Exhibit **B**

WESTERN GROVE PARK FACILITY PLAN REPORT September, 2013

FOR:

MONTGOMERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS THE MARYLAND NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

ጼ

CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE

BY:

A. Morton Thomas & Associates, Inc. Marsha Lea Landscape Architecture, LLC

Table of Contents


| I - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
|---|-----|
| Background | 1 |
| Park Concept Plan | 2 |
| Facility Plan | 3 |
| II - EXISTING CONDITIONS | 5 |
| Vicinity Context | 5 |
| Vicinity Map | 7 |
| III - CONCEPT PLAN | 9 |
| Concept Plan Outreach and Development Process | 9 |
| Vision for the Park | 9 |
| Program of Requirements | 9 |
| Concept Plan – May 2013 | 10 |
| IV - FACILITY PLAN DESIGN NARRATIVE | 11 |
| Project Timeline | 11 |
| Park Vision | 12 |
| Park Features | 12 |
| Illustrative Facility Plan | 16 |
| V – PERMITTING | 17 |
| Maryland – National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) | 17 |
| Montgomery County | 17 |
| District of Columbia | 17 |
| VI – PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (COST ESTIMATE) | 19 |
| VII - ATTACHMENTS | 25 |
| 30% Design Documents | 27 |
| Appendix A - Planning Board Approval, Demolition, Site Cleanup and Interim Improvements, July 3, 2008 | 48 |
| Appendix B - Developing a Vision, Public Meeting #1, June 16, 2011 | 108 |
| Appendix C - Chevy Chase Open Space Committee Meeting, January, 2012 | 163 |
| Appendix D - Developing a Vision, Public Meeting #2, June 18, 2012 | 188 |
| Appendix E - Concept Plan, Planning Board Briefing, May 9, 2013 | 220 |
| Appendix F - Correspondence and Meeting Minutes | 254 |
| Appendix G – Stormwater Concept Report | 281 |
| Appendix H – Geotechnical Report | 283 |

I - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

In 2002, M-NCPPC ("Commission"), Chevy Chase Village ("Village"), and Montgomery County ("County") acquired a two-acre single family home site, located adjacent to Friendship Heights CBD and within the limits of Chevy Chase Village, as an Urban Open Space through the Legacy Open Space program. This acquisition represented a unique park partnership with a municipality, as described in a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) completed at the time. Chevy Chase Village contributed \$1.25 Million, or 25%, toward the acquisition.

This purchase laid the foundation for Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park. The Village has requested that the Park's name be changed to Western Grove Park. For more detailed information about the genesis of the Park and the MOU, see "Appendix A, Planning Board Approval, Demolition, Site Cleanup and Interim Improvements, July 3, 2008".



The Department of Parks took control of the property in late 2007 after cessation of a life estate. According to the terms of the MOU:

- The Commission will operate/maintain the Park (per 1972 agreement with County)
- The Village shall provide municipal services (police, trash removal, etc.)
- The Commission & Village will "jointly prepare a management plan" (now called an Operation & Use Plan) in accordance with Legacy Open Space goals
- The Operation & Use Plan may be amended with mutual agreement from the Commission & Chevy Chase Village

Since that time, the Department of Parks and the Village have followed a Three-Phase Park Implementation Plan:

Phase I: Site Cleanup

- Building Demolition
- Non-native invasive & hazardous vegetation removal
- Signage & security

Phase II: Interim Improvement Plan

- Interim, low-cost improvements to create a safe, usable park prior to ultimate build-out
- Coordinated with Concept Plan to ensure long-term compatibility

Phase III: Implementation of full Concept Plan

The house and associated structures were demolished in 2009, and large amounts of unsafe and nonnative invasive vegetation were removed. The MOU with the Village was amended at the time of demolition, through which the Village continued to support this Park by reimbursing the Commission \$39,000, or 50% of the demolition costs.

Park Concept Plan

In March of 2011, Commission and Village staff began to develop a Park Concept Plan and Interim Improvements Plan. Chevy Chase Village created the Chevy Chase Open Space Committee, a liaison committee to work hand-in-hand with the Department of Parks and an internal Planning, Design, Construction, Operations (PDCO) team, led by Brenda Sandberg and Rachel Newhouse of the Legacy Open Space Program and Park & Trail Planning Sections.

During 2011 to 2012, the committee held multiple site visits, internal coordination meetings, and public meetings to develop the Concept Plan. The Concept Plan was approved by the Board of Managers of Chevy Chase Village and the Director of Parks in June. 2012 as guidance for moving forward with future development of the Park. The Planning Board was then briefed on the Concept Plan on May 9, 2013.



Following review and approval of the Concept Plan, the committee created a list of quick, low-cost improvements in recognition of the fact that the park was already being used by numerous residents and office workers from the surrounding area. These Phase II interim improvements were compatible with the Concept Plan, and were implemented over the Fall 2012 – Spring 2013, creating a safe, usable park while additional park development was pursued. Projects included wood chip paths, remaining debris cleanup, and interim landscaping improvements with material obtained from the County's nursery at Pope Farm.

See Section III of this report for more detailed information about the Concept Plan.

Facility Plan

This Facility Plan report is the first step in Phase III: Implementation of full Concept Plan. It is part of the CIP process for funding the Park's development, through final design and construction. The purpose of the Facility Plan is to develop a preliminary design (30%) with cost estimate as part of the CIP process. Once the Facility Plan is approved and funding allocated, Park development can proceed to full design documents and construction.

The Facility Plan consists of the following major elements:

- An investigation and evaluation of existing conditions (See Section II of this report)
- Natural Resource Inventory / Forest Stand Delineation
- Forest Conservation Exemption and Tree Save Plan
- Development of the Schematic Design Documents from the Concept Plan and Program of Requirements (See "Attachments - 30% Design Documents")
- Investigation of necessary permits and approvals
- Design and construction cost estimate for the project.



See Section IV of this report and "Attachments – 30% Design Documents" for more detailed information about the Facility Plan.

II - EXISTING CONDITIONS

Among the most notable features of the Park is that it is almost 2 acres of open green space in a highly developed area near a Metro Rail station and a major Metro Bus hub. It lends itself to the overall goal of the Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan - to preserve green space for all communities in the County, including urban areas. It also furthers County goals of increased connectivity through its connection to the Belmont Buffer and the sidewalk on Western Avenue leading to the Metro. Further, it is ideal for achievement of at least two action items identified in the Vision 2030 Strategic Plan:

- Plan, design and create more unprogrammed, flexible park and recreation spaces
- Incorporate nature and environmental education into the playground environment

The decision to purchase the site and the early groundwork laid for the new Park advanced these objectives.

Vicinity Context

Another item critical to understanding the site is its location and surrounding uses. Green open space is a scant resource in this community. As the Chevy Chase Open Space Committee noted at its January 2012 meeting:

> "... the parks in the immediate area-including a large, recently renovated park in the District of Columbia—have many different kinds of constructed (or physical) amenities—large playgrounds for all ages of children, a dog park, a ball park, basketball courts, and places for concerts at Wisconsin Place. The new park will add a resource that is currently missing." (See "Appendix C")








Site stewardship prior to preparation of this Facility Plan has preserved and enhanced these qualities. The following projects, coordinated with the Concept Plan to ensure long-term compatibility, were identified as part of the Concept Plan Outreach and Development plan, and have been completed except where noted:

- Coordination with Chevy Chase Land Company on installation of property fence
- Installation of trash cans
- Relocation of outlying azaleas to Azalea Hill
- Removal of concrete walkway to former house
- Installation of a temporary surface (wood chips) to create woodland path and main path.
- Interim Landscape Improvements including:
 - *Removal of remaining debris*
 - Continued removal of non-native species and diseased or hazardous trees
 - Installation of mulch under trees
 - Edging planting beds
 - Installation of 31 trees from Montgomery County's Pope Farm tree nursery
 - Installation of donated shrubs
- Installation of Park Signage (pending)
- temporary low-level solar lighting along alignment of Main Pedestrian Walkway (pending)







Today, the Park is generally a gently sloping, nearly square parcel of land bordered on one side by Western Avenue and on the opposite by Grove Street, with Chevy Chase Center and the Church of Latter Day Saints on the other property lines. Nearby uses include single-family residential, institutional, and commercial / mixed-uses of the Friendship Heights CBD. Near the northern boundary, neighborhood children use an area of steeper topography and open land for sledding. The site contains areas of open lawn space available today for informal play or passive recreation. Wood chip walking paths, one along the western side of the site, and the second crossing diagonally from the northeast to the southwest, provide neighbors with routes to nearby commercial areas and the Friendship Heights Metro station and other public transit opportunities. The site contains numerous trees and shrubs of a wide variety of species. Some are large and prominent and others recently planted. The overall sense presented by the existing vegetation is of a garden or an arboretum.

Commission staff prepared an NRI/FSD of the site in December, 2008 and, since that time, has tracked removal and installation of vegetation. Additional inventories performed during preparation of the Facility Plan include an updated site assessment, evaluation of existing trees by ISA Certified Arborists, an updated survey, and geotechnical testing and analysis. Related documentation is included in the Attachments.

III - CONCEPT PLAN

Concept Plan Outreach and Development Process

In early 2011, the Commission and the Village began to develop a concept plan that addresses the unique opportunities this Park provides. The Chevy Chase Open Space Committee worked closely with the Commission's PDCO team to assimilate its assessments of the site and local conditions, previous Master Plans, and the desires of the community. Through a collaborative, interactive process they developed a Program of Requirements (POR) and a Concept Plan. See the "Appendices B, C, & D" for detailed information about this process.



Below is a synopsis of the Vision for the Park, the Program of Requirements (POR) and the Concept Plan. See "Appendix E" for detailed information.


Vision for the Park

- Provides a nature-based, green space park, a unique resource in the surrounding area and consistent with the purpose of the Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan
- Offers the public a respite from the stresses of urban life through enjoyment of a tranquil, gardenlike open space
- Enhances the beauty of the natural contours of the land and existing garden plantings
- Increases connectivity between the Belmont Trail and existing sidewalks to encourage walking, biking, and the use of public transportation
- Offers a range of amenities to maximize usage by attracting office workers, commuters and neighbors from the high rises and single family homes
- Appeals to the many generations that live and work in the surrounding area, being friendly to children and teens, adults, and seniors

Program of Requirements

- Main Pedestrian Walkway and Woodland Walkway Provide main hard-surface connections through park
- Lighting Along Walkways Provide safety for commuters during dark hours
- Informal Gathering Space Retain natural slope for seating and play
- Azalea Hill Preserve and enhance existing garden of azaleas and shade trees
- Great Lawn Preserve large open area for un-programmed play (Community Open Space)
- Natural Play Area Support creative play through area with all natural materials
- Birch Grove Preserve as quiet buffer between Park and Western Avenue
- Seating/Picnic Plaza Provide area with moveable furnishings for meals and meetings, and Wi-Fi
 for mobile device usage

- Park Entrances (from Belmont Buffer, Grove Street, Western Avenue, and Main Entrance) Support design aesthetic through entry designs with features such as gardens, plantings, stone pillars, gates
- Western and Eastern Edge Planting Buffers Create broken screening along urban and church sides of park, using variety of plant materials
- Birdhouse Garden Create garden around existing birdhouse; potential location for optional amenities
- Western Avenue Fence Provide a transparent fence to prevent accidental entry into Western Avenue from the play areas while preserving visibility into/out of park
- Optional Amenities: Sculptural or Enhanced Garden Features Consider adding sculptural options such as the human sundial or meditation maze, or enhanced gardens through partner or private funding for installation and maintenance
- Optional Amenities: Water Features Consider adding a water feature that integrates with the garden theme through partner or private funding for installation and maintenance



Concept Plan – May 2013

IV - FACILITY PLAN DESIGN NARRATIVE

Project Timeline:

Park Acquisition and Early Development:

- **2001**
 - Identified as an Urban Open Space resource in the Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan
 - Recommendation to acquire as parkland
- 2002

Legacy Open Space Program acquisition as Urban Open Space

- Partnership with Chevy Chase Village based on inter-governmental Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
- **2007**

Department of Parks assumes responsibility for property

2009

Buildings and driveway demolished by Park crews

2011

Initial site clean-up conducted; Trash cans, security gate across driveway installed

Concept Plan:

- Site Analysis and Outreach Strategy developed – Spring 2011
- Site Analysis/Outreach Strategy review with Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers, other community groups – April 2011
- Presentation to Parks Senior Management Team – May 2011
- Community Meeting in partnership with Chevy Chase Village – June 2011
- Park Concept Developed and Reviewed August 2011 - January 2012:
 - Multiple site visits with Chevy Chase
 Open Space Committee and
 neighboring property owners
 - Multiple PDCO team meetings and site visits
- PDCO and PD planning meetings February 2012
- Parks Senior Management Briefing & Approval – June 2012
- Second Community Meeting June 2012
- Presentation to CCV Board of Managers for approval – July, 2012
- Planning Board Briefing May 2013
- Move forward with Implementation Strategy



Facility Plan:

- Request for Proposals / Task Order issued May 16, 2013
- Proposals due Thursday, May 30, 2013
- Contract Awarded to AMT: June 11, 2013
- Survey (1' contour line interval for topography), existing vegetation, utilities, hardscape and current vegetation notes provided by Brenda Sandberg: June 12, 2013
- Project kickoff meeting: June 27, 2013
- Survey updated by AMT. Included tree / other vegetation inventory, tree condition assessment, and updated NRI/FSD: July / August 2013
- Geotechnical survey: August / September 2013Design Team kickoff meeting at AMT: June 24, 2013
- Kickoff / Team meeting #1 to review initial facility plan: June 27, 2013
- Meet with Locust Grove Staff: July 12, 2013
- Design Team meeting at AMT: July 16, 2013
- Team meeting at Chevy Chase Village to review Draft Facility Plan progress: July 24, 2013
- Meet with Park and Planning staff and Chevy Chase Village team to review preliminary design with respect to ADA, maintenance and management issues: August 1, 2013
- Team Meeting at Parkside headquarters to finalize schematic plan revisions: August 7, 2013
- Submit NRI/FSD and Forest Conservation Exemption request: September 4, 2013
- Team Meeting at Parkside Headquarters to review Draft Facility Plan: September 4, 2013
- Planning Board Hearing to Approve Facility Plan: September 19, 2013

Park Vision

The site improvements recommended for Western Grove Park build upon the vision for the Park described in the approved Concept Plan and as summarized above in Section III.

Park Features

Open Space

As described in the Concept Plan, the Park will continue to offer two distinct un-programmed open spaces, defined by existing and proposed trees and existing topography. One of these spaces includes a sloped area currently used for sledding and also suitable as an informal gathering space during warm

weather. The Plan retains the open, sloping ground, unencumbered by paths, furnishings or plantings to allow it to continue to provide an informal seating area and a place for sledding. The other space, referred to as the "Great Lawn" is nearly flat and offers opportunities for active and passive recreational pursuits including informal games such as tag, catch and Frisbee, reading, sunning and picnicking. The area of the Great Lawn will be improved through minor



regrading to remove a low area that is not currently well-drained. The Great Lawn will be further defined through the installation of shade trees around the perimeter and by aligning the proposed main path to maximize the open lawn area. Care will be taken to construct the main path and install site lighting along the path in a manner that will minimize damage to significant existing trees.



Circulation and Connectivity

Key features of the Park are two paths, the main path connecting the residential community to the north of the Park with Western Avenue and the commercial offerings and public transit facilities to the south, and a second path, the woodland path, connecting the Belmont Path to Western Avenue. Both paths will be constructed of brick on a concrete base to provide universally accessible pedestrian routes through the Park.

The main path will be 8' wide, a gracious enough width to allow two people walking next to one another to pass people walking in the other direction, as well as to accommodate park maintenance vehicles. A soil/turf reinforcement material installed on both sides of the path will protect the turf from damage by maintenance vehicles that may run off the edge of the pavement.

The woodland path will be narrower at about 5' in width, as it receives less use than the main path. The main path is designed with the center of the path constructed using a running bond pattern using one color of brick, bordered on either side by a brick band of a second color as a means of visually minimizing the width of the path.

There is an existing curb cut at the location of a previous driveway about mid-point along the Western Avenue property line. This entrance will continue to be used for maintenance access, fitted out with removable bollards to limit vehicular access to authorized vehicles only, while allowing pedestrians arriving from the east to enter the Park at this point. Low stone piers matching those at the arbors will mark the sides of this entrance.

The western entrance to the Park from Western Avenue is the primary park entrance and features an arbor gateway / identity feature. The arbor is envisioned as a structure designed in sympathy with the residential nature of the nearby community. The arbor will consist of two stone columns supporting an arched wooden arbor overhead. The stone columns will incorporate an inset stone park identification sign and downlighting to illuminate the walking surface and the sign panel.

The primary entrance on the north side of the Park will also receive an arbor, similar in design to the Western Avenue arbor. For this entrance the arbor will have lower stone piers as a base for wood columns supporting the same arched wood arbor as seen on the Western Avenue arbor. Given the width of the path at these two entrances the entrances will include removable bollards to limit vehicular access while allowing free movement of pedestrians in and out of the Park.

The northwestern entrance to the Park will connnect directly to Belmont Path where it currently ends at Grove Street.

Natural Play Area

A natural play area will be located on the eastern side of the Park, at the point furthest from adjacent commercial uses and closest to the single family residential community to the north and east of the Park. The natural play area may include carved log animal sculptures, a living hut created with tree saplings and log tables and chairs. Nestled on the edge of existing trees and shrubs and enclosed by new tree plantings the play area offers un-programmed play opportunities on an engineered wood fiber surface, considered a universally accessible surface material, edged with timbers, logs and boulders. A human sundial or other amenity may mark the entrance to the natural play at some point in the future. The Park has space for future expansion of the natural play area towards Western Avenue if levels of activity warrant additional features.

