARING DATE: May 18, 2006
STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approval, pursuant to Chapter 50 of the Montgomery County Subdivision Regulations, and subject to the following conditions:

1) Approval under this preliminary plan is limited to 2 residential lots.
2) The applicant shall comply with the conditions of the final tree save plan, which must be approved by MNCPPC staff prior to any demolition, clearing, or grading on the subject property. Final plan must be in accordance with all conditions of approval in Environmental Planning memo dated May 1, 2006.
   a) The final tree save plan must save trees shown outside the limit of disturbance (LOD) as shown on the preliminary tree save plan signed and dated May 1, 2006.
   b) Final tree save plan must be prepared, signed and stamped by an ISA certified arborist and include complete details on the proposed tree protection measures. Any trees that need to be removed based on the arborist’s review must be replaced at a rate up to 1” DBH per every 1” lost.
3) The applicant shall comply with the conditions of approval of the MCDPS stormwater management approval dated April 27, 2006.
4) The applicant shall comply with conditions of MCDPWT letter dated March 24, 2006, unless otherwise amended.
5) The applicant shall resolve the fire code issues relating to an approved turnaround and turning radius from Spring Hill Lane prior to record plat.
6) Record plat shall reflect common ingress/egress and utility easements over all shared driveways.
7) The Adequate Public Facility (APF) review for the preliminary plan will remain valid for sixty-one (61) months from the date of mailing of the Planning Board opinion.
8) The record plat shall reflect other necessary easements.

SITE DESCRIPTION:

The subject property, identified as Parcel 496 (Subject Property), is located on the east side of Spring Hill Lane, approximately 80 feet south of the intersection with Glenmoor Drive (Attachment A). The Subject Property contains 0.86 acre and is zoned R-90. A dwelling currently exists on the property and will remain.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

This is a subdivision application for two residential lots for two one-family attached dwellings (Attachment B), one of which already exists. Access to the Subject Property will be via a shared driveway from Spring Hill Lane. Stormwater management will be provided by topsoiling all disturbed areas, prior to permanent vegetation stabilization, and adherence to other best management practices and Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (DPS) regulations.
DISCUSSION:

Master Plan Compliance

The Bethesda Chevy Chase Master Plan does not specifically identify the Subject Property for discussion but does give general guidance and recommendations regarding zoning and land use. The plan recommends that this area maintain the existing zoning as adopted and maintain the residential land use consisting of one-family detached homes. The proposed subdivision complies with the recommendations adopted in the master plan in that it is a request for residential development.

Environmental Compliance

There is no forest on the Subject Property and the site is exempt from Forest Conservation Law as a Small Property.

All specimen trees on the Subject Property are proposed to be retained and the effects of construction suitably mitigated. All off-site trees are proposed to be retained and the effects of construction minimized and mitigated. There are no streams, wetlands, floodplains or environmental buffers on the property.

Fire and Rescue Emergency Access

The Montgomery County Department of Fire and Rescue Services (MCFRS) require sufficient access to properties to accommodate emergency vehicles. MCFRS has reviewed and approved the preliminary plan with a condition that the applicant provides adequate turnaround and turning radius from Spring Hill Lane, prior to recordation of the plat. MNCPPC and MCFRS Staff agree that this modification can be made without alteration of the lot layout.

ANALYSIS

Staff finds that Preliminary Plan #1-20060590 (formerly 1-06059), Spring Hill Manor Parcel 496 conforms to the Bethesda Chevy Chase Master Plan and meets all necessary requirements of the Subdivision Regulations. Staff also finds that the proposed preliminary plan complies with Chapter 50 of the Montgomery County Code, Subdivision Regulations, in that public facilities will be adequate to support and service the area of the proposed subdivision, as demonstrated in Attachment C, Data Table. Staff further finds that the size, width, shape, and orientation of the proposed lots are appropriate for the location of the subdivision.
CONCLUSION:

Staff finds that Preliminary Plan #120060590 (Formerly 1-06059) Spring Hill Manor Parcel 496, conforms to all necessary requirements of the Subdivision Regulations. As such, Staff recommends approval of the preliminary plan, subject to the above conditions.

ATTACHMENTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attachment</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attachment A</td>
<td>Vicinity Map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment B</td>
<td>Preliminary Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment C</td>
<td>Data Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment D</td>
<td>Agency Correspondence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Preliminary Plan Data Table and Checklist

**Plan Name:** Spring Hill Manor Parcel 496  
**Plan Number:** 120060590  
**Zoning:** R-90  
**# of Lots:** 2  
**# of Outlots:** 0  
**Dev. Type:** Two one-family detached dwelling units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLAN DATA</th>
<th>Zoning Ordinance Development Standard</th>
<th>Proposed for Approval on the Preliminary Plan</th>
<th>Verified</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Lot Area</td>
<td>9,000 sq.ft.</td>
<td>17,529 sq.ft. is minimum proposed</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Width</td>
<td>ft.</td>
<td>Must meet minimum</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Frontage</td>
<td>25 ft.</td>
<td>Must meet minimum</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setbacks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front</td>
<td>30 ft. Min.</td>
<td>Must meet minimum</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side</td>
<td>8 ft. Min./ 25 ft. total</td>
<td>Must meet minimum</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear</td>
<td>25 ft. Min.</td>
<td>Must meet minimum</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>35 ft. Max.</td>
<td>May not exceed maximum</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Resid’l d.u. or Comm’l s.f. per Zoning</td>
<td>4 d. u.</td>
<td>2 dwelling units</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPDU#s</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Plan Req’d?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 1, 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## FINDINGS

### SUBDIVISION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lot frontage on Public Street</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>May 1, 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road dedication and frontage improvements</td>
<td>Dedication</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DPWT memo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Guidelines</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Environmental Planning memo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Conservation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Environmental Planning memo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Plan Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ADEQUATE PUBLIC FACILITIES

| Stormwater Management        | Yes | Yes | DPS | April 27, 2006 |
| Water and Sewer (WSSC)       | Yes | Yes | WSSC | |
| Well and Septic              |     |     |     |              |
| Local Area Traffic Review    | N/A | N/A |     | May 1, 2006   |
| Fire and Rescue              | Yes | Yes | MCFRS | May 2, 2006   |
AGENCY
CORRESPONDENCE
Ms. Cathy Conlon  
Supervisor, Development Review  
Subdivision Division  
Maryland National Capital Park & Planning Commission  
8787 Georgia Avenue  
Silver Spring, MD 20910-3760

Re: Montgomery County  
MD 118 General  
Gateway Park Residential  
File Nos. 1-20060470 & 8-20060150

November 1, 2005

Dear Ms. Conlon:

The State Highway Administration (SHA) would like to thank you for the opportunity to review the preliminary and site plan applications for the Gateway Park Residential development. We have completed our review and have no comments at this time.

If additional information is required from SHA regarding this project, please do not hesitate to contact Mr. Raymond Burns at 410-545-5592, Mr. John Borkowski at 410-545-5595, or by using our toll free number in Maryland only, 1-800-876-4742 (x-5592 for Ray, x-5595 for John). You may also E-mail Ray at rburnsl@sha.state.md.us or John at jborkowski@sha.state.md.us. Thank you for your cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Steven D. Foster, Chief  
Engineering Access Permits Division

SDF/RB/JAB

cc: Mr. Darrell Mobley (Via E-mail)  
Mr. Augustine Rebish (Via E-mail)  
Mr. Richard Weaver, M-NCPPC (Via E-mail)
March 24, 2006

Ms. Catherine Conlon, Subdivision Supervisor
Development Review Division
The Maryland-National Capital Park & Planning Commission
8787 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3760

RE: Preliminary Plan #1-20060590
Spring Hill Manor

Dear Ms. Conlon:

We have completed our review of the preliminary plan dated 3/20/06. An older version of this plan was reviewed by the Development Review Committee at its meeting on 12/19/05. We recommend approval of the plan subject to the following comments:

All Planning Board Opinions relating to this plan or any subsequent revision, project plans or site plans should be submitted to DPS in the package for record plats, storm drain, grading or paving plans, or application for access permit. Include this letter and all other correspondence from this department.