Seating Plaza

A seating area is proposed for the corner of the Park nearest to the commercial area to the south and west. Located near the main Park entrance from Western Avenue, where the woodland path and the main path intersect, the terrace will include moveable tables and chairs for dining and be fitted out with Wi-Fi service. A water feature is suggested as a key feature of the seating area, designed to act as a noise buffer for the traffic on Western Avenue and building on the "grove" portion of the Park name might incorporate leaf imagery or leaf forms. The water feature is considered a donor/partner opportunity and might also be designed as an art piece or incorporate an art element to ensure all-season interest. The fountain mechanical equipment will be housed in a built-in cabinet located within the water wall and will include a recirculating pump and UV filter.



A kiosk is included between the main entrance to the Park and the plaza. The kiosk will include a lockable window area for posting information from Park and Planning and the Village and accessible surfaces that will be suitable for the community to use to post information. The design of the kiosk is similar to the arbors and the stone base will include a cavity to house Wi-Fi components.

Furnishings and Lighting

Benches, as singles and in pairs, will be provided along the paths. Trash and recycling containers will be provided near the Western Avenue entrance, the seating plaza, and the natural play area.

A drinking fountain will be provided near the seating plaza, clustered with trash/recycling, lighting and bicycle racks.

As noted earlier, lighting will be provided along the paths to provide illumination for safe pedestrian passage after dark. A cut-off, Washington Globe style fixture, matching those used along Belmont Path

and elsewhere in the Village will be used for lighting within the Park. Electrical outlets will be provided in several of the post light bases, at the kiosk and at each of the arbors.

Plantings

New plantings in the Park will build upon the existing garden-like palette of trees and shrubs by introducing additional ornamental trees and shrubs in key locations such as the Azalea Hill on the northern border of the Park and along the eastern and western borders to increase the buffer plantings.

The seating plaza area includes a grove of trees to provide shade and enclosure for the space. Black Gum is the suggested variety given they are frequently found near water, exhibit brilliant fall color and are not yet found within the Park.

A grove of birch trees is suggested near the existing birch trees along Western Avenue. The expansion of the birch grove to the north of a row of existing white pine trees places the new grove closer to the natural play area and is imagined as a play opportunity.



Interesting shade trees such as ginkgo (male), London Plane tree, American sycamore, Kentucky coffee tree, horse chestnut, and bald cypress are suggested near the play area to provide children with interesting seeds and leaves with which to play. Native shrubs with interesting bark, fruit and flowers are also proposed for the area between the natural play area and adjacent property to provide screening, enclosure and play material.

Additional flowering and shade trees and shrubs are proposed for Azalea Hill and the western boundary to continue the development of the Park as an arboretum like landscape.

Illustrative Facility Plan



V – PERMITTING

Western Grove Urban Park lies within several jurisdictions, including Montgomery County, Chevy Chase Village, and the District of Columbia.

Maryland – National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC)

An approved Stormwater Management (SWM) Concept and Forest Conservation Plan are required as part of the Facility Plan process. The site is exempt from Forest Conservation requirements, however an approved Forest Conservation Exemption and Tree Save Plan will be required. This plan shows the same information required for a typical Natural Resources Inventory / Forest Stand Delineation (NRI/FSD), as well as showing which trees will be removed and the tree protection measures that must be employed during construction.

M-NCPPC Parks Department must also approve the construction documents.

Montgomery County

The approved SWM Concept developed for the Facility Plan is reviewed by Montgomery County Department of Permitting Services (DPS). At the time final construction documents are prepared a final SWM Plan and a Sediment and Erosion Control Plan must also be approved by DPS.

Water service must be obtained from Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (WSSC). There is an existing house connection on the Grove Street side of the property which this plan proposes to use. However, at this time it is unknown whether the connection is serviceable or will need to be replaced. The water distribution system on site will also require approved plans and an On Site Permit from WSSC.

Electrical service will be provided by PEPCO. The Facility Plan assumes this can be provided via a 30 AMP electrical service drop and transformer. On site electrical wiring, light fixtures, fountain mechanical equipment and Wi-Fi service will require a permit from DPS.

District of Columbia

Western Avenue is within the District of Columbia. Improvements on this side of the Park will require coordination and permitting through DC government. A 25' wide strip of land between the park property line and the Western Avenue sidewalk is within the District of Columbia Right-of-Way. This land is the Commission's responsibility to maintain as the adjacent owner, but is subject to DC regulations. The DC Department of Transportation (DDOT) is the primary agency responsible for regulating and maintaining this area, referred to as the public space.

The most recent area planning study, which included Western Avenue, is the DDOT Rock Creek West 2 Livability Study.

http://ddot.dc.gov/DC/DDOT/Projects%20and%20Planning/Studies%20and%20Research/Livability%20Program/Rock%20Creek %20West%20II

Two documents outline requirements and regulations for all work in DC public space: DDOT Design and Engineering Manual and DDOT Public Realm Manual.

http://dc.gov/DC/DDOT/Projects+and+Planning/Standards+and+Guidelines/Design+and+Engineering+Manual

http://dc.gov/DC/DDOT/Projects+and+Planning/Standards+and+Guidelines/View+All/DDOT+Public+Realm+Design+Manual

Any work proposed in DDOT's public space will require a permit. This includes the proposed fence, arbor, and sidewalks, park sign and bollards. A DC Special Tree Permit is required to remove trees > 55" circumference (17.5" dia.) within DC public space between the back of sidewalk and property line. Removal or maintenance of street trees located between the curb and the sidewalk must be coordinated with DDOT's Urban Forestry Administration. The urban forester for Ward 3 is Vera Ertem, munevver.ertem@dc.gov, 202-671-5133

At the time of this report, DDOT's public space permit application could be made online using the DDOT TOPS Online Permitting System, <u>https://tops.ddot.dc.gov/DDOTPERMITSYSTEM/DDOTPERMITONLINE/Landing.aspx</u>

The proposed connection to the storm sewer in Western Avenue will require a permit from DC Water.

VI – PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (COST ESTIMATE)

ltem

Unit Qty. Unit Price

Design & Management (15% of construction cost)

\$143,943

Total

| Site Demolition | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------------|
| mobilization | LS | 1 | \$7,500 | \$7,5 |
| concrete pavement and base, existing gravel | SY | 80 | \$10 | \$80 |
| curb and gutter remove & dispose | LF | 20 | \$10 | \$20 |
| | то | TAL (Site | Demolition) | \$8,50 |
| Earthwork | | | | |
| clearing and grubbing | AC | 1 | \$2,500 | \$2,50 |
| bring in select borrow from off site and place | CY | 300 | \$30 | \$9,00 |
| soil haul off | CY | 50 | \$25 | \$1,25 |
| rough & fine grading | SF | 47,300 | \$0.30 | \$14,1 |
| tree removal/transplant | EA | 26 | \$1,500 | \$39 <i>,</i> 0 |
| | | TOTAL | (Earthwork) | \$65,9 |
| Erosion and Sediment Control | | | | |
| stabilized construction entrance | EA | 1 | \$3,000 | \$3,00 |
| temporary stone outlet structure | EA | 2 | \$750 | \$1,50 |
| diversion fence | LF | 550 | \$7 | \$3,85 |
| inlet protection & maintenance | EA | 3 | \$500 | \$1,50 |
| super silt fence | LF | 180 | \$7 | \$1,26 |
| | | | TOTAL (ESC) | \$11,1 |
| Tree Preservation | | - | | |
| temporary tree protection fencing & signage | LF | 1,250 | \$4 | \$4,37 |
| vertical mulching | SF | 4,050 | \$4 | \$16,2 |
| root pruning | LF | 280 | \$3 | \$840 |
| SSAT excavation in CRZ for utilities | DAYS | 1 | \$2,000 | \$2,00 |
| | TOTAL (Tree Preservation) | | | \$23,4 |
| Utilities | | - | | |
| new electric lines 2" PVC | LF | 800 | \$15 | \$12,0 |
| new water lines 2" PVC | LF | 430 | \$23.00 | \$9,89 |
| hose bib | EA | 1 | \$50 | \$50 |
| light fixtures along Woodland Walkway and Main Pedestrian Path | EA | 11 | \$3,500 | \$38,5 |
| maintenance of traffic on Western Avenue | LS | 1 | \$5,000.00 | \$5,00 |
| asphalt cut & patch on Western Avenue | SF | 325 | \$7.11 | \$2,31 |
| | | тот | AL (Utilities) | \$67,7 |
| DC Storm Drain Fees | | | | |
| Permit Review for Western Avenue storm drain connection (Large Permit Basic) | LS | 1 | \$7,500.00 | \$7,50 |
| | LS | 1 | \$660.00 | \$660 |
| Inspection Fee - Inspect point up sewer tap (#32) | LS | 1 | \$2,600.00 | \$2,60 |
| Inspection Fee - Inspect point up sewer tap (#32) Inspection Fee - Inspect new sewer manhole (#42) | | 1 | \$215.00 | \$21 |
| | LS | | \$500.00 | \$50 |
| Inspection Fee - Inspect new sewer manhole (#42) | LS LS | 1 | 3300.00 | |
| Inspection Fee - Inspect new sewer manhole (#42) Inspection Fee - Water & Sewer As-Built Fee (#44) | | _ | (DC SD Fees) | \$11,4 |
| Inspection Fee - Inspect new sewer manhole (#42) Inspection Fee - Water & Sewer As-Built Fee (#44) Fee to obtain the Water & Sewer Availability Letter Various DDOT Public Space Permit Fees | | _ | | \$11,4 |
| Inspection Fee - Inspect new sewer manhole (#42) Inspection Fee - Water & Sewer As-Built Fee (#44) Fee to obtain the Water & Sewer Availability Letter | | _ | | \$11,4 \$765 |

| <u>No.</u> | ltem | Unit | Qty. | Unit Price | Total | | | |
|------------|--|----------|----------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Site | Site Furnishings | | | | | | | |
| | removable bollards | EA | 9 | \$750 | \$6,750 | | | |
| | manufactured bench (along walkways) | EA | 7 | \$1,500 | \$10,500 | | | |
| | bicycle rack | EA | 4 | \$1,200 | \$4,800 | | | |
| | new park sign | EA | 1 | \$500 | \$500 | | | |
| | kiosk with wifi router | EA | 1 | \$12,000 | \$12,000 | | | |
| | decorative fencing along Western Avenue | LF | 350 | \$60 | \$21,000 | | | |
| | gate @ fence | EA | 1 | \$400 | \$400 | | | |
| | trash receptacle | EA | 1 | \$1,075 | \$1,075 | | | |
| | recycle receptacle | EA | 4 | \$1,075 | \$4,300 | | | |
| | relocate 3 existing trash receptacles | LS | 1 | \$500 | \$500 | | | |
| | drinking fountain | EA | 1 | \$8,500 | \$8,500 | | | |
| | moveable tables/chairs (set of table + chairs) | EA | 7 | \$1,500 | \$10,500 | | | |
| | arbor at Western Avenue | EA | 1 | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | | | |
| | arbor at Grove Street | EA | 1 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | | | |
| | | TOTAL (S | SITE FUI | RNISHINGS) | \$105,825 | | | |

| | 1 | TOTAL (S | SITE WORK) | \$446,38 |
|--|-----|----------|------------|------------------|
| living hut | EA | 2 | \$1,500 | \$3,000 |
| carved log animals sculptures | EA | 2 | \$1,000 | \$2,000 |
| human sundial | LS | 1 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
| water feature | AL | 1 | \$130,000 | \$130,00 |
| timber round edging | EA | 50 | \$50 | \$2,500 |
| metal edging along engineered wood fiber | LF | 100 | \$6 | \$600 |
| engineered wood fiber path and play area | SF | 950 | \$3 | \$2 <i>,</i> 850 |
| boulder stepping stones | EA | 8 | \$300 | \$2,400 |
| stone seat wall at terrace | SFF | 352 | \$55 | \$19,360 |
| stone piers at maintenance access | EA | 4 | \$3,600 | \$14,400 |
| reinforced turf | SY | 200 | \$20 | \$4,000 |
| Maintenance Access Drive (brick paving, mastic setting bed, concrete base) | SF | 875 | \$35 | \$30,625 |
| Main Pedestrian Walkway (brick paving, mastic setting bed, concrete base) @8' wide | SF | 3,380 | \$35 | \$118,30 |
| Woodland Walkway (brick paving, mastic setting bed, concrete base) @4'-8" wide | SF | 1,680 | \$35 | \$58,800 |
| special paving | SF | 1850 | \$25 | \$46,250 |
| detectable warning surface for handicap ramp | SF | 16 | \$50 | \$800 |
| handicap ramp at Northern corner | EA | 1 | \$500 | \$500 |

| new drop inlet | | EA | 3 | \$3,500 | \$10,500 |
|---|--|----|-----|---------|----------|
| 8" PVC | | LF | 116 | \$40 | \$4,640 |
| 12" RCP | | LF | 44 | \$55 | \$2,420 |
| storm drain manhole | | EA | 1 | \$3,500 | \$3,500 |
| microbioretention media | | CY | 49 | \$125 | \$6,125 |
| perforated underdrain pipe for microbioretention | | LF | 40 | \$40 | \$1,600 |
| decorative & round river stone for level spreader | | CY | 3 | \$250 | \$750 |
| TOTAL (STORMWATER MANAGEMENT) | | | | | |

| Planting | | | | |
|--|----|---------|-----------|----------|
| 3" caliper shade tree planting | EA | 12 | \$600 | \$7,200 |
| 2.5" caliper ornamental tree planting | EA | 10 | \$375 | \$3,750 |
| shrubs (3 gallon) & perennials (1 quart) | AL | 1 | \$30,000 | \$30,000 |
| sod | SY | 3,800 | \$6 | \$22,800 |
| | | TOTAL (| PLANTING) | \$63,750 |

| TOTAL MATERIALS & INSTALLATION | \$834,451 |
|---|-----------|
| GENERAL CONDITIONS (5% of total materials & installation) | \$41,723 |
| BONDS & INSURANCE (1% of total materials & installation) | \$8,345 |
| CONTINGENCY (15% of total materials & installation) | \$125,168 |

| TOTAL PROJECT COST | \$1,153,628 |
|---|-------------|
| (Materials & Installation + General Conditions + Bonds & Insurance + Contingency + Design & Management) | \$1,155,028 |

| stern Grove Park Cost Estimate | | | | | September 9, 2013 | COST |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---|--------|
| ltem | <u>Unit</u> | <u>Qty.</u> | Unit Price | <u>Total</u> | <u>Comment</u> | |
| | | | | | | |
| gn & Management (15% of construction cost) | | | | \$143,943 | | WITH |
| | | | | | | |
| Preparation, Demolition, Earthwork, ESC, Tree Preservation, Utilities, Permit F | ees | | | | | COMMEN |
| Site Demolition | | | 4 | 4 | | _ |
| mobilization | LS | 1 | \$7,500 | \$7,500 | | |
| concrete pavement and base, existing grave | SY | 80 | \$10 | \$800 | at proposed maintenance access | |
| curb and gutter remove & dispose | LF | 20 | \$10 | \$200 | | |
| Earthwork | то | TAL (Site | Demolition) | \$8,500 | | _ |
| clearing and grubbing | AC | 1 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | | |
| bring in select borrow from off site and place | CY | 300 | \$30 | \$9,000 | | |
| soil haul off | CY | 50 | \$25 | \$1,250 | | |
| rough & fine grading | SF | 47,300 | \$0.30 | \$14,190 | LOD = ~47,300sf | |
| tree removal/transplant | EA | 26 | \$1,500 | \$39,000 | 24 trees to be removed, 2 to be transplanted | |
| | LA | | (Earthwork) | \$65,940 | | |
| Erosion and Sediment Control | | IUIAL | (Larthwork) | 303,340 | | |
| stabilized construction entrance | EA | 1 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | not needed since there is the concrete pad? | |
| temporary stone outlet structure | EA | 2 | \$750 | \$1,500 | not needed since there is the concrete pad: | |
| diversion fence | LA | 550 | \$730 | \$3,850 | | |
| inlet protection & maintenance | EA | 3 | \$500 | \$1,500 | | _ |
| super silt fence | LF | 180 | \$300 \$7 | \$1,300 | | _ |
| | LF | 160 | ېر TOTAL (ESC) | \$1,200 | | _ |
| Tree Preservation | | | | 311,110 | | |
| temporary tree protection fencing & signage | LF | 1,250 | \$4 | \$4,375 | | |
| vertical mulching | SF | 4,050 | \$4 \$4 | \$16,200 | | |
| root pruning | LF | 280 | \$3 | \$840 | | |
| SSAT excavation in CRZ for utilities | DAYS | | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | | |
| | | | Preservation) | \$23,415 | | _ |
| Utilities | | (| | <i>420,120</i> | | _ |
| new electric lines 2" PVC | LF | 800 | \$15 | \$12,000 | | |
| new water lines 2" PVC | LF | 430 | \$23.00 | \$9,890 | | -1 |
| hose bib | EA | 1 | \$50 | \$50 | | -1 |
| light fixtures along Woodland Walkway and Main Pedestrian Path | EA | 11 | \$3,500 | \$38,500 | | -1 |
| maintenance of traffic on Western Avenue | LS | 1 | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 | | - |
| asphalt cut & patch on Western Avenue | SF | 325 | \$7.11 | \$2,311 | remove asphalt pavement & base (\$10/SY); patch with surface course, base course, base (\$6/SF) | |
| | | | AL (Utilities) | \$67,751 | | |
| DC Storm Drain Fees | | | , | , | + | |
| Permit Review for Western Avenue storm drain connection (Large Permit Basic) | LS | 1 | \$7,500.00 | \$7,500 | | 1 |
| Inspection Fee - Inspect point up sewer tap (#32) | LS | 1 | \$660.00 | \$660 | | |
| Inspection Fee - Inspect new sewer manhole (#42) | LS | 1 | \$2,600.00 | \$2,600 | | - |
| Inspection Fee - Water & Sewer As-Built Fee (#44) | LS | 1 | \$215.00 | \$215 | | |
| Fee to obtain the Water & Sewer Availability Letter | LS | 1 | \$500.00 | \$500 | | - |
| | | | (DC SD Fees) | \$11,475 | | |
| Various DDOT Public Space Permit Fees | 1 | | | | 1 | - |
| fence, minor paving, street furniture, signs, public space tree removal, sanitary/storm se | wei AL | 1 | \$765.00 | \$765 | DDOT Public Space Permit Fees D, E, H, J | - |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | DOT PS Fees) | \$765 | | - |
| TOTAL (SITE PREPARATION, DEMOLITION, EARTHWORK, ESC, TREE PRESERV | | | | | | - |

| Nes | stern Grove Park Cost Estimate | September 9, 2013 | | | | |
|-------|--|-------------------|---------|------------|--------------|--|
| lo. | ltem | Unit | Qty. | Unit Price | <u>Total</u> | Comment |
| ite F | urnishings | | | | | |
| | removable bollards | EA | 9 | \$750 | \$6,750 | |
| | manufactured bench (along walkways) | EA | 7 | \$1,500 | \$10,500 | |
| | bicycle rack | EA | 4 | \$1,200 | \$4,800 | |
| | new park sign | EA | 1 | \$500 | \$500 | |
| | kiosk with wifi router | EA | 1 | \$12,000 | \$12,000 | |
| | decorative fencing along Western Avenue | LF | 350 | \$60 | \$21,000 | |
| | gate @ fence | EA | 1 | \$400 | \$400 | |
| | trash receptacle | EA | 1 | \$1,075 | \$1,075 | |
| | recycle receptacle | EA | 4 | \$1,075 | \$4,300 | |
| | relocate 3 existing trash receptacles | LS | 1 | \$500 | \$500 | uninstall, salvage, store & reinstall as shown on plans |
| | drinking fountain | EA | 1 | \$8,500 | \$8,500 | |
| | moveable tables/chairs (set of table + chairs) | EA | 7 | \$1,500 | \$10,500 | |
| | arbor at Western Avenue | EA | 1 | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | includes stone columns, wood arbor, 2 inset bluestone signs, recessed lighting |
| | arbor at Grove Street | EA | 1 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | includes stone columns, wood arbor, recessed lighting |
| | | TOTAL (S | SITE FU | RNISHINGS) | \$105,825 | |
| | | | | | | |

| Site | w | ork |
|------|---|-----|
| | | |

| handicap ramp at Northern corner | EA | 1 | \$500 | \$500 | |
|--|-----|----------|------------|-----------|---|
| detectable warning surface for handicap ramp | SF | 16 | \$50 | \$800 | |
| special paving | SF | 1850 | \$25 | \$46,250 | |
| Woodland Walkway (brick paving, mastic setting bed, concrete base) @4'-8" wide | SF | 1,680 | \$35 | \$58,800 | reinforced concrete @ \$12/sf, bricks \$20/sf, mastic @\$3/si |
| Main Pedestrian Walkway (brick paving, mastic setting bed, concrete base) @8' wide | SF | 3,380 | \$35 | \$118,300 | reinforced concrete @ \$12/sf, bricks \$20/sf, mastic @\$3/si |
| Maintenance Access Drive (brick paving, mastic setting bed, concrete base) | SF | 875 | \$35 | \$30,625 | reinforced concrete @ \$12/sf, bricks \$20/sf, mastic @\$3/sf |
| reinforced turf | SY | 200 | \$20 | \$4,000 | Pyramat 3D woven |
| stone piers at maintenance access | EA | 4 | \$3,600 | \$14,400 | |
| stone seat wall at terrace | SFF | 352 | \$55 | \$19,360 | |
| boulder stepping stones | EA | 8 | \$300 | \$2,400 | |
| engineered wood fiber path and play area | SF | 950 | \$3 | \$2,850 | |
| metal edging along engineered wood fiber | LF | 100 | \$6 | \$600 | |
| timber round edging | EA | 50 | \$50 | \$2,500 | |
| water feature | AL | 1 | \$130,000 | \$130,000 | |
| human sundial | LS | 1 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | |
| carved log animals sculptures | EA | 2 | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | |
| living hut | EA | 2 | \$1,500 | \$3,000 | |
| | 1 | TOTAL (S | SITE WORK) | \$446,385 | |

Stormwater Management

| | new drop inlet | EA | 3 | \$3,500 | \$10,500 | |
|--|---|----------|-----|---------|----------|-------------------|
| | 8" PVC | LF | 116 | \$40 | \$4,640 | |
| | 12" RCP | LF | 44 | \$55 | \$2,420 | |
| | storm drain manhole | EA | 1 | \$3,500 | \$3,500 | |
| | microbioretention media | CY | 49 | \$125 | \$6,125 | 440 sf @ 3' depth |
| | perforated underdrain pipe for microbioretention | LF | 40 | \$40 | \$1,600 | |
| | decorative & round river stone for level spreader | CY | 3 | \$250 | \$750 | |
| | TOTAL (STORN | \$29,535 | | | | |


| Planting | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|----------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 3" caliper shade tree planting | EA | 12 | \$600 | \$7,200 | unit prices include plant material, install, compost amend, mulch, watering | | |
| 2.5" caliper ornamental tree planting | EA | 10 | \$375 | \$3,750 | | | |
| shrubs (3 gallon) & perennials (1 quart) | AL | 1 | \$30,000 | \$30,000 | | | |
| sod | SY | 3,800 | \$6 | \$22,800 | | | |
| TOTAL (PLANTING) \$63,750 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL MATERIALS & INSTALLATION | | | | \$834,451 | | | |
| CENERAL CONDITIONS (5% of total materials 8 installation) | | | | | | | |


| GENERAL CONDITIONS (5% of total materials & installation) | \$41,723 | |
|---|-----------|--|
| BONDS & INSURANCE (1% of total materials & installation) | \$8,345 | |
| CONTINGENCY (15% of total materials & installation) | \$125,168 | |
| | | |


| TOTAL PROJECT COST | \$1.153.628 |
|---|-------------|
| (Materials & Installation + General Conditions + Bonds & Insurance + Contingency + Design & Management) | \$1,155,028 |


VII - ATTACHMENTS

30% Design Documents












SCALE: 1" = 20'


REVISIONS


Descriptio


















SCALE: 1" = 20'









NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION:


THIS 1.89 ACRE SET OF PARCELS IS AN OPEN SPACE PARK KNOWN AS VILLAGE GROVE. THE PROPERTY IS BORDERED BY SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT TO THE NORTH, A NURSING HOME TO THE SOUTH, A CHURCH TO THE EAST, AND PUBLIC BUILDINGS TO THE WEST.

NO FOREST EXISTS ON THE SITE. HOWEVER, FOUR SPECIMEN TREES ARE LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF THE PROPERTY.

SUMMARY TABLE

| EXISTING FOREST | = 0.00 ACRES |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| WETLANDS | = 0.00 ACRES |
| FORESTED WETLANDS | = 0.00 ACRES |
| STREAM BUFFER | = 0.00 ACRES |
| FORESTED STREAM BUFFER | = 0.00 ACRES |
| 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN | = 0.00 ACRES |
| FORESTED 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN | = 0.00 ACRES |
| LINEAR EXTENTS OF STREAMS | = 0.00 LINEAR FEET |
| AVERAGE WIDTH OF STREAM BUFFER | = 0.00 FEET |

| REVIEW AND APPROVAL | | ISSUED FOR PROCUREMENT ON | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|---------------------------|------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | REVISIONS | | | | | | | |
| | | Rev. No. | Date | Description | | | | | |
| t Manager | Date | | | | | | | | |
| ruction Manager | Date | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Manager | Date | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |



Liber 21733 Folio 285 SCALE: 1" = 20'

| FOREST | CONSERVATION | EXEMPTION | INSPECTION NOTES: |
|--------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|
| LOKEOL | CONSLIGATION | | INSPECTION NOTES. |

a. An on-site pre-construction meeting shall be required after the limits of disturbance have been staked and flagged, but before any clearing or grading begins. The owner shall contact the Maryland National Copilal Park and Planning Commission inspection staff prior to commencing construction to verify the limits of disturbance and discuss tree protection and tree care measures. The attractive between the state of the disturbance of the context of the disturbance of the disturban attendants at this meeting should include: developer's representative, construction superintendent, ISA certified arborist or MD license tree expert that will implement the tree protection measures, M-NCPPC inspector, and DPS sediment control inspector

seament control inspector. 6. No cleaning or grading shall begin before stress-reduction measures have been implemented. Appropriate measures may include, but are not limited to: i. Root pruning

or pruning

| ii. | Crown | Reduction | 0 |
|------|----------|-----------|---|
| 111. | Waterin | Ig | |
| iv. | Fertiliz | ing | |

- iv. Fertilizing

 v. Vertical mulching
 vi. Rota aeration mating
 Measures not specified on the Exemption plan may be required as determined by
 the M-NCPPC inspector in coordination with the arborist.

 c. A State of Maryland licensed tree expert, or an international Society of

 Arboriculture certified arborist must perform all stress reduction measures.
 Documentation of stress reduction measures must be either observed by the
 MNCPPC inspector or sent to the MNCPPC inspector or X8787 Georgia Avenue,
 Silver Spring, MD 20910. The MNCPPC inspector will determine the exact
 method to convex the stress reductions measures during the pre-construction method to convey the stress reductions measures during the pre-construction
- method to convey the stress reduction installed per the Exemption Plan and meeting. d. Temporary tree protection devices shall be installed per the Exemption Plan and prior to any construction activities. The protection fencing locations should be staked prior to the pre-construction meeting. M-NCPC inspector, in coordination with the DPS sediment control inspector, may make field adjustments to increase the survivability of trees and forest shown as saved on the approved plan. Temporary tree protect devices may include: i. Chain link fence (four feet high) ii. Super slit fence with wire strung between the support poles (minimum 4 feet high) with high visibility flagging.

 - (minimum 4 feet high) with high visibility flagging. iii. 14 gauge 2 inch x 4 inch welded wire fencing supported by steel
- III.14 gauge 2 inch x 4 inch welded wire fencing supported by steel T-bor posts (minimum 4 feet high) with high visibility flagging.
 Temporary protection devices shall be maintained and installed by the contractor for the duration of construction project and must not be altered without prior approval from MNCPPC. No equipment, trucks, materials, or debris may be stored within the tree protection fence areas during the entire construction project. No vehicle or equipment access to the fenced area will be permitted. Tree protection shall not be removed without prior approval fMNCPPC. The protection devices to be coordinated with erosion and sediment control devices as indicated on the approved Erosion and Sediment Control plan approved by the Department of Permitting Services.
 Forest retention area signs shall be installed as required by the MNCPPC inspector, or as shown approved plan.
- inspector, or as shown approved plan. g. Periodic inspections by MNCPPC will occur during the construction project.
- Corrections and repairs to all tree protection devices, as determined by the MNCPPC inspector, must be made within the timeframe established by the MNCPPC inspector
- Micro inspectation is completed, an inspection shall be requested. Corrective measures which may be required include:

 Removal and replacement of dead and dying trees
 Pruning of dead or decining limbs

 - iii. Soil aeration iv Fertilization
 - v. Watering vi. Wound repair
- i. After inspection and completion of corrective measures have been undertaken, all temporary protection devices shall be removed from the site. No additional grading, sodding, or burial may take place.
- ROOT ZONE CONDITION BATING CONDITION COMMENTS. (INCHES) American holly (SQ. FT.) American holly American basswoo Tilla am ericana 44.1 86.59 GOOD Bur oak 3.5 Quercus m acrocarpa Tag #498 American elm 88.59 Cupressus x ley/andii Leyland cypress T4 Leyland cypress Cupressus x ley/andi 15.90 0000 Leyland cypress Cupressus x leviandii 15.90 6000 Black cherry Leyland cypress Cupressus x leylandii 15.90 0000 American holly 15.9 0000 Leyland cypress Supressus x ley/andii American holly TR 28.27 0000 Leyland cypress Cupressus x ley/andi American holly Leyland cypress Blue spruce Cupressus x leylandii 88.59 0000 American holly 1017.88 0000 Picea pungens American holly 28.27 0001 Chestnut oak Quercus prinus American elm Deodar cedar Cedrus deodara 28.27 AVG/POOR in decline American elm Deodar cedar Cedrus deodara 25.5 4598.35 000D 28.27 POOF Deodar cedar Cedrus deodara Dead T14 41/15 American holly Nex opaca T15 Willow oak Quercus phellos 15.5 1698.23 GOOD/AVG 572.58 Crabapple Melus angustifolia 0000 odominant leaders 9 Pin cak 2042.82 AVC Quercus pelustris Dieback Black walnut Sweetbay magnolia Magnolia virginiana 28.27 0000 Codominant leaders T19 Sweetbay magnolia Megnolia virginiana 28.27 6000 odominant leader 000D 28.2 Sweetbay magnolia Magnol'ia virginiana odominant leaders lowering dogwood 0000 28.2 T22 T23 Sweetbay magnolia Magnolia virginiana Codominant leaders Norway spruce 28.27 000D Sweetbay magnoli: Megnolia virginiana odominant leaders 0000 Willow cak Quercus phellos 13.5 1288.25 348.3 Crabapple Melus angustifolia Codominant leaders Blue spruce Picea pungens 1104.47 6000 T26 Blue spruce Picea pungens 12.5 1104.47 000D 5153.00 AV3 Chinese chestnut Castanea mollissima ome dieback in crown Tilia cordata 3421.19 000D Littleleaf Inden T29 Arbonite Thuja orientalis 2042.82 0000 odominant leaders T30 London planetree Willow oak Quercus phellos 7238.23 0000 T31 London planetree American holly Nex opaca 706.88 6000 T32 10 London planetree 708.88 300 D/AV Flowering dogwood Comus florida Codominant leaders Northern red oak T33 Arboniter Thuja orientalis 844.30 000D/AV0 T34 Broken crown, codominant leaders Black locust Douglas fr ^pseudotsuga menziesii 2042.82 GOOD/AVG. English Ivy on trunk 63.62 600D/AV6 T36 American holly Nex opaca inglish Ivy, lopsided canopy, shaded Pin cak Quercus pelustris 14.5 1488.17 AVG. 9417.12 T38 Willow oak 36.5 6000 Querous pheilos Pices ables Norway spruce 10.5 1924.42 T40 Norway spruce Pices abies 708.88 GOOD 10 T41 Norway spruce Pices ables 855.30 GOOD 1194. 600 Pices ables Nonway spruce T43 Willow oak 40 11309.73 GOOD Quertus pheilo T44 Bradibrd pear us callervana Eradford 19.5 2687.83 POOR orform, in decline T45 6000 1385.44 Norway soruce Pices ables 14 2087.83 Red maple 19.5 Acer rub.rum T47 Comus florida 254.47 AVG Flowering dogwo A. rform, codominant leaders panese fouering cher T48 Willow oak Quercus phellos 24.5 4242.92 GOOD/AVG. Shaded out Japanese fovering che AVO Red maple Acer rubrum 1017.88 corform, some dead, shaded out () Japanese fowering cher River birch 2290.22 GOOD Betula nigra Codominant leaders Northern red oak Red maple Acer rub run 254.47 GOOD odominant leaders Northern red cak 3421.19 6000 River birch Betula nigra odominant leaders Northern red oak GOOD Silver maple 2551.7 Acer saccharinum 19 Northern red cak Betula nigra River birch 1104 50 6000 Southern magnolia T54 Codominant leaders Willow oak Quercus phelics 22 R 3578.47 6000 Willow cak American elm Umus americana 21.5 3267.45 AVG. rform T137 Eastern hemlock River birch **Betula nigra** Silver maple 14.5 T58 River birch Betula nigra 14 15 Silver maple Acer seccharinum T60 Willow oak 20.5 Querous phellos T61 Silver maple Acer saccharisus T62 17 Silver maple Acer saccharinum 18 T63 Silver maple T64 Acer saccharinum Silver maple Acer saccharinum 19.5 Bradibrd pear Pyrus calleryana Endford 23 T67 American elm Umus americana Red maple 12.5 Acer rubrum **T68** Black oherry Prunus serocin 15.5 T69 American elm Umus americana 4 American elm Unius americana 2.5 T72 Leyland cypress Cupressus x leylandi Leyland oypress Cupressus x leylandii 1.5 T73 Leyland cypress Cupressus x levlandii 1.5 T75 Umus americana American elm 8

SPECIMEN, CHAMPION AND HISTORIC TREE TABLE

D.B.H

CRITICAL

COMMON NAME

NO.