1. Show all existing planimetric and topographic details storm drainage, driveways adjacent and opposite the site, sidewalks and/or bikeways on the preliminary plan.

2. Necessary dedication for Glenmoor Drive and Spring Hill Lane.

3. Grant necessary slope and drainage easements. Slope easements are to be determined by study or set at the building restriction line.

4. We did not receive complete analyses of the capacity of the downstream public storm system(s) and the impact of the post-development runoff on the system(s). As a result, we are unable to offer comments on the need for possible improvements to the system(s) by this applicant. Prior to approval of the record plat by the Department of Permitting Services (DPS), the applicant's consultant will need to submit this study, with computations, for review and approval by DPS. Analyze the capacity of the existing downstream public storm drain system and the impact of the post-development ten (10) year storm runoff on same. If the proposed subdivision drains to an existing closed section street, include spread and inlet efficiency computations in the impact analysis.
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5. The sight distances study has not been accepted. Prior to approval of the record plat by DPS, the applicant’s engineer will need to show the proposed driveway and connection to the garage for lot#1 on the preliminary plan.

6. Record plat to reflect a reciprocal ingress, egress, and public utilities easement to serve the lots accessed by each common driveway.

7. Private common driveways and private streets shall be determined through the subdivision process as part of the Planning Board’s approval of a preliminary plan. The composition, typical section, horizontal alignment, profile, and drainage characteristics of private common driveways and private streets, beyond the public right-of-way, shall be approved by the Planning Board during their review of the preliminary plan.

8. In accordance with Section 49-35(c) of the Montgomery County Code, sidewalks are required along the frontage to serve the proposed subdivision.

9. Relocation of utilities along existing roads to accommodate the required roadway improvements shall be the responsibility of the applicant.

10. If the proposed development will alter any existing street lights, signing, and/or pavement markings, please contact Mr. Fred Lees of our Traffic Control and Lighting Engineering Team at (240) 777-6000 for proper executing procedures. All costs associated with such relocations shall be the responsibility of the applicant.

11. Trees in the County rights of way - species and spacing to be in accordance with the applicable DPWT standards. A tree planting permit is required from the Maryland Department of Natural Resources, State Forester’s Office [(301) 854-6060], to plant trees within the public right of way.

12. Coordinate with Department of Fire and Rescue about their requirements for access.

13. Adjust the common driveway curb return so it does not cross the property line.

14. Permit and bond will be required as a prerequisite to DPS approval of the record plat. The permit will include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following improvements:

   A. Construct the sidewalk as detailed in items 9.

   B. Improvements to the existing public storm drainage system, if necessitated by the previously mentioned outstanding storm drain study. If the improvements are to be maintained by Montgomery County, they will need to be designed and constructed in accordance with the DPWT Storm Drain Design Criteria.

   C. Permanent monuments and property line markers, as required by Section 50-24(e) of the Subdivision Regulations.

   D. Erosion and sediment control measures as required by Section 50-35(j) and on-site stormwater management where applicable shall be provided by the Developer (at no cost to the County) at such locations deemed necessary by the Department of Permitting Services (DPS) and will
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comply with their specifications. Erosion and sediment control measures are to be built prior to construction of streets, houses and/or site grading and are to remain in operation (including maintenance) as long as deemed necessary by the DPS.

E. Developer shall provide street lights in accordance with the specifications, requirements, and standards prescribed by the Traffic Engineering and Operations Section.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this preliminary plan. If you have any questions or comments regarding this letter, please contact me at sam.farhadi@montgomerycountymd.gov or (240) 777-6000.