SCIENTIFIC NAME

| n n | | |
|-------------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| U | ESIGN | |
| | ESIGN | |
| Landscape Architect | Date | Checked By: |
| | | Checked By: Checked By: |



Park and Planning Commission Montgomery County Department of Parks 9500 Brunett Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20901 (301) 495-2535

| Project Mana | REVIEW AND APP | | STAN | D DELINEA | AL RESOURCE INVENTOR TION PLAN PURPOSES ONL JREMENT ON REVISIONS Description | Y/FOREST Y/FOREST Y/FOREST NOTE: | CIES NAMED GENERAL HE DROMME SASON HE DROMME SASON HE DROMME SASON HE DROMME SASON HE DROMME SASON A RE NOTABLE FOR HE DROMME SASON HE | | CATION CAN BE INCOMCUST CAN DNLY BE MODE ON THE LINBLE, SOME SPECIES AND BEREALS, D. PAULSTINS AN E SCAMMATION OF FLORAL I MITH RESPECT TO THE SAM MITH RESPECT TO THE CONSIDERED FEOTOST TO BE CONSIDERED FEOTOST TO BE CONSIDERED FEOTOST TO BE CONSIDERED FEOTOST TO RESEARCE DA INFORMATION OF THE CONSIDERED TO FFSET FORSES SAUCH E NECESSARY. | | COUNTY E THAN OFTEN E IS THE E D OAKST. A MALUS NRY ABOUT SEEABLE ESS MILL ION, A TREE ORED AND |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|------------|-----------|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| | REVIEW AND APP | | STAN | D DELINEA | FION PLAN PURPOSES ONL JREMENT ON REVISIONS | VIFOREST | CIES NAMED PERFESS I THERE ARE A VARIE HE GROWNS SEASON WHET THE NAMED GE ON BETWEEN OUEROUS 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 | TY OF REASONS DEATING PROPER DEATINGATION HEAR ARE FELT TO BE IN HEAR ARE FELT TO BE IN HEELY HYRBOLTMIG, EVEN AR CHALLENGE. INPUED, CAN BE MADE AR CHALLENGE. INPUED, CAN BE MADE ARE INTENDED TO PARTIAL RES OR REMOVAL MAY BE RESOURT | CATION CAN BE INCOMCUST CAN DAY EM MACK ON THE LINEL SOME SPECES AND CANNAN TO AND CONSISTENT MITH RESPECT TO THESE SAME PETCATS TO BE CONSIDERED #E POTENTAL PROBLEMS AND #E OTHER STREAMS SMOL VI OFFSET FORESEE ABLE DA ENCERNING CONSISTENT CEE INVERT | VE WITTER DEXITICATION IS LESS RELIABLE BASIS OF LOBERTS PARTS, WICH ARE BASIS OF LOBERTS PARTS, WICH ARE BASIS OF LOBERTS PARTS, WICH ARE DO , FALCATA ARE ALL CLASSFED AS "I HARTS IS OFTEN INCOULSIVE THE GENER FLY, TIMES OF SUPPORT, WICH ARE INDEXING HOUSE AND ANALON OF DOTUGENING AS DECLASS, WIND, SURGEALD, AIR POLITI- UNAKE HOUSEAN, IRRES SHOULD BE MONT INDEX FOR THE ANALON OF DOTUGENING AND AND ANALON OF DOTUGENING AND AND ANALON OF DOTUGENING AND ANALON OF ANALON OF ANALON OF ANALON ANALONG HOUSEAN, IRRES SHOULD BE MONT | COUNTY E THAN FORTEN E IS THE I D GARS. A MAUS I D GARS. |
| | REVIEW AND APP | | STAN | D DELINEA | TION PLAN PURPOSES ONL | TIRE SPE DURNO, TI ABRILITI ABRILITI MAD THE AND THE ADD THE | CIES NAMED REPRESE L. THERE ARE A VARIE HE GROWING SEASON. WHILE THE NAMED GE ON BETWEEN QUERCUS Y ARE NOTABLE FOR I TAEOUS POSE A SIMUL 2: ANTY. EXPRESSED OR ANTY. EXPRESSED OR ANTONIC ANTONIA SIMURATION ANTONIA CORRECTIVE MEASL | ITY OF REASONS IDENTIFY PROPER DENTIFICATION NERA ARE FELT TO BE R SPECIES, OR YUBRA, O. REELY HYBRIDZING, EVEL AR CHALLENGE. MIPUED, CAN BE MADE ACTUAL, OR POTENTIAL. OR POTENTIAL, OR POTENTIAL. OR POTENTIAL, OR POTENTIAL. CONT, WHLE SONE OF T JEON THE REMAINING THE REMITTING REACTION OF ANTI- RES OR REMOVAL MAY B | CATION CAN BE INCOMCUST CAN DNLY BE MODE ON THE LINBLE, SOME SPECIES AND BEREALS, D. PAULSTINS AN E SCAMMATION OF FLORAL I MITH RESPECT TO THE SAM MITH RESPECT TO THE CONSIDERED FEOTOST TO BE CONSIDERED FEOTOST TO BE CONSIDERED FEOTOST TO BE CONSIDERED FEOTOST TO RESEARCE DA INFORMATION OF THE CONSIDERED TO FFSET FORSES SAUCH E NECESSARY. | VS: WITCH DEXINICATION IS LESS RELIABLE BASS OF FLORENCE PARTS, WICH ARE BASS OF FLORENCE PARTS, WICH ARE BASS OF FLORENCE PARTS, WICH ARE DISTOLLED AND AND AND AND AND AND DISTOLLED AND AND AND AND AND AND PARTS IS OFTAN INCONCLUSIVE. THE GAME INCOVER, HODDING PARTS DISTURDANT INCOVER, HODDING PARTS DISTURDANT AND | COUNTY E THAN OFTEN E IS THE E D OAKST. A MALUS NRY ABOUT SEEABLE ESS MILL ION, A TREE ORED AND |
| | | TH | | | | THEE SING FORESTER DURING TI ASSIST AND TECH AND TECH NO WARR NOTED Y/FOREST ANY RECO | CIES NAMED REPRESE L THERE ARE A VARIE HE GROWING SEASON. WHILE THE NAMED GEI NO BETWEEN QUERCUS Y ARE NOTABLE FOR I TAGOUS POSE A SIMIL 2: L TREES NOTES SOME IATY EL HIGHLY SIGNIF LA TREES NOTES SOME HAY BE HIGHLY SIGNIF D HEAT AND LIGHT, II D HEAT AND LIGHT, II D HEAT CHONS A | TY OF REASONS IDENTIFI PROPER IDENTIFICATION NERA ARE FELT TO BE RI IS SPECIES. O, RUBRA, O. REELY HYBRIDIZING, EVEN AR CHALLENGE. IMPLIED, CAN BE MADE ' ACTUAL OR POTENTIAL ICCANT, WHILE SOME OF TI JPON THE REMAINING THE SUFFICIENT OR EXCESS I RE, INTENDED TO PARTIAL | CATION CAN BE INCONCLUS' CAN DNLY BE MADE ON THE ELIABLE, SOME SPECIES AND BOREAUS, O. PAUJSTRIS AN NI EXAMINATION OF FLORAL B WITH RESPECT TO TREE SAF DEFECTS TO BE CONSIDERED THE STUTIAL PROBLEMS IN E.E. OTHER STRESSES SUCH TAINFALL CAN COMBINE TO 1 LY OFFSET FORSEEABLE DI | VE: WINTER IDENTIFICATION IS LESS RELIABLE E BASIS OF LOWERING PARTS, WICH ARE HYBRIDS ARE LESS CETAIN. ONE EXAMPL IN 00, FALCATA REAL CLASSINGED AS "RI PARTS IS OFTEN INCONCLUSIVE. THE COMMENT I. HOMEVER, HIDDEN FACTORS AND UNFORE I. HOMEVER, HIDDEN FACTORS AND UNFORE I. HOMEVER, HIDDEN FACTORS AND UNFORE AS DISEASE, WINS, SUNSCALD, AIR POLLU- CAUSE ADDITIONAL DAMAGE OR DEATH TO | COUNTY LE THAN OFTEN E IS THE ID OAKS*. A MALUS IRY ABOUT SEEABLE SEEABLE SEEABLE SEEABLE A TREE. |
| | | | | | | TREE SPE FORESTER DURING TI ABSENT. 1 DISTINCTIC AND THEY | CIES NAMED REPRESE THERE ARE A VARIE HE GROWING SEASON. WHILE THE NAMED GEI ON BETWEEN QUERCUS Y ARE NOTABLE FOR I | TY OF REASONS IDENTIFI PROPER IDENTIFICATION NERA ARE FELT TO BE RI SPECIES, Q. RUBRA, Q. FREELY HYBRIDIZING, EVEN | CATION CAN BE INCONCLUSI CAN ONLY BE MADE ON THE ELIABLE, SOME SPECIES AND BOREAUS, Q. PALUSTRIS AN | VE: WINTER IDENTIFICATION IS LESS RELIABI E BASIS OF FLOWERING PARTS, WHICH ARE > HYBRIDS ARE LESS CERTAIN, ONE EXAMPL ID Q. FALCATA ARE ALL CLASSIFIED AS "RI | COUNTY E THAN OFTEN E IS THE D OAKS |
| | | | | | | POTE | E IDENTIFIC | CATION, COI | NDITIONS ANI | D RETENTION | <-35 Tag #go- A Morton Thomas & Assoc. |
| 15.90 452.39 | AVG. | Wound on trunk, lead | ler remove | d *B | 51 Silver maple OLD DENOTES SPECI | Acer seccharinum MEN TREE | 42 | 12468.98 | GOOD/AVG. | Pruned around power lines | Thom |
| 15.90 | 900D 900D 900D | | | T15 | and a second | Acer platanoides | 22.5 | 3578.47 | AVG. | Pruned around power lines, p-33 | Tag #go- av se |
| 113.10 44.18 | GOOD GOOD | Leaning | | T14 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 17 | 2042.82 | AVG. | Pruned around power lines, potential root damage from hydrant installation, Tag #go | |
| 1104.47 | GOOD AVG. | l and la a | | T14 | 48 Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 15 | 1590.43 | AVG. | Pruned around power lines | · · · · · · · · |
| 3739.28 2042.82 | AVG.POOR AVG. | Large scar on trunk Epicormic growth | | T14 | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 13.5 | 1288.25 | AVG. | Wound at base of trunk, prus around powerlines | ned < |
| 2687.83 | GOOD | | | T14 | | Acer platanoides | 18 | 2290.22 | AVG. | Poor form, multiple leaders | |
| 3117.25 | AVG. | Broken limbs | | T14 | | Tilla cordata | 19.5 | 2587.83 | 0000 | | - 6 |
| 2290.22 | GOOD | Codominant leaders | | T14 | 10 | liex comute | 3 | 63.62 | 3000 | | |
| 2042.82 | GOOD | Codominant leaders | | T14 | - | Titia americana | 3 | 63.62 | 3000 AVG. | Epicomic growth, shaded or | |
| | GOOD | | | T14 | | Picea pungens Picea pungens | 8 | 452.39 | GOODIAVG. GOODIAVG. | Shaded out | |
| 2970.57 | GOOD | COOL IN THE REPORTS | | T14 | | Bex comuta | 2 | 28.27 | 0000 | | |
| 1590.43 | | Codominant leaders | | T1: | | Jex opaca | 9 | 572.58 | 3000 | | |
| | GOOD/AVG. GOOD | Leader removed | | | 38 Chinese holly | | 2 | 28.27 | 3000 | in a second s | |

MORTON THOMAS AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

esigner's Name

Rockville, MD 20852

City/State/Zip

301-881-2545 hone Numbe

L

12750 Twinbrook Parkwar dress

| | Umus americana | 3 | 63.62 | GOOD | Codominant leaders | |
|------|--|------|--------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| | Panus serotina | 22 | 3421.19 | POOR | Fruiting bodies, needs to be | |
| - | law and a | 10 | 1809.50 | GOOD | removed | |
| - | Tex opace Tex opace | 10 | 1809.00 | GOOD | Codominant leaders | |
| - | Pinus strobus | 20.5 | 2970.57 | AVG. | Lower limbs removed | |
| - | Umus americana | 10 | 1809.55 | GOOD | | |
| | Picea pungens | 11 | 855.30 | GOOD | | |
| | Robinia pseudoacacia | 8 | 452.39 | GOODIAVG. | Virginia Creeperon trunk | |
| | Piunus serotina | 20 | 2827.43 | AVG/POOR | Dead limbs, very heavy ivy | |
| | Лак ораса | 2 | 28.27 | GOODIAVG. | Thin | |
| _ | Fex opace | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD/AVG. | Thin | |
| - | Tex opaca Tex opaca | 2 | 28.27 28.27 | GOODIAVG. GOODIAVG. | Thin | |
| - | Fex opace | 2 | 28.27 | GOODIAVG. | Thin | |
| - | Ultrus americana | 0.5 | 298.65 | AVG. | Leaning | |
| - | Umus americana | 5.5 | 213.82 | AVG. | Leaning | |
| | Robinia pseudoacacia | 25.5 | 4963.91 | AVG/POOR | Heartrot | |
| | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12.5 | 1104.47 | AVG. | Shaded out | |
| | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 2551.76 | AVG. | Shaded out | |
| _ | Quercus phellos | 21.5 | 3267.45 | GOOD | Codominant leaders | |
| - | Rex comuta | 13 | 1194.59 2551.76 | GOOD | Codominant leaders | |
| - | Juglans nigra Abies nordmanniana | 4 | 113.10 | GOOD | | |
| - | Rex comuta | 10 | 708.88 | GOOD | Codominant leaders | |
| 1 | Comus florida | 7 | 345.30 | GOOD | COOPERATE | |
| | Picea abies | 8 | 452.39 | GOOD | | |
| | Picea glauca | 9 | 572.55 | GOOD | | |
| | Pinus strobus | 18.5 | 2419.22 | GOOD | | |
| | Pinus strobus | 22.5 | 3578.47 | GOOD | | |
| | Pinus strobus | 20 | 2827.43 | GOODIAVG. | Shaded out | |
| _ | Pinus strobus | 20.5 | 2970.57 | GOOD/AVG. | Shaded out | |
| - | Pinus strobus | 23 | 3739.28 2164.75 | GOODIAVG. GOODIAVG. | Shaded out | |
| - | Pinus strobus Platanus x acerifolia | 4 | 113.10 | GOOD GOOD | Shaded out | |
| - | Platanus x acerifolia | 3 | 63.62 | GOOD | | |
| | Platanus x acerifolia | 3 | 63.62 | GOOD | | |
| | Quercus rubre | 5 | 178.71 | GOOD | | |
| | Robinia pseudoacacia | 29.5 | 6151.43 | G000 | | |
| | Robinia pseudoacacia | 28.5 | 5741.45 | AVG. | Bee nest in trunk | |
| _ | Cercis canadensis | 1.5 | 15.90 | 6000 | | |
| _ | Cercis canadensis | 1.5 | 15.90 | 6000 | | |
| - | Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis | 1.5 | 15.90 | 000D 000D | | |
| - | Quercus phellos | 10.5 | 779.31 | AVG. | Lopsided | |
| - | Pinus strobus | 24 | 4071.50 | GOOD AVG. | Lopsided | |
| | Pinus strobus | 23 | 3739.28 | GOODIAVG. | Lopsided | |
| | Cercis canadensis | 1.5 | 15.90 | 0000 | | |
| | Cercis canadensis | 2.5 | 44.18 | AVO. | Large wound at base of trunk | |
| _ | Pinus strobus | 21.5 | 3267.45 | 6000 | | |
| _ | Pinus strobus | 21 | 3117.25 | 0000 | | |
| eny | Picea glauca Prunus serulata | 6 | 254.47 113.10 | AVG/POOR GOOD | Shaded out | |
| erry | Prunus serrulata | 4.5 | 143.14 | 3000 | | P |
| erry | Prunus serrulata | 4.5 | 143.14 | 3000 | | e, SE |
| | Quercus rubre | 26.5 | 4963.91 | 3000 | | venu 3 |
| | Quercus rubre | 20.5 | 2970.57 | 0000 | | Arct 2000 |
| | Quercus rub.e | 18.5 | 1924.42 | 0000 | | DC |
| _ | Guercus rubre | 24 | 4071.50 | 0000 | | Lea Landscape Architecture 123 North Carolina Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20003 |
| | Megnolie grandiflore | 13 | 1194.59 298.65 | GOODIAVG. GOODIAVG. | Callus and wound at base of trunk | Nor Nor Shing |
| - | Queicus phellos Tsuga canadensis | 11 | 855.30 | GOODIAVG. | Lopsided Shaded out | 123 123 Was |
| - | Bex comuta | 2 | 28.27 | 3000 | Shaded out | σ |
| | lex opaca | 9 | 572.58 | 0000 | | e |
| | Bex comute | 2 | 28.27 | 3000 | | _ <u> </u> |
| | Picea pungens | 8 | 452.39 | GOODIAVG. | Shaded out | me. |
| | Picea pungens | 8 | 452.39 | GOODIAVG. | Epicomic growth, shaded out | 2 |
| d | Titia americana | 3 | 63.62 | 0000 | | Marsha |
| _ | Bex comuta | 3 | 63.62 | 0000 | | 153 |
| - | Tilia cordata Acer platanoides | 19.5 | 2687.83 2290.22 | 0000 AVG. | Roos form multiple lander | - |
| - | | | | | Poor form, multiple leaders Wound at base of trunk, pruned | 2 |
| | Acer platanoides | 13.5 | 1288.25 | AVG. | around powerlines | |
| | Acer platanoides | 15 | 1590.43 | AVG. | Pruned around power lines | 1 |
| | | | | | Pruned around power lines, | J |
| | Acer platanoides | 17 | 2042.82 | AVG. | potential root damage from fire | ias & Assoc. Inc. Parkway, 52 |
| _ | | | | | hydrant installation, Tag #go-c-35 Pruned around power lines, Tag #go- | ssot , |
| | Acer platanoides | 22.5 | 3578.47 | AVO. | pruned around power lines, rag ego- p-33 | & A kwa |
| - | Asso mathematicum | 42 | 17420.00 | 0000/8/40 | Design of the second | 52 as |