Sincerely,

Sam Farhadi, P.E., Senior Planning Specialist  
Traffic Engineering and Operations Section

Enclosures ()

cc: Andrew Husbands, WCG  
George Hugueley  
Joseph Y. Cheung; DPS RWPPR  
Christina Contreras; DPS RWPPR  
Sarah Navid; DPS RWPPR  
Shahriar Etemadi; M-NCPPC TP  
Gregory Leck, DPWT TEOS
Re: Stormwater Management CONCEPT Request for Spring Hill Manor
Preliminary Plan #: 1-06059
SM File #: 219985
Tract Size/Zone: 0.86 Ac./R-90
Total Concept Area: 4024 Ac.
Parcel(s): 496
Watershed: Lower Rock Creek

Mr. Andrew Husbands
WCG, LLC
4424 Montgomery Avenue, Suite 201
Bethesda, MD 20814

Dear Mr. Husbands:

Based on a review by the Department of Permitting Services Review Staff, the stormwater management concept for the above mentioned site is acceptable. The stormwater management concept consists of on-site water quality control and recharge via non structural best management practices. Channel protection volume is not required because the one-year post development peak discharge is less than or equal to 2.0 cfs.

The following items will need to be addressed during the detailed sediment control/stormwater management plan stage:

1. Prior to permanent vegetative stabilization, all disturbed areas must be topsoiled per the latest Montgomery County Standards and Specifications for Topsoiling.

2. A detailed review of the stormwater management computations will occur at the time of detailed plan review.

3. An engineered sediment control plan must be submitted for this development.

4. All filtration media for manufactured best management practices, whether for new development or redevelopment, must consist of MDE approved material.

5. Please meet with the MCDPS plan reviewer prior to submittal of sediment control plan to discuss layout of the driveway trench.

This list may not be all-inclusive and may change based on available information at the time.

Payment of a stormwater management contribution in accordance with Section 2 of the Stormwater Management Regulation 4-90 is/is not required.

This letter must appear on the sediment control/stormwater management plan at its initial submittal. The concept approval is based on all stormwater management structures being located outside of the Public Utility Easement, the Public Improvement Easement, and the Public Right of Way.
unless specifically approved on the concept plan. Any divergence from the information provided to this office; or additional information received during the development process; or a change in an applicable Executive Regulation may constitute grounds to rescind or amend any approval actions taken, and to reevaluate the site for additional or amended stormwater management requirements. If there are subsequent additions or modifications to the development, a separate concept request shall be required.

If you have any questions regarding these actions, please feel free to contact David Kuykendall at 240-777-6332.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Richard R. Brush, Manager
Water Resources Section
Division of Land Development Services

RRB:dm CN219986 Spring Hill Manor.DWK

CC: C. Conlon
S. Federline
SM File # 219985

QN - Onsite; Acres: 4024
QL - Onsite; Acres: 4024
Recharge is provided
THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND
PLANNING COMMISSION
Department of Park & Planning, Montgomery County, Maryland
8787 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

MEMORANDUM

TO: Delores Kinney, Development Review
Cathy Conlon, Development Review

VIA: Steve Federline, Supervisor, Environmental Planning

FROM: Amy Lindsey, Environmental Planning

DATE: May 1, 2006

SUBJECT: Preliminary Plan 120060590
Spring Hill Manor – Parcel 496

The Environmental Planning staff has reviewed the preliminary plan referenced above. Staff recommends approval of the preliminary plan of subdivision with the following condition:

1. The proposed development shall comply with the conditions of the final tree save plan, which must be approved by MNCPPC staff prior to any demolition, clearing, or grading on the subject property. Final plan must be in accordance with all conditions of approval in Environmental Planning memo dated May 1, 2006.
   a. The final tree save plan must save trees shown outside the limit of disturbance (LOD) shown on the preliminary tree save plan signed and dated 5/1/2006.
   b. Final tree save plan must be prepared, signed and stamped by an ISA certified arborist and include complete details on the proposed tree protection measures. Any trees that need to be removed based on the arborist’s review must be replaced at a rate up to 1” DBH per every 1” lost.