0 254.47

61.62

6000

Umus americana

/ Bennin and

American elm

American elm

Black cherry

White pine

Blue spruce

Black locust

Black locust

Douglas fr

Willow oak

Chinese holly

Nordmanfr

Chinese holly

White spruce

White pine

White pine

White pine

White pine

White pine

Black locust

Redbud

Redbud

Redbud

Redbud

Willow cak

White pine

White pine

Redbud

Redbud


White pine


White pine

White spruce

White pine

Douglas fr






| | COMMON NAME | SCIENTIFIC NAME | D.B.H (NCHES) | CRETICAL NOOT ZONE (\$Q. PT.) | CONDITION RATING | CONDITION COMMENTS | 178 179 180 | E |
|---|---|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------|----------|
| + | American bass sood | The second second | 2.5 | 44.15 | 6000 | | T81 | - |
| | Bur dak | 77/a americana Quecus macrocarpa | 3.5 | 86.59 | 9000 | Tag #486 | T82 T83 | - |
| | Leyland cypress | Cloressus / kylenolt | 3.5 | 86.59 | 9000 | 12140 | T84 | - |
| H | Leyland cypress | Cupressus / leyenol | 1.5 | 15.90 | 6000 | | T85 | |
| | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leyenol? | 1.5 | 15.90 | 0000 | | TBC | |
| I | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x ley@htt | 1.5 | 15.90 | 0000 | | T87 | |
| Ī | Leyland cypress | Cipressus / leybroll | 1.5 | 15.90 | 6000 | | T88 | |
| | Leyland cypress | Cupressus / kyanoli | 2 | 28.27 | 9000 | | T89 | - |
| I | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leyenon | 3.5 | 86.59 | 0000 | | T90 | - |
| | Blue spruce | Ficea pungens | 12 | 1017.88 | 0000 | | T91 | - |
| 1 | Chestnut cak | Quercus prinus | 2 | 28.27 | 0000 | | T92 | - |
| 4 | Deodar cedar | Ceditus decidara | 2 | 28.27 | AVGIPOOR | in de dine | T93 T94 | - |
| ļ | Deodar oedar | Castos decidara | 25.5 | 4596.35 | 0000 | | T96 | - |
| ł | Deodar oedar | Choise decisiva | 2 | 28.27 | POOR | Dead | T96 | - |
| | American holly Wilbw cak | Viex opaca Que cu s phellos | 15.5 | 1695.23 | AVG. GOOD/AVG. | Pearform | T97 | |
| | | | 9 | 572.56 | 9000 Ara. | C. A. S. | T96 | |
| ł | Cisibappile Pin cak | Allus angustitolla Quercus salustits | 12 | 2042.82 | AVG. | Codominant leaders | T99 | |
| ł | Sveetbay magnolia | Quercus palustris Alagnolia vigitniana | 2 | 28.27 | 9000 | Diebask Codominant leaders | T100 | |
| ţ | Sweetbay magrola | Alignolia vigitilana | 2 | 28.27 | 6000 | Codominant leaders | T101 | |
| t | Sveetbay magrola | Alignota urginiana | 2 | 28.27 | 9000 | Codominant leaders | T102 | |
| t | Sveetbay magrola | Alepholia vigihiana | 2 | 28.27 | 9000 | Codominant leaders | T103 | |
| t | Sweetbay magrolia | Alepholia viginiana | 2 | 28.27 | 9000 | Codominant leaders | T104 | |
| İ | WIIDW Cak | Que cuis phellos | 13.5 | 1258.25 | 9000 | | T105 | |
| ļ | Cita bapp le | Melus angustritoria | 7 | 346.36 | 6000 | Codominant leaders | T100 | - |
| ļ | Blue spruce | Pices pungens | 12.8 | 1104.47 | 6000 | | T107 | |
| ļ | Blue spruce | Pices pungens | 128 | 1104.47 | 6000 | Contraction of the second second | T108 | - |
| ĺ | Chinese chestruit | Castanea moltissima | 27 | \$153.00 | AVG. | Some die back in crown | T1 10 | |
| l | Littleleaf inden | 711a corosta | 22 | 3421.19 | 6000 | | T111 | |
| Į | Arbonitae | Thuja orientails | 17 | 2042.82 | 0000 | Codominant leaders | T1 12 | |
| ļ | Villow cek | Querous pheilos | 52 | 7258.25 | 6000 | | T1 13 | |
| | American holly | Лех ораса | 10 | 706.66 | 9000 | | T114 | |
| | Rovert googwood | Conus forba | 10 | 706.86 | GOOD/AVG. | Codominant leaders | T1 15 | |
| ļ | Artonitae | Thuia orientalits | 11 | 855.30 | GOOD/AVG. | Broken crown, codominant leaders | T1 16 | |
| ł | Douglas fr American holly | Pseudotsuge mercies/ | 17 | 2042 82 63.62 | GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. | English I w on trunk | T1 17 | 1 |
| ł | | Лех ора са | * | 00.04 | 9000419. | Rodan India America da A.A.A.A. | T1 18 | <u> </u> |
| | Pin cak | Quercus palusins | 14.5 | 1436.17 | AVG. | Englishivy, lopsided canopy, shaded | T1 15 | ⊢ |
| ł | VAII ON OAK | Quero us pheilos | 36.5 | 5417.12 | 6000 | out | T120 | - |
| ľ | Nonay spore | Pices ables | 16.5 | 1924.42 | 9000 | | T121 | - |
| ŀ | Norway spruce | Pices abled | 10 | 706.86 | 9000 | | | |
| t | Norway spruce | Pices abled | 11 | 855.30 | 0000 | | T124 | |
| Ī | Nonva) spruce | Picea abled | 13 | 1194.59 | 6000 | | T125 | |
| Į | Villow oak | Quero us pheilos | 40 | 11308.73 | 0000 | | T125 | |
| ĺ | Bracibid pear | Pytos calleryana Bracford' | 195 | 2687.83 | POOR | Poor form, in ded ine | T127 | |
| ļ | Nonial abroa | Picea ao les | 14 | 1385.44 | 9000 | | T128 | 385 |
| ĺ | Red maple | Acersonia | 185 | 2687 83 | 9000 | | | 385 |
| ļ | Rovering dogwood | Conus foriba | 6 | 254.47 | AVQ. | Poor form, codominant leaders | T129 | 1 |
| ĺ | WIEWORK | Que cuis phellos | 24.5 | 4242 92 | GOOD AVG. | Shaded out | 11 20 | 14 |
| Í | Red maple | Acerson | 12 | 1017.88 | AVQ. | Poor form, som e de ad, shade d out | T130 T131 | - |
| f | River birch Red maple | Eetula niga Acer solsim | 18 | 2290 22 254.47 | 9000 | Codominant leaders | T132 | - |
| ĺ | Ruer birch | Eetu la rigia | 22 | 3421.19 | 9000 | Codominant leaders Codominant leaders | T133 | |
| | Silver maple | A cer secche mum | 19 | 2551.76 | 6000 | and a start star | T134 | |
| | River birth | Eetu la nigro | 13 | 1194.59 | 9000 | Codominant leaders | T135 | |
| | WIRW Dak | Que cuis phellos | 22.8 | 3678.47 | 9000 | | T136 | |
| | American eim | Utrus americana | 21.5 | 3267.45 | AVG. | Poor form | T137 | |
| ĺ | River birch | Betula nigla | 11 | 855.30 | 6000 | Codominant leaders | T138 | - |
| | Silver maple | A cer sa cota mun | 14.5 | 1486.17 | GOOD/AVG. | leader removed | T138 | - |
| ĺ | Rverbirth | Bety la nigra | 14 | 1385.44 | 9000 | Codominant leaders | T140 T141 | |
| | Silver maple | Acer seccha mun | 15 | 1590.43 | 6000 | | T141 | |
| | WIRD W GBK | Quercus phellos | 20.5 | 2970.57 | 9000 | | T143 | |
| | Silver maple | A cer saccha thun | 17 | 2042.82 | 6000 | Codominant leaders | T144 | |
| | Silver maple | A cer seccha thum | 18 | 2290 22 3117 25 | 6000 | Codom inant leaders | T146 | |
| | Silver maple Silver maple | Acer secchaithum Acer secchaithum | 21 | 2687.83 | AVG. GOOD | Broks n lim bs | T140 | |
| ĺ | Brachit sear | Rent calavara Restort | 23 | 1736.55 | 4/08008 | For state with the state | | |
| | American eim | Utrus artercana | 17 | 2042.82 | AVG. | Large scar on trunk | T147 | - |
| | Red maple | Acer so sin | 12.5 | 1104.47 | 6000 | faicemicsrowth | T148 | - |
| | Back cherty | Prunus sersitina | 15.5 | 1695.23 | AVQ. | learing | | 1 |
| | | Unus americana | 4 | 113.10 | 6000 | | 71.00 | |
| | Aftercan em | Unus americana | 2.5 | 44.15 | 6000 | | T149 | Þ |
| | American eim American eim | | 1.5 | 15.90 | 6000 | | T150 | |
| | | Cupressus x leyenal | | | | | | |
| | American eim | Opressus x kyenoli Opressus x kyenoli | 1.6 | 15.90 | 0000 | | 1151 | 100 |
| | American elm Leyland cypress | | | 15.90 | 9000 | | * BO | |
| | American em Leyland cypress Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leyenon | 1.8 | | | Wound on trunk, leader removed | | 1.0 |


| A. MORTON THOMAS AND ASSOCIATES, INC. | DE | SIGN | | | _ | REVIEW AND APPROVAL | ISS | UED FO | OR PROCUREMENT ON |
|--|---------------------|------|-------------|---|--|-------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|
| | | | | 1 | The Maryland-National Capital | | | | REVISIONS |
| Designer's Name 12750 Twinbrook Parkway | Landscape Architect | Date | Checked By: | 4 | Park and Planning Commission | | Rev. | No. Da | te Description |
| Address | Landscape Architect | Date | Checked By: | | Ũ | Project Manager Da | te | | |
| Rockville, MD 20852 | Architect | Date | Checked By: | 1 | Montgomery County Department of Parks 9500 Brunett Avenue | Organization Managers | | | |
| City/State/Zip | | | 0 | - | Silver Spring, Maryland 20901 | Construction Manager Da | te | | |
| 301-881-2545 | Engineer | Date | Checked By: | | (301) 495-2535 | Park Manager Da | te | | |
| Telephone Number | Drawn by | Date | Checked By: | | | | | | |


| | | Umus americana | 3 | | | Codominant leaders Fruiting bodies, needs to be | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Image: Imag | | | | | | removed | | | | |
| | | | 13 | 1194.59 | 9000 | Cooper many readers | | | | |
| | | | | | | Lower Embsremoved | | | | |
| ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | Blue spruce | Pices pungents | 11 | 855.30 | 9000 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Dead limbs, very heavy ky | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | American holly | /lex opeca | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD/AVG. | This | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | | | | | | | | | | |
| ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | Back boust | Robinia pseudoacacia | 26.5 | 4963.91 | AVGPOOR | | | | | |
| NUMPER NUMPER NUMP | | | | | | | | | | |
| ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | WIRD W CAR | Que cuis phellos | 21.5 | 3267.45 | 6000 | Codominant leaders | | | | |
| ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | | | | | | Codominant leaders | | | | |
| NUMPERATION OF ADDRESS OF ADDR | | Ables norsmannlana | | | | Code a loss los de a | | | | |
| Ministry Ministry Nicks /b> | | Conus forba | 7 | 346.36 | 9000 | Looph Ant Races | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | | | | |
| PROVINCE PROVINCE /b> < | Whitepine | Pitus streaus | 185 | 2419.22 | 9000 | | | | | |
| ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | | | | | | Shaded out | | | | |
| Multiple Multiple delivers Multiple Multiple delivers Multiple Multiple delivers Multiple delivers Multiple Multiple delivers /b> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Shaded out</td> <td></td> | | | | | | Shaded out | | | | |
| Ministry Ministry Restance Minist | Whitepine | | 17.5 | 2164.75 | GOOD/AVG. | | | | | |
| NUMPORE NUMPORE /b> | | | | | | | | | | |
| NUMPERATIONAL PROPERTIESALS NUMPERATIONAL PROPERIESALS | London planetiee | Platatus x acertolia | 3 | 63.62 | 9000 | | | | | |
| NUMPORE NUMPORE /b> | | Robinia pseudoacacia | | | | | | | | |
| Multiple decision Multiple decision Mult | | | | | | Bee nest in trunk | | | | |
| NUMPORE NUMPORE /b> | Redout | Ourcis canadensis | 1.8 | 15.90 | 0000 | | 1 | | | |
| Numprise Numprise Numrs Numrs Numprise Numpr | | | | | | | | | | |
| Image in the state is a intervent in the state in t | W RD w Gak | Que cu s phellos | 10.5 | 779.31 | AVS. | | - | | | |
| Nummer Nummer /b> | White pine | | | | | | | | | |
| Provide Control 101 Provide Control 101 | Redoud | Cercis canadens is | 1.8 | | 0000 | | | | | |
| Image in the provide of the state in the state of the state is the state is the state of the state is the state is the state of the state of the state is the state of the state is the state of the state is the state of t | Whitepine | Pinus stebus | 21.5 | 3267.45 | 0000 | and the second second second | 2 | | | |
| Description Description De | White pine | | | | | Shaded out | | | | |
| Image: Programme in the state of a bit of a | | | | | | | | | | |
| Restanting rest Restantining res Restanting res R | apanese flowering chemy | Promot service | 4.5 | 143.14 | 6000 | | | | | |
| Image: Source and accessing of the second of the secon | apanese flowering chemy | Promus servinca | 4.5 | 143.14 | 6000 | | | | | |
| NUMPORE NUMEDIAL CONTRACTOR NUMPORES C | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approximation Approximation /b> | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contract Contract Contrantintininitititititit | | Que cuis rubre | | | | Callus and used at have of truck | | | | |
| Organizational and an application of a statistic operation of a | WIID w Cak | Que cuis pheilos | 6.5 | 295.65 | GOOD AVG. | Lopsided | | | | |
| ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | | | | | | Shaded out | ų | | | |
| Image: Addr. galabandar 13.6 132.0 Add. Image: marge: More: Mo | American holly | | 9 | 672.56 | 6000 | | S EL | | | |
| Image: Addr. galabandar 13.6 132.0 Add. Image: marge: More: Mo | | Pices pungens | | 452.39 | | | nue, | | | |
| Image: Addr. galabandar 13.6 132.0 Add. Image: marge: More: Mo | | | | | | Epicormic growth, shaded out | Archit a Ave 0003 | | | |
| Image: Addr. galabandar 13.6 132.0 Add. Image: marge: More: Mo | Chinese holly | liex contuita | | | 0000 | | ape A arolin DC 2 | | | |
| Image: Addr. galabandar 13.6 132.0 Add. Image: marge: More: Mo | | | | | | Poor form, multiple leaders | ndsc: rth C gton, 1.933. | | | |
| | | | 135 | 1258 25 | AVG. | Wound at base of trunk, pruned | a La 3 No ashin 22.73- | | | |
| Notice is the product of the product of the second prod | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 15 | 1590.43 | AVG. | Pruned around power lines | 24 17 F | | | |
| Image in the part of the second of the se | Noway maple | Acer platenoides | 17 | 2042.82 | AVG. | potential root damage from fire | en t | | | |
| Dependent references the report of the report of the procession of the report of | | | | | | hydrant installation, Tag Igo-o-35 Pruned around power lines, Tag Igo- | | | | |
| Dependent references the report of the report of the procession of the report of | | | | | | 033 | ຮູຮູດງ | | | |
| | | | 42 | 12448.90 | GOODAVG. | Pruned around power lines | | | | |
| Image: Index of the store is a stor | LU HAT DENDIES | | | | | | 2- | | | |
| FOREST CONSERVATION PLAN PURPOSES ONLY NATURAL RESOURCE INVENTORY TREE PROTECTION DETAILS WESTERN GROVE PARK 5409 Grove Street, Chevy Chase, MD 20815 | COMMENTARY ABOUT INDIVIDUAL THESE NOTES SOME ACTUAL OF POTENTIAL DEPECTS TO BE CONSIDERED. HOWEVER, PLOBED FACTORS AND UNFORGESCHAEL CENTS MAY E HOHA'S SONFCANT, WILLSOME OF THE POTENTIAL PROBLEMS NOTED MAY NOT, THE PROPOSED DISTURBANCES WILL HAVE SOLE AVERSE IMPACT UPON THE REMAINENT THESE. OTHER STRESSES SUCH AS DESARES, WILLSWISSCALD, AR POLLITION, REFLICTED HEAT AND LIGHT, INSUFFICIENT OF EXCESS RAINFALL CAN COMBINE TO CAUSE ADDITIONAL DAMAGE OR DECHT TO A TREE. MAY RECOMMENDED LATIONS ARE INTRODED TO PARTIALLY OFFST FORSESEADE DAMAGE ON DEVERT. | | | | | | | | | |
| TREE PROTECTION DETAILS WESTERN GROVE PARK 5409 Grove Street, Chevy Chase, MD 20815 | N | | FORE | ST CONSERVA | ATION PLAN PURPO | DSES ONLY | 4 | | | |
| $_$ SCALE: NTS Liber 2^{1730}_{24390} Folio 147 | TI | REE PROT WES 5409 Grov | TECTI TERN G e Street, Ch | ON DE | ETAILS PARK | DWG. # | 03 | | | |