BACKGROUND

The 0.86-acre property is located in Montgomery County on Spring Hill Lane in the Bethesda/Chevy Chase Master Plan area. Currently, there is one single-family home on the property. This preliminary plan proposes retaining the existing house and constructing one new one. This property surrounding and confronting uses are all single-family residential. There are no environmental features on the property except for specimen trees. The property is within the Lower Rock Creek watershed.

Forest Conservation

There is no forest on this property and this site is exempt from Forest Conservation Law as per 405374E, as a Small Property. The exemption letter noted that a Tree Save Plan was required
at Preliminary Plan and Environmental Planning staff confirmed this upon receipt of the Preliminary Plan.

All specimen trees on this property are proposed to be retained and the effects of construction suitably mitigated. All off-site trees are proposed to be retained and the effects of construction minimized and mitigated.

**Environmental Buffers**

The site does not include any streams, wetlands, or floodplains and there are no environmental buffers on the property.
MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION
FOREST CONSERVATION RECOMMENDATIONS

TO: Plan review staff, Environmental Planning Section

SUBJECT: Project Name: Spring Hill Manor  Date Recd: 6/28/05
NRI/FSD #: 4-05374E

The above-referenced plan has been reviewed by the Environmental Planning Division
to determine the requirements of Chapter 22A of the Montgomery County Code (Forest
Conservation Law). A determination has been made that the plan qualifies for the
following exemption:

EXEMPTION:

X Small Property
   X Activity occurring on a tract less than or equal to 1.5 acre in size where there is no existing
   forest and afforestation requirements would be less than 10,000 square feet, and no
   specimen or champion trees will be disturbed;
   Activity occurring on a tract less than or equal to 1 acre in size where activity will not result
   in the clearing of more than 30,000 square feet of existing forest, or any specimen or
   champion trees, and reforestation requirements would be less than 10,000 square feet.
   Note: Tree Save Plan, including preservation and/or replanting of individual trees is required
   in lieu of a FCP where trees are impacted. Forest within any priority area on-site must
   be preserved.

NOTE: Per section 22A-6(b) of the Forest Conservation Law, Tree Save Plans may be substituted for Forest
Conservation Plans on properties where the proposed development is exempt from Forest Conservation except that it
involves clearing of specimen or champion trees.

This property is subject to a Tree Save Plan.
   X Tree protection measures are required. Tree save plan must be submitted as part of
   the preliminary subdivision plan application for M-NCPPC review. A licensed arborist
   may be required to provide an evaluation of and recommendations for protection
   measures for specific trees on or near the subject site.
   X MNCPPC inspector must be contacted for pre-construction inspection of tree
   protection measures and authorization to begin any tree clearing.

This property is not within a Special Protection Area.

Signature: Candy Bunnaq  Date: 7/25/05
, Environmental Planning

cc: Ken West, West Consulting Group  (fax: 301-654-7909)
FIRE MARSHAL COMMENTS

DATE: MAY 2, 2006
TO: PLANNING BOARD, MONTGOMERY COUNTY
VIA:
FROM: CAPTAIN JOHN FEISSNER 240.372.2436
RE: APPROVAL OF ~ SPRING HILL MANOR #1-20060590 WITH CONDITION. SEE BELOW.

1. PLAN APPROVED.

   a. Review based only upon information contained on the plan submitted on 5-01-06. Review and approval does not cover unsatisfactory installation resulting from errors, omissions, or failure to clearly indicate conditions on this plan.

   b. Correction of unsatisfactory installation will be required upon inspection and service of notice of violation to a party responsible for the property.

Condition: The fire Code Issues of an approved Turnaround and Turning radius from Spring Hill Lane need to be resolved prior to this plan going to record plat.

cc: Department of Permitting Services