SCALE: NTS

Liber 21733 Folio 285








| DESIGN | | | | | REVIEW AND APPROVAL | IS | SUED FO | R PROCU | JREMENT ON | SITE DET |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | • | | _ | | | REVISIONS | |
| andscape Architect Date | Checked By: | | | Park and Planning Commission | | | . No. Da | te | Description | PATHW |
| North ite at | Observed Day | | | Montgomery County Department of Parks | Project Manager | Date | | | | WES |
| Architect Date | Checked By: | | | 9500 Brunett Avenue | Construction Manager | Date | | | | 5409 Gro |
| Engineer Date | Checked By: | | | | Bark Managar | Data | | | | |
| Prown by Date | Cheeked Bur | | | | Faik Wahayei | Date | | | | SCALE: VARIES |
| Þ | Landscape Architect Date Architect Date Engineer Date | Landscape Architect Date Checked By: Architect Date Checked By: Engineer Date Checked By: | Landscape Architect Date Checked By: Architect Date Checked By: Engineer Date Checked By: | Landscape Architect Date Checked By: Architect Date Checked By: Engineer Date Checked By: | Landscape Architect Date Checked By: Architect Date Checked By: Engineer Date Checked By: Checked By: Checked By: Engineer Date | Landscape Architect Date Checked By: Architect Date Checked By: Engineer Date Checked By: Silver Spring, Maryland 20901 (301) 495-2535 Park Manager | Landscape Architect Date Checked By: Architect Date Checked By: Engineer Date Checked By: | Landscape Architect Date Checked By: Architect Date Checked By: Engineer Date Checked By: | Landscape Architect Date Checked By: Architect Date Checked By: Engineer Date Checked By: | Index Index |


hone Num



FENCE OPTIONS ALONG WESTERN AVENUE

BICYCLE RACK OPTIONS









MOVABLE TABLES & CHAIRS OPTIONS AT SEATING PLAZA

BENCHES ALONG WOODLAND WALKWAY & MAIN PATH





| A. MORTON THOMAS AND ASSOCIATES, INC. | DESIGN | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Designer's Name | | | | | | | |
| 12750 Twinbrook Parkway | Landscape Architect | Date | Checked By: | | | | |
| Address | | | | | | | |
| Rockville, MD 20852 | Architect | Date | Checked By: | | | | |
| City/State/Zip | | | | | | | |
| 301-881-2545 | Engineer | Date | Checked By: | | | | |
| Telephone Number | Drawn by | Date | Checked By: | | | | |



The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission Montgomery County Department of Parks 9500 Brunett Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20901 (301) 495-2535

| REVIEW AND APPROVAL | ISSUED FOR PROCUREMENT ON | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | REVISIONS | | | | | |
| | | Rev. No. | Date | Description | | | | |
| Project Manager | Date | | | | | | | |
| Construction Manager | Date | | | | | | | |
| Park Manager | Date | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

TRASH & RECYCLING RECEPTACLES



LIGHTING - WASHINGTON GLOBE STYLE



SITE DETAILS & PRECEDENTS **FURNISHINGS & LIGHTING** WESTERN GROVE PARK

DWG. #


Lea 123 Was

Marsha Lea


SCALE: VARIES

 5409 Grove Street, Chevy Chase, MD 20815

 VARIES
 Liber
 21733 24390
 Folio
 285 147



WESTERN AVENUE ENTRANCE








REMOVABLE BOLLARD

SEAT WALL & STONEWORK






ENGINEERED WOOD FIBER





GROVE STREET ARBOR

0

| Chev | A. MORTON THOMAS AND ASSOCIATES, INC. | DESIGN | | | | REVIEW AND APPROVAL | ISSU | JED FOF | R PROCUP | REMENT ON |
|-------|---|----------------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|----------|----------|-------------|
| | | | | | The Maryland-National Capital | | - | | | REVISIONS |
| 39.00 | Designer's Name 12750 Twinbrook Parkway | Landscape Architect Date C | Checked By: | | Park and Planning Commission | | Rev. N | lo. Date | e | Description |
| 3-3. | 12750 Twinbrook Parkway Address Rockville, MD 20852 | | | | Montgomery County Department of Parks | Project Manager Date | | | | |
| 11/ | Rockville, MD 20852 | Architect Date C | Checked By: | | 9500 Brunett Avenue | Construction Manager Date | _ | | | |
| ville | City/State/Zip | Engineer Date C | Checked By: | | Silver Spring, Maryland 20901 | - | | | | |
| l Sc | 301-881-2545 | Lignool Date O | moonod Dy. | | (301) 495-2535 | Park Manager Date | | | | |
| Ž | Telephone Number | Drawn by Date Cl | hecked By: | | | | | | | |







EXISTING LANDSCAPE



T31 - Quercus phellos (Willow Oak) 32"





T13 - Cedrus deodara

(Deodar cedar) 25.5"



DESIGN

Date

Date

cked By

SUGGESTED PLANT MATERIALS

SHADE TREES

| BOTANICAL NAME | COMMON NAME |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Acer Rubrum "October Glory | October Glory Red Maple |
| Betula nigra "Heritage" | Heritage River Birch |
| Ginkgo biloba | Maidenhair Tree |
| Liquidambar styraciflua | Sweetgum |
| Nyssa sylvatica | Black Gum/Tupelo |
| Platanus acerfolia "Bloodgood" | Bloodgood London Plane |
| Quercus coccinea | Scarlet Oak |
| Quercus prinus | Chestnut Oak |

ORNAMENTAL TREES

| BOTANICAL NAME | COMMON NAME |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Amelanchier arborea (and cv.'s)* | Downy Serviceberry |
| Amelanchier laevis (and cv.'s) | Allgheny Serviceberry |
| Carpinus caroliniana (and cv.) | Musclewood |
| Cercis canadensis | Eastern Redbud |
| Chionanthus virginicus (and cv.)* | White Fringetree |
| Cornus hybrids Rutgers and Rutban | |
| Cornus kousa | Kousa Dogwood |
| Halesia carolina | Carolina silverbell |
| Lagerstroemia indica | Crape-myrtle |
| Magnolia virginiana | Sweetbay Magnolia |
| Oxydendrum arboreum | Sourwood |
| Prunus serrulata | Japanese Cherry |
| Prunus x Yedoenis | Yoshino Cherry |
| Prunus subhirtella "Autumnalis" | Higan Cherry |
| Taxodium distichum 'Minaret' | Minaret Bald Cypress |



Nyssa sylvatica Black gum



Quercus coccinea Scarlet oak





Betula nigra **River birch**





| | Cornus kousa | Kou |
|---|---------------------------------|------|
| | Halesia carolina | Card |
| | Lagerstroemia indica | Crap |
| Section . | Magnolia virginiana | Swe |
| 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - | Oxydendrum arboreum | Sou |
| a start and a start and a start | Prunus serrulata | Japa |
| 10.2 | Prunus x Yedoenis | Yos |
| | Prunus subhirtella "Autumnalis" | Higa |
| A | Taxodium distichum 'Minaret' | Mina |
| and the second second | | |

| The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission Montgomery County Department of Parks 9500 Brunett Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20901 (301) 495-2535 |
|--|
|--|

| REVIEW AND APPROVAL | | ISSUED FOR PROCUREMENT ON | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------|---------------------------|------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | REVISIONS | | | | | | | | |
| | | Rev. No. | Date | Description | | | | | | |
| Project Manager | Date | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Manager | Date | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Park Manager | Date | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

MORTON THOMAS AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

signer's Name

2750 Twinbrook Park

ockville, MD 20852

/State/Zip

1-881-2545

PLANT MATERIAL IMAGES

Ginkgo biloba Ginkgo



Taxodium distichum Bald cypress



Platanus x acerifolia London plane tree

Kornus kousa Kousa dogwood



Carpinus caroliniana Musclewood



Lea 123 Was Lea 8g

SITE DETAILS & PRECEDENTS Arboretum-Themed Planting Design WESTERN GROVE PARK

 5409 Grove Street, Chevy Chase, MD 20815

 VARIES
 Liber
 21733 24390
 Folio
 285 147
 SCALE: VARIES

DWG. #

SUGGESTED PLANT MATERIALS

SHRUBS

| SHINOBS | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| BOTANICAL NAME | COMMON NAME |
| Cephalanthus occidentalis | Buttonbush |
| Cornus sanguinea | Redtwig Dogwood |
| Cornus sanguinea 'Arctic Sun' | Dwarf Redtwig Dogwood |
| Ilex verticillata 'Red Sprite' | Dwarf Winterberry |
| Ilex verticillata 'Sparkleberry' | Sparkleberry Winterberry |
| Ilex verticillata 'Jim Dandy' | Jim Dandy Winterberry |
| Itea virginica "Little Henry" | Little Henry Virginia Sweetspire |
| Itea virginica "Henry Garnet" | Henry Garnet Virginia Sweetspire |
| Hamamelis virginiana | Common Witchhazel |
| Lindera benzoin | Spice Bush |
| Rhus aromatica (and cv.'s)* | Fragrant Sumac |
| Rhododendron obtusum "Kurume" | Kurume Azalea |
| Rhododendron carolinianum | |
| Rhododendron catawbiense | |
| Viburnum dentatum | Arrowood Viburnum |
| Viburnum lentago | Nannyberry |

VINES

| BOTANICAL NAME | COMMON NAME |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| Campsis radicans | Trumpet Creeper |
| Gelsemium semipervirens | Carolina Jessamine |
| Parthenocissus quinquefolia | Virginia Creeper |

HERBACEOUS PERENNIALS & ORNAMENTAL GRASSES

| BOTANICAL NAME | COMMON NAME |
|--|-----------------------|
| Arisaema triphyllum | Jack-in-the- pulpit |
| Asarum canadense | Wild ginger |
| Comptonia peregrina | Sweetfern |
| Dryopteris marginalis | Evergreen wood fern |
| Erythronium americanum | Trout lily |
| Gaultheria procumbens | Wintergreen/ teaberry |
| Geranium maculatum | Wood geranium |
| Hepatica americana | Round-lobed hepatica |
| Heuchera americana | Alum-root |
| Hypericum calycinum | St. John's Wort |
| Hypericum reptans | |
| Ilex crenata "Kingsville" | |
| Iris crestata | Crested iris |
| Iris versicolor | Blue iris |
| Jasminum nudiflorum | Winter Jasmine |
| Lobelia cardinalis | Cardinal flower |
| Muhlenbergia capillaris | Muhly grass |
| Osmunda cinnamomea | Cinnamon fern |
| Paxistima canbyi | Paxistima |
| Penstemon digitalis | Penstemon |
| Polystichum acrostichoides | Christmas fern |
| Rhus aromatica "Gro Lo" | Gro Lo Fragrant Sumac |
| Schizachyrium scoparius "Little Bluestem" | Little Bluestem |



Hamamelis virginiana Common witchhazel





llex verticillata Winterberry





Gelsemium semipervirens Carolina Jessamine



Campsis radicans **Trumpet Creeper**



Osmunda cinnamomea Cinnamon fern



Muhlenbergia capillaris Muhly grass



Lobelia cardinalis Cardinal flower




Iris versicolor Blue iris

| | A. MORTON THOMAS AND ASSOCIATES, INC. | DE | ESIGN | | | | | | REVIEW AND APPROVAL | | ISSUE | D FOR P | ROCUREMENT ON |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------|----------|---------|---------------|
| _ | Designer's Name | | | | 1 | | | The Maryland-National Capital | | | | | REVISIONS |
| 5 | - | Landscape Architect | Date | Checked By: | 4 | | | Park and Planning Commission | | | Rev. No. | Date | Description |
| Ś | Address | | | - | | | | Montgomery County Department of Parks | Project Manager | Date | | | |
| | Rockville, MD 20852 | Architect | Date | Checked By: | | | X | 9500 Brunett Avenue | Construction Manager | Date | | | |
| | City/State/Zip | Engineer | Date | Checked By: | 4 | | | Silver Spring, Maryland 20901 | | | | | |
| 20 | 301-881-2545 | Engineer | Date | Checked by. | | 4 | | (301) 495-2535 | Park Manager | Date | | | |
| 2:5 | Telephone Number | Drawn by | Date | Checked By: | 1 | | | | | | | | |

PLANT MATERIAL IMAGES







Cephalanthus occidentalis Buttonbush

ltea virginica Virginia sweetspire



Rhododendron carolinianum Carolina rhododendron



Asarum canadense Wild Ginger





DWG. #

SCALE: VARIES

 5409 Grove Street, Chevy Chase, MD 20815

 VARIES
 Liber
 21733 24390
 Folio
 285 147

Appendix A

Planning Board Approval Demolition, Site Cleanup and Interim Improvements July 3, 2008 (This page intentionally left blank)



MCPB _____ July 3, 2008

MEMORANDUM

| TO: | Montgomery County Planning Board |
|-------|---|
| VIA: | Mary Bradford, Director of Parks MBrashfrad Mike Riley, Deputy Director of Parks for Administration John Hench, Chief, Park Planning and Stewardship Division |
| FROM: | Brenda Sandberg, Legacy Open Space Program Manager |
| DATE: | June 26, 2008 |
| RE: | Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park: 1) Briefing on Park Planning Process; and 2) Recommendation for Demolition of Buildings, Resolution #02-01-08 of Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers |

Recommended Action

Staff recommends that the Planning Board:


- 1) Review and comment on the proposed planning process for Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park. Park Planning & Stewardship Division of the Department of Parks is initiating the planning process for this important site that has recently become available for park use.
- 2) Approve demolition of the house, garage and associated paving contained within Chevy Chase Open Space to achieve the urban park goal for which the parkland was acquired through the Legacy Open Space program. Chevy Chase Open Space was acquired in FY03 as a designated Urban Open Space within the Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan (2001). A resolution passed by the Commission's partner in the acquisition, the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers, and communication received from other civic associations strongly recommend the demolition of the buildings on the site as soon as practical (see Attachments A, B and C). Staff concurs with the Village resolution to demolish the buildings to prepare the site for the eventual construction of this new urban park.



Figure 1 - Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park Vicinity Map

Background

Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park (also known as the Wohlfarth Property) was identified in the 2001 *Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan* (LOS Plan) as one of six urban open spaces that met the Plan's criteria to be one of the "best of the best" open spaces within Montgomery County. The site was identified as a critical open space



opportunity in the very dense neighborhoods next to the Friendship Heights Metro station. The goal of the Urban Open Space category within the LOS Plan is to serve the open space needs of existing neighborhoods, including spaces along major roads and highways, vacant land within existing urban neighborhoods, and important urban natural areas. The Wohlfarth property (as it is identified in the LOS Plan) was evaluated and found to be a minimally developed, relatively large parcel of open land in one of the most densely developed corridors of the County.

The property was acquired in partnership with Chevy Chase Village who contributed 25% of the acquisition cost to the Legacy Open Space program through a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) signed by the Village, the Commission, and Montgomery County (See Attachment D). Issues addressed in various clauses in the MOU include:

- Contribution of \$1.25 million to the Legacy Open Space program over a period of nine years toward the acquisition of the site. To date, the Village has contributed \$343,000 in allocated State POS funds and \$700,000 in cash. The contributions outlined in the MOU will be completed by FY11.
- Annexation of the park into the Village at the cessation of the life estate. Annexation into the Village boundaries was completed December 10, 2007.
- Initial division of responsibilities for maintenance of the property. The MOU outlines that Chevy Chase Village will provide police services and trash removal and that the Commission will provide for other maintenance of the buildings and grounds, including lawn and vegetation maintenance, winterization and maintenance of the house, and removal of large debris.
- Discussion of a partnership between the Commission and the Village for joint development of a Management Plan (now called an Operation & Use Plan) to determine design and uses for the park.

The 1.9-acre site consists of ten recorded lots between Western Avenue and Grove Street adjacent to the Chevy Chase Land Company development and one block from the Metro station (see Figures 1 - 3). The site is located within the corporate limits of Chevy Chase Village and is immediately adjacent to the Friendship Heights Sector Plan area and across Western Avenue




Figure 2 – Aerial View of the Park and vicinity

from Washington, DC. The site is currently configured as a single family residence and a three car garage/workshop with significant plantings of trees and shrubs throughout the property and along the perimeters. Vehicle access to the driveway and parking pad is provided from Western Avenue.

The Colonial Revival house dates from 1975, matching a common architectural style in the surrounding neighborhood of single-family homes. The house served as the home of Mr. William Jarboe Wohlfarth from its construction to his passing at the beginning of this decade. Mr. Wohlfarth donated the property to the Washington Masonic temple of which he had been a high ranking member for many years. Cultural Resources Stewardship and Historic Preservation staff have reviewed the buildings and determined that they do not qualify for any type of historic designation based on their recent age and lack of distinguishing characteristics.

The Commission acquired the property from the Masonic temple in 2002 with the condition of a life estate tenancy. The life estate ended recently and the Commission gained full control of the property in November, 2007. The site was incorporated in the Park Managers' schedules for regular grounds maintenance, and Property Management and Central Maintenance coordinated to winterize and secure the buildings. Police oversight and snow removal are being provided by Chevy Chase Village under the auspices of the interagency MOU. Since fall 2007, significant coordination with Chevy Chase Village has occurred through presentations and attendance at several Village Board meetings and additional coordination with Village staff.



Figure 3 – Oblique Aerial View of House looking northwest



Figure 4 – Front View of House from Grove Street



Figure 5 – the Garage / Workshop

Park Planning Process

An internal park and planning staff team has been selected to work on the Operation & Use Plan for the site, including Historic Preservation, Park Planning, Park Development, Park Management, Park Police, Community-based Planning, Landscape Design, and other Commission staff.

Staff proposes to proceed with the development of this site into a new urban park through several steps.

I. Public Outreach/Charrettes

Given the importance of this urban park site and the strong community interest in moving forward quickly with this planning process, public outreach efforts will be initiated this summer and continue into the fall. A multi-step charrette process has been determined to be the best tool for incorporating public input early into the planning and design process, similar to the charrettes conducted several years ago for the Warner Property. Outreach efforts will focus on reaching citizens of the Village, our primary partner in this project, and citizens from surrounding neighborhoods (Friendship Heights and others) and the District of Columbia. The Village also intends to establish a representative committee to be the primary communication link with the Commission throughout this planning process.

Commission and Village staff have solicited a proposed contract from a landscape architectural firm to conduct a two-part charrette and produce a final report with a single consensus concept drawing for the park. The contract includes a detailed site survey of all the vegetation on the site, a necessary step prior to undertaking any planning for the site. The Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers has committed to paying for half of the charrette contract under certain circumstances (see discussion in next section and Attachment D). Park staff has confirmed that adequate funds (approximately \$8,000) are available in an existing Facility Planning PDF to be used for half of the proposed contract. Park staff is coordinating with the Finance office to confirm the appropriate contracting arrangement.

II. Operation and Use Plan

The concept plan that results from the public outreach and charrette process will be evaluated by staff and incorporated into an Operation and Use Plan. Operation and Use Plans (formerly known as a Park Management Plans) are conducted under the leadership of Park Planning and Stewardship Division staff in cooperation with the Park Region staff and other divisions with Parks and Planning. O&U Plans include the overall concept plan for a park, a public access plan (trails/signage/parking), a resource management plan (forest/streams/horticulture), and a park operations plan. Operation and Use Plans also include estimates of the operating budget impacts (OBI) from the proposed park concept. The O&U Plan is primarily an internal staff-level document, but the park concept plan and the public access plan sections are brought to the Planning Board for your review and approval. For Chevy Chase Open Space, the Operation and Use Plan will also include recommendations for the continuing partnership between the Village and the Commission for the development and operation of this park. The park concept plan, public access plan, and any proposals for the partnership with the Village will be presented to the Planning Board for their review and approval.

III. Facility Plan

Once the Planning Board has reviewed and approved the concept plan, the park will enter the queue to undergo Facility Planning, the process through which parks and park facilities undergo detailed design and engineering (30% design stage) and results in more refined cost estimates for implementation. Facility Planning is managed by the Park Development Division and is budgeted through a project in the Parks Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Facility Plans are reviewed and approved by the Planning Board. The earliest Chevy Chase Open Space could be added to the Facility Planning program would be in FY10, possibly much later depending on other projects awaiting facility planning.

IV. Design and Construction

Once a Facility Plan is completed and approved by the Planning Board, the Park may be added to the queue for final design and construction funds through the CIP. Design and construction is also managed by the Park Development Division.

One relatively unique factor the Parks Department and Planning Board will need to consider in funding and scheduling this park development process is the financial partnership with Chevy Chase Village. The Village is already a substantial financial partner in the preservation of this open space and has offered to support the planning, design, and construction of the park. The Village Board of Managers has committed to funding half of the consultant contract for the charrettes (see section below) and has publicly discussed additional contributions throughout the development process to ensure a timely development of this park within their borders.

Demolition of Buildings

As a designated Urban Open Space within the *Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan* (2001), one of the primary goals for the Chevy Chase Open Space is to provide green open space as a respite from the urban environment. The first step in the planning process for this site as described above is to determine the fate of the improvements currently on the site in the context of the Legacy Open Space Plan.

Public Input

On February 11, 2008, the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers passed a resolution (Attachment A) strongly recommending the removal of the buildings on the site as soon as practical to "fulfill the objectives of the Legacy Open Space Master Plan, by promoting the public health, safety and welfare, as well as the urban park objectives for which the Village contributed funds for the acquisition of the Wohlfarth Property." The Village has received

comment on this site throughout 2007 and conducted a public hearing with a significant amount of testimony from their community prior to adopting this Resolution.

The Village sent an additional letter to the Commission (April 22, 2008, Attachment B) to reiterate their support for timely demolition of the buildings and to offer to financially participate in the public outreach charrettes. The Village is offering to pay one-half of the cost of the charrettes "provided the Commission agrees that the structures on the property will be removed promptly."

Additional community support for the demolition of the buildings to maximize open space was received from the Citizens Coordinating Committee on Friendship Heights (Attachment C), an umbrella group that represents 15 citizens associations in the immediate vicinity of the site. The CCCFH says that the demolition of the existing buildings is necessary to achieve a well designed site that can function as a true urban park for the benefit of nearby residents and visitors.

Staff Analysis

The internal Operation & Use Planning Team for this site concurs with the recommendation to demolish the buildings to prepare the site for the park planning process and eventual construction of this new urban park. Evaluation of the Chevy Chase Open Space site by the planning team has identified several factors that influenced this recommendation to remove the buildings and associated pavement.

Policy Goals in Approved Public Policy Documents

- The *Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan's* designation of this site as Urban Open Space envisions a largely passive open space environment and identifies this site as a "rare opportunity to retain existing green open space" (LOS Plan, p. 80).
- The **Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)** between the County, Village and the Commission states that the site "will be used in a manner consistent with the purposes identified in the Legacy Plan."
- Goals for LOS Urban Open Spaces include preserving green space, preserving undeveloped parcels, and providing a transition between central business districts (CBDs) and adjacent residential neighborhoods.

Community Input

- Since the acquisition of this site, the broader community has continuously expressed a desire that the entire site would be made available as open space by removing the buildings.
- Chevy Chase Village and the umbrella group of local citizens associations have sent formal communications supporting rapid demolition of the buildings.
- The Village has offered to fund half of the contractor cost for the charrette process and may consider funding demolition and other future development expenses once the policy decision to remove the buildings is made.

Site Constraints

- Approximately one-fourth of an acre, 12% of this relatively small park (1.9 acres), is currently encumbered by improvements. The pavement and buildings cut across the site from southeast to northwest, effectively removing a much larger portion of the site from feasible open space use.
- Retention of those improvements would significantly infringe on the amount of usable open space area and would impede the overall function of the park by obstructing pedestrian flow, negatively impacting the park-like atmosphere, and limiting the available area to meet passive recreational objectives.
- Almost any potential public or private use of the buildings would require additional infringement into the green open space for increased parking and further disrupt the pedestrian flow through the site. Initial evaluation of the site for potential park office use has determined that the necessary increase in automobile traffic through the property would unacceptably impede the use of the site as a park.

Planning Process

- The public outreach and planning process will be significantly streamlined and come to more productive conclusions if the absence of the buildings is a known factor.
- If this decision is not made now, the charrettes and planning work done by citizens, consultants and the staff planning team will need to look at two scenarios instead of focusing on one, thus diluting the effectiveness of those efforts.
- Creation of two concept plans during the charrettes will likely increase the cost of the public outreach consultant contract, as well.
- Once the decision is made to proceed with demolition, funding can be pursued. A funding source has not yet been identified to pay for the demolition. An estimated cost for demolition of the buildings, surrounding pavement, and temporary vegetative stabilization of the site is \$50,000.

Staff feels quite strongly that this site was acquired with the specific intent of creating the maximum open space possible in the midst of a densely developed urban area, and that removal of the existing development on the site is a critical step in achieving that goal. The policy decision to remove the buildings is the first step to be taken prior to proceeding with the rest of the Operation & Use Planning process.

Recommended Planning Board Action

In order to achieve the important urban park goals for Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park, staff recommends that the Planning Board approve demolition of the house, garage and associated paving, to be implemented as soon as appropriate funding is identified.

Appendix A

GEOFFREY B. BIDDLE Village Manager DAVID R. PODOLSKY Legal Counsel CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE 5906 CONNECTICUT AVENUE CHEVY CHASE, MD 20815 Telephone (301) 654-7300 Fax (301) 907-9721 ccv@montgomerycountymd.gov

February 21, 2008

BOARD OF MANAGERS DOUGLAS B. KAMEROW Chair DAVID L. WINSTEAD Vice Chair SUSIE EIG Secretary GAIL S. FELDMAN Treasurer BETSY STEPHENS Assistant Treasurer PETER M. YEO Board Member ROBERT L. JONES Board Member

Mr. Royce Hanson, Chair Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission 8989 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

Dear Mr. Hanson:

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN THE MARYLAND NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

E

I write to you today on behalf of both the residents and the Board of Managers of Chevy Chase Village (the Village). As you are aware, the Village and Montgomery County began collaboration on acquiring the Wohlfarth Property as Urban Open Space in 2000. Under the auspices of the Legacy Open Space Program purchase of the property occurred in 2002, and in the past year, possession of the property has finally transferred to the County. The Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission (Park and Planning) deserves significant credit for making this acquisition a reality and the Village thanks the entire Park and Planning organization for its commitment to the property and the Village looks forward to a continued strong and collaborative working relationship as we move into development of the property's management plan.

To that end, and following multiple meetings with both Park and Planning officials and staff and multiple meetings with Village residents, the Village Board of Managers forwards the attached copy of a duly executed resolution passed unanimously at the Board's February 11, 2008 regular meeting stating:

The Board finds that demolition and removal of the existing structures on the Wohlfarth property would fulfill the objectives of the Legacy Open Space Master plan, by promoting the public health, safety and welfare, as well as the urban park objectives for which the Village contributed funds for the acquisition of the Wohlfarth Property;

Chevy Chase Village asks that the Park and Planning Commission take up this matter at its earliest convenience and vote to authorize the structures' removal, and further that the actual removals be scheduled as soon as possible.

Please do not hesitate to contact me regarding any question you may have.

Sincerely,

Dr. Douglas B. Kamerow Chair, Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers

cc: Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers Ms. Brenda Sandberg, Park and Planning

Introduced: 02-11-08 Adopted: 02-11-08 Resolution No. 02-01-08

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Montgomery County (the "County") has the authority to acquire and maintain properties for use as public parks and/or open space within the boundaries of Montgomery County to promote the public health, safety and welfare; and

WHEREAS, Chevy Chase Village (the "Village") has the authority to acquire and maintain properties for use as public parks and/or open space within and adjacent to the boundaries of Chevy Chase Village to promote the public health, safety and welfare; and

WHEREAS, the County approved the Legacy Open Space Master Plan in July, 2001 ("Legacy Plan"); and

WHEREAS, certain property immediately adjacent to the Village, commonly known as the Wohlfarth Property and more particularly described in Schedule A, attached hereto and incorporated herein, was acquired by the County pursuant to the Legacy Plan for use as a public park; and

WHEREAS, the Village contributed funds to the County for the acquisition for the Wohlfarth Property; and

WHEREAS, the Legacy Plan identified the Wohlfarth Property as a property that "represent[s] a rare opportunity to retain existing green open space in an urban area"; and

WHEREAS, the County, the Village and the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission (the "Commission") entered into a Memorandum of Understanding regarding the acquisition, operation and management of the Wohlfarth Property on August 12, 2002; and

WHEREAS, the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding states, *inter alia:* ...the property shall be put to a use that is consistent with the Legacy Plan...the Commission and the Village will jointly prepare a management plan ("Management Plan") in accordance with the Legacy Plan and the acquisition goals as stated in the Legacy Plan for the Wohlfarth Property; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to the acquisition of the Wohlfarth Property by Montgomery County, the Wohlfarth Property was annexed into the Village; and

WHEREAS, the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers received comments from Village residents and discussed possible uses for the Wohlfarth Property at various board meetings held in open session during the calendar year 2007; and

WHEREAS, after public notice duly published, at the February 11, 2008 regular meeting of the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers, the Board of Managers held a public hearing on

possible future uses of the Wohlfarth Property and specifically whether the existing structures on the Wohlfarth Property should be maintained or demolished; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Managers received written comments from Village residents prior to the public hearing, which comments were included in the record of the public hearing and the Board of Managers received oral comments from the public at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Managers has considered all proper comments submitted orally or in writing regarding possible future uses of the Wohlfarth Property and whether the existing structures on the Wohlfarth Property should be maintained or demolished.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this 11th day of February, 2008, by the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers, as follows:

- The Board finds that demolition and removal of the existing structures on the Wohlfarth Property would fulfill the objectives of the Legacy Open Space Master Plan, by promoting the public health, safety and welfare, as well as the urban park objectives for which the Village contributed funds for the acquisition of the Wohlfarth Property;
- 2. The Board hereby expresses its intent and desire that the structures on the Wohlfarth Property be demolished and removed from the site as soon as practical; and
- 3. The Village Manager is authorized and directed to provide a copy of this Resolution to the Commission and to take any further action that he may deem necessary to effectuate the purposes and intent of this resolution.

* * * * *

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers at its meeting of February 11, 2008.

Susie Eig, Secretary

L:\CLIENTS\C\CHEVY CHASE\CCV\wohlfarth-Resolution.doc

Appendix B

CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE 5906 CONNECTICUT AVENUE CHEVY CHASE, MD 20815 Telephone (301) 654-7300 Fax (301) 907-9721 ccv@montgomerycountymd.gov

April 22, 2008

Royce B. Hanson, Chairman Montgomery County Planning Board M-NCPPC 8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

RE: "Wohlfarth Property"

Dear Dr. Hanson:

GEOFFREY B. BIDDLE

Village Manager

DAVID R. PODOLSKY

Legal Counsel

As you may be aware, in 2002 Montgomery County and Chevy Chase Village jointly purchased property which was then adjacent to the Village and is commonly known as the Wohlfarth Property (the "Property"). In connection with the acquisition, the Village, the County and the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") regarding the acquisition, maintenance and operation of the Property. The Property was acquired for use as a public park pursuant to the Legacy Open Space program. In accordance with the MOU, the Village has annexed the Property into the Village.

Section 8 of the MOU provides that the Commission and the Village are to jointly prepare a management plan in accordance with the Legacy Plan and the acquisition goals as stated in the Legacy Plan for the Wohlfarth Property. After receiving considerable input from Village residents and evaluating this matter at public meetings, the Village Board of Managers (the "Board") has concluded that any use of the Property other than as a public park to provide open space would be inconsistent with the purposes of the acquisition of the property. Accordingly, on February 11, 2008, the Board adopted a Resolution calling for the removal of the existing structures on the Property. A copy of that Resolution is enclosed herewith for your convenience.

In order to develop a management plan for the future use of the Property, the Commission staff and the Board have recommended holding facilitated charrettes to obtain community input. We understand that EDAW, Inc. has submitted a proposal to facilitate community charrettes for a fee of \$12,000.00. At its April 14, 2008 meeting, the Board agreed to pay one-half of the cost of the charrettes provided the Commission agrees that the structures on the property will be removed promptly. We believe that the charrettes will be more effective and efficient if they are not side-tracked by discussion of possible uses for the existing structures, any of which would not be acceptable to the Village. If the Commission agrees that the existing

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION BOARD OF MANAGERS DOUGLAS B. KAMEROW Chair DAVID L. WINSTEAD Vice Chair SUSIE EIG Secretary GAIL S. FELDMAN Treasurer BETSY STEPHENS Assistant Treasurer PETER M. YEO Board Member ROBERT L. JONES Board Member

Introduced: 02-11-08 Adopted: 02-11-08 Resolution No. 02-01-08

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Montgomery County (the "County") has the authority to acquire and maintain properties for use as public parks and/or open space within the boundaries of Montgomery County to promote the public health, safety and welfare; and

WHEREAS, Chevy Chase Village (the "Village") has the authority to acquire and maintain properties for use as public parks and/or open space within and adjacent to the boundaries of Chevy Chase Village to promote the public health, safety and welfare; and

WHEREAS, the County approved the Legacy Open Space Master Plan in July, 2001 ("Legacy Plan"); and

WHEREAS, certain property immediately adjacent to the Village, commonly known as the Wohlfarth Property and more particularly described in Schedule A, attached hereto and incorporated herein, was acquired by the County pursuant to the Legacy Plan for use as a public park; and

WHEREAS, the Village contributed funds to the County for the acquisition for the Wohlfarth Property; and

WHEREAS, the Legacy Plan identified the Wohlfarth Property as a property that "represent[s] a rare opportunity to retain existing green open space in an urban area"; and

WHEREAS, the County, the Village and the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission (the "Commission") entered into a Memorandum of Understanding regarding the acquisition, operation and management of the Wohlfarth Property on August 12, 2002; and

WHEREAS, the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding states, *inter alia:* ...the property shall be put to a use that is consistent with the Legacy Plan...the Commission and the Village will jointly prepare a management plan ("Management Plan") in accordance with the Legacy Plan and the acquisition goals as stated in the Legacy Plan for the Wohlfarth Property; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to the acquisition of the Wohlfarth Property by Montgomery County, the Wohlfarth Property was annexed into the Village; and

WHEREAS, the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers received comments from Village residents and discussed possible uses for the Wohlfarth Property at various board meetings held in open session during the calendar year 2007; and

WHEREAS, after public notice duly published, at the February 11, 2008 regular meeting of the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers, the Board of Managers held a public hearing on

possible future uses of the Wohlfarth Property and specifically whether the existing structures on the Wohlfarth Property should be maintained or demolished; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Managers received written comments from Village residents prior to the public hearing, which comments were included in the record of the public hearing and the Board of Managers received oral comments from the public at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Managers has considered all proper comments submitted orally or in writing regarding possible future uses of the Wohlfarth Property and whether the existing structures on the Wohlfarth Property should be maintained or demolished.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, this 11th day of February, 2008, by the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers, as follows:

- The Board finds that demolition and removal of the existing structures on the Wohlfarth Property would fulfill the objectives of the Legacy Open Space Master Plan, by promoting the public health, safety and welfare, as well as the urban park objectives for which the Village contributed funds for the acquisition of the Wohlfarth Property;
- 2. The Board hereby expresses its intent and desire that the structures on the Wohlfarth Property be demolished and removed from the site as soon as practical; and
- 3. The Village Manager is authorized and directed to provide a copy of this Resolution to the Commission and to take any further action that he may deem necessary to effectuate the purposes and intent of this resolution.

* * * * *

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers at its meeting of February 11, 2008.

Sușie Eig, Secretary

L:\CLIENTS\C\CHEVY CHASE\CCV\wohlfarth-Resolution.doc

structures will be removed and is agreeable to the joint cost-sharing proposal above, please let us know and we will have our staff work with the Commission staff to schedule the charrettes.

It has been a pleasure working with the Commission and its staff to reach this point in the process. The Board looks forward to continuing our collaboration with the Commission in the development of the joint management plan and to having the open space to enjoy with the community.

Very truly yours,

Douglas B. Kamerow, Chairman Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers

DRP:DBK:ag

cc:

Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers Geoffrey Biddle, Village Manager David R. Podolsky, Village Counsel Oscar S. Rodriguez, Executive Director, M-NCPPC Ms. Brenda Sandberg, M-NCPPC Mr. William Gries, M-NCPPC Carol Rubin, Associate General Counsel, M-NCPPC Mary Bradford, Director of Parks John Hench, Chief-Park Planning and Stewardship Division

\\Ssdata\Legacy\CLIENTS\C\CHEVY CHASE\CCV\Annexation\Hanson letter.doc

Appendix C

Citizens Coordinating Committee on Friendship Heights, Inc.

April 24, 2008

Dr. Royce Hanson, Chair Maryland National Park and Planning Commission 8787 Georgia Ave Silver Spring, MD 20910



OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

Dear Chairman Hanson,

The Citizens Coordinating Committee on Friendship Heights, Inc. is an umbrella group representing 17 Civic Associations and over 10,000 households in the area adjacent to and west of the Wohlfarth Property which was acquired by the County in 2002 under the Legacy Open Space Program.

The possession and title to that property was recently transferred to the County as the final contingencies were met. As you are aware, the property is "improved" as there is an older single-family house in its center. The greater community is greatly looking forward to the time when the property can function as a true urban park for the benefit of the many residents and visitors to the area as well as the nearby neighbors. To that end, we urge that the existing structure be demolished in order that a well designed park can be created. Chevy Chase Village, within whose corporate limits this property sits, has already communicated its desire that the house be removed. We join in that request.

Further, Chevy Chase Village has retained EDAW to conduct a two-part charette in April to insure that the park is well designed and meets the needs of both the Village residents and the greater community, all of whom will be able to participate in the planning of the park. CCCFH and its member organizations will be participating in this charette. Removal of the existing structure, which is not appropriate for park use and is situated in such as way as to interfere with good park design, is necessary and we urge the Board to issue the necessary orders and that the building be removed as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

Phyllis K. Edelman

Phyllis R. Edelman Chair CCCFH

Representing the Citizens Associations of Brookdale, Chevy Chase Village, Chevy Chase West, Drummond, Green Acres-Glen Cove, Kenwood, Kenwood Condominium, Somerset, Somerset House I, Springfield, Sumner, Western Avenue, Westmoreland, Westbard Mews and Westwood Mews

Appendix D

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

THIS MEMORANIDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU) is enfered into as of the <u>ILH</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2002, among MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND, 101 Monroe Street, Rockville, Maryland 20850, hereinafter referred to as "the County", CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE, 5906 Connecticut Avenue, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815, an incorporated municipality of the State of Maryland, hereinafter referred to as "the Village", and THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION, 6611 Kenilworth Avenue, Rivercale, Maryland 20737, hereinafter referred to as "The Commission."

WHEREAS, the County has the authority to acquire and maintain properties for use as public parks and/or open space within the boundaries of Montgomery County to promote the public health, safety and we fare; and

WHEREAS the County approved the Legacy Open Space Master Plan in July, 2001 ("Legacy Plan"); and

WHEREAS, certain property immediately adjacent to the Village, commonly known as the Wohlfarth Property and more particularly described in Schedule A, attached hereto and incorporated herein, hereinafter referred to as the "Wohlfarth Property", is being acquired by the County pursuant to the Legacy Plan for use as a public park; and

WHEREAS the Legacy Plan identified the Wohlfarth Property as a property that "represent[s] a rare opportunity to retain existing green open space". in an urban area (Legacy Plan p. 80); and WHEREAS, the Village is a municipal corporation located in Montgomery County, Maryland and has the authority to acquire and maintain public parks to promote the public health, safety and welfare; and

WHEREAS, the County, The Commission and the Village intend to cooperate in the acquisition, operation and maintenance of the Wohlfarth Property upon and subject to the terms and conditions set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and obligations set forth herein, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto agree as fo lows:

1. The County is attempting to conclude a contract for acquisition of the Wohlfarth Property from its current owners.

2. The Village intends to contribute the sum of \$1,250,000.00 to the Purchase of the Wohlfarth Property. The Village's contribution is contingent upon being able to use at least \$311,605.15 in Program Open Space Funds as follows: \$123,327.25 unencumbered account balance as of July 1, 2001; \$7,897.90 unspent funds from Newlands Park Development project (FY 1996); the balance of \$180,380.00 shall be allocated at \$18,038.00 per year, or such other amount as may be allocated, commencing in fiscal year 2003 through fiscal year 2012 or longer. The Commission shall retain the allocations described in this paragraph for Commission and/or County parkland acquisitions, determined by The Commission and County in their sole discretion. The Village's obligation to make a contribution of Program Open Space funds in any year is contingent upon allocation of those funds from Program Open Space in the amounts described in Section 3 above. In the event an allocation in the amount set forth above is not received for any year, the Village's obligation under this

Agreement to contribute Program Open Space funds shall be extended until the full \$311,605.15 is contributed.

3. The balance of the Village's contribution shall be made in accordance with the following schedule but is subject to annual appropriations which cannot be guaranteed at this time:

(A) \$200,000.00 within 30 days after settlement on the acquisition of Lots 4,5, 6, 7, 8 and 24 of the Wohlfarth Property.

(B) \$100,000.00 on or before the 15th day of July, 2003.

(C) \$100,000.00 on or before the 15th cay of July, 2004.

(D) \$100,000.00 on or before the 15th c ay of July, 2005.

(E) \$100,000.00 on or before the 15th Gay of July, 2006.

(F) \$100,000.00 on or before the 15^{th} day of July, 2007.

(G) \$100,000.00 on or before the 15th Jay of July, 2008.

(H) \$100,000.00 on or before the 15th Jay of July, 2009.

(1) \$38,394.85 or the outstanding balance, on or before the 15th

day of July, 2010.

,

4. The County agrees to request that the Village annex the Wohlfarth Property into the Village immediately following the later to occur of 1) settlement on all of the Lots comprising the Wohlfarth Property and the Village's assurances to Ms. Rowland (occupant under the Estate for Years) that she will have no additional financial or other burdens or responsibilities with respect to Lot 21 as a result of the annexation, or 2) the Village's payment of \$300,000.00 pursuant to Section 3, above, to the County.

5. If House of the Temple Historic Preservation Foundation, Inc. and Trustee of the William Jarboe Wohlfarth Charitable Remainde : Annuity Trust at any time exercise their Repurchase Option for some or all of the Wohlfarth Property, then the County will credit the Village with Open Space Allocations in an amount equivalent to Open Space funds actually contributed pursuant to Section 2 above and will reimburse to the Village an amount equal to all Village funds actually paid to the County pursuant to Section 3, above.

6. The Wohlfarth Property will be used in a mar ner consistent with the purposes identified in the Legacy Plan for the Wohlfarth Property. The Wohlfarth Property was selected for acquisition in accordance with the Legacy Acquisition Criteria established in the Legacy Plan, relevant portions of which are attached hereto.

7. The County and the Commission agree that the Property is to be managed by the Commission in accordance with the terms of the Agreement between the County and Commission dated May 24, 1972. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to limit or amend the provisions of the 1972 Agreement between the County and the Commission. The parties recognize that the acquisition of the Wohlfarth Property by the County will be subject to the reserved rights of Mildred Vernalie Rowland to reside at the subject property as provided for in the Estate for Years and modified by the Purchase Contract, attached hereto as Exhibits A and B, respectively. However, during such occupancy, neither the County, nor the Commission shall use the property for any purpose other than as provided in the Estate for Years as modified by the Purchase Contract. Upon the expiration of those rights, the property shall be put to a use that is consistent with the Legacy Plan.


8. The Commission and the Village will jointly prepare a management plan ("Management Plan") in accordance with the Legacy Plan and the acquisition goals as stated in the Legacy Plan for the Wohlfarth Property. Any change in use of the Wohlfarth Property will be subject to the Management Plan, as jointly amended from time to time by the Commission and Village. The Commission and Village may begin and conclude the Management Plan approval process during the Estate for Years.

9. The Commission shall be responsible for maintaining and operating the Wohlfarth Property and upon annexation to the Village, the Village shall provide municipal services to the Wohlfarth Property (e.g., police and refuse service, but not park maintenance or operations).

10. The Village shall have a right of first refusal in the event that the County determines to sell all or a portion of the Wohlfarth Property (other than a sale to the House of Temple Historic Preservation Foundation, Inc. and Trustee of the William Jarboe Wohlfarth Charitable Remainder Annuity Trust pursuant to its repurchase option under the contract). If the Village exercises its right of first refusal before it has paid the full \$1,250,000.00 required by Sections 2 and 3 above, its right of first refusal shall be contingent upon the payment to the County of all sums not yet paid. Any sums not paid shall be paid in full at settlement. The purchase price shall be 80% of the then current fair market value of the property to be sold. The Village will have 120 days following notice of a proposed sale to notify the County of its intent to exercise the right of first refusal. However, if during the months of July or August of any year, the County notifies the Village of its determination to sell all or a portion of the Wohlfarth Property, the Village will have respectively an additional 60 or 30 days to notify the County of its intent to exercise its right of first refusal. Title shall be good and marketable, subject to

regacy Open Space Master Plan: Portions relevant to Wohlfarth acquisition.

'ROTECTION OF URBAN SPACE AND GREEN BOULEVARDS



If to the Village:

Village Manager 5906 Connecticut Avenue Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815,

with a copy to:

David R. Podolsky, Esquire Village Counsel 255 N. Washington Street, #500 Rockville, Maryland 20850

If to the County:

Chief Administrative Officer Executive Office Building 101 Monroe Street Rockville, Maryland 20850

with a copy to:

County Attorney Office of the County Attorney 101 Monroe Street Rockville, Maryland 20850

If to the Commission:

Executive Director The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission 6611 Kenilworth Avenue Riverdale, Maryland 20737

With a copy to both:

William Gries, Property Acquisition Specialist
The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
9500 Brunett Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20901

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission Associate General Counsel

8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

13. If any provision of this MOU, or a portion thereof, or application thereof to any person or circumstances, shall, to any extent, be held invalid, inoperative or unenforceable, the remainder of this MOU, or the application of such provision or portion thereof to any other person or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

14. This MOU shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Maryland.

15. Any disputes hereunder shall be subject to good faith mediation.

16. This MOU may be amended, modified or terminated at any time by a declaration in writing, executed and acknowledged by the part es to this MOU or their successors or assigns. This MOU shall not otherwise be amended, modified or terminated.

[SIGNATURE PAGE ATTACHED]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the County, the Village and The Commission have

executed this MOU as of the date first set forth above.

Arrivers as to leave and receiling.

COULT AT 01.28 0 ٤Ÿ Gait

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL SUFFICIENCY M-NCPPC Legal Deparment NA103 Date_

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Bruce Romer Chief Administrative Officer

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

ATTEST:

Bν Patricia Collihan Barney

Secretary-Treasurer

Bv And All Alla

Executive Chrector CHEVY CHASE VILLAGE

By


Jerry Schiro Village Manager

SHANA R. DAVIS Notary Public Prince George's Co., MD My Comm. Exps. Feb. 2, 2005

G:\MISC.MMR\PARKS\wolhfarth\8th.mou.doc

SCHEDULE "A"

Lots numbered 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 (each individually, a "Lot", or collectively the "Lots") in Block numbered 5 in the subdivision known as Sec. I-A Chevy Chase, more particularly shown on plat recorded in PB 4 at Plat No. 349 in the Land Records for Montgomery County, Maryland.



'ROTECTION OF URBAN SPACE AND GREEN BOULEVARDS

Spa regacy Open iffice Master Plan: Portions relevant to Wohlfarth acquisition.

RESOURCE CATEGORY #6: PROTECTION OF URBAN SPACES

OVERVIEW

Montgomery County has a strong tradition of establishing significan: urban open spaces, creating green boulevards and providing regional parks. As Montgorr ery County continues to grow, the importance of these urban open spaces, green boulevards, and regional parks intensifies. Regional parks serve countywide needs for active recreation and conservation. Urban open spaces serve local neighborhood needs. These urban open spaces include parkland within existing neighborhoods.

In addition to regional parks and urban open spaces, seemal green boulevards serve to provide recognizable linear green areas. Major open spaces next to these green boulevards (e.g., the frontage of the National Institutes of Health) also helps to establish a unique character for both the green boulevards and the adjacent neighborhoods.

The combination of urban open spaces, green boulevards, and regional parks provides an important community building element within Montgomery County, and directly contributes to community livability and character. They have been obtained through direct purchase, transfer of sites from other agencies, and through the regulatory process and are held by public agencies.

EXISTING SITUATION

Urban Open Spaces - These open spaces establish important green areas and natural resources within existing neighborhoods. They are provided both through direct purchase of sites within existing neighborhoods, and transfer of publicly owned land. These sites include undeveloped land in private or public ownership within existing neighborhoods. Buffer areas located within neighborhoods and at the edge of central business districts establish a green area between high-density central business districts and the adjacent neighborhoods. Other privately owned sites include undeveloped sites located adjacent to existing stream valley parks.

Open spaces and natural resources owned by the public include several closed school sites. The primary challenge to protection comes from the private organizations including schools that need sites in existing neighborhoods. As improvements are provided, the existing users request to purchase these sites. Several of these sites have already been purchased. Retaining surplus school sites as public open space so that they can continue to serve as neighborhood green infrastructure is a significant challenge.

Green Boulevards – A green boulevard, for this plan, is defined as the environs of a major highway containing an emphasis on landscaping within the right-of-way and open spaces outside the right-of-way. The open spaces can be provided through private actions, public ownership, or some combination of the two. The designation of a green boulevard does not affect the transportation function of the major highway within the green boulevard. Green

boulevards provide both linear green space and in some locations serve as gateways to Montgomery County. They provide both a first impression of Nontgomery County and serve to establish the character of the adjacent neighborhoods. Undeveloped open spaces that define these green boulevards also contribute to the character of these major thoroughfares and the adjacent neighborhoods. Open spaces alongside green boulevards can also provide an important buffer between commercial and residential uses.

Remaining undeveloped open spaces along these boulevards presently include land regulated by setback requirements, land purchased for parkland, and undeveloped land. Examples of these spaces provided through a deliberate County policy include purchase of Woodside Park next to the Silver Spring CBD and the creation of buffer areas located on the NIH property next to Wisconsin Avenue through the regulatory process. These open spaces are valuable resources worthy of protection.

Regional Parks - Regional parks play a key role in conserving natural areas, and in providing active and passive recreation opportunities. These large parks serve many more people than local parks and retain a large portion of the site for conservation. This large conservation space is what differentiates regional parks from the other large county-wide park category, recreational parks, which have a greater emphasis placed on the provision of active recreation opportunities. Characteristics of regional parks include:

- 1. Land mass over 200 acres
- 2. Reserve at least two-thirds of its acreage for conservation related purposes.
- 3. Provide a large range of active and passive recreational opportunities such as picnicking, fishing, hiking, and camping.

Montgomery County currently has five developed regional parks (over 7,800 acres). All of the existing regional parks include picnic and playground areas, trails, and nature centers. Two of these parks serve the lower County areas and also are unique in that they include athletic complexes and significant active recreation areas. Wheatch, the first regional park, was opened to the public in 1961. It has a large botanical garden and is easily reached by lower and eastern County residents. Cabin John serves the southwestern portion of the County. Many recreational facilities are provided including lighted tournament quality athletic fields, year-round tennis courts, ice rinks, trains and a carousel. The three parks serving the mid and northern County area have large amounts of conservation land and provide other types of recreational opportunities. All three of these regional parks have golf courses and Little Bennett also includes a campground. Black Hill and Rock Creek offer boating and other water oriented activities.

The challenge to providing regional parks is to identify the future need and establish appropriate locations before the large sites are developed. Finding land suitable for both active recreation and conservation areas needed for regional parks is also a challenge.

LEGACY OPPORTUNITY AREAS

Urban Open Spaces - Transfer or purchase of selected sites is needed to serve the local open space needs of existing neighborhoods. Criteria used to identify urban sites for Legacy Open Space include:

- A. Key open spaces along major highways.
- B. Vacant land within existing urban neighborhoods.
- C. Important urban natural areas, especially if they promote interconnection of the urban green infrastructure.

The master plan recommends that the following list of sites be included in Legacy Open Space:

- Bush Property, Bethesda
- Sligo Mill Property, Takoma Park
- Wohlfarth Property, Friendship Heights
- Clarksburg Triangle Property, Clarksburg
- Takoma Academy, Silver Spring¹²
- Maiden Lane Property, Bethesda¹²

In addition, the County currently owns many small urban open spaces that result from unutilized rights-of-ways, changed road alignments, closed schools or school properties that were never built. Remnants of subdivisions also constitute valuable open spaces for our urban neighborhoods. If the County proposes to sell any of these properties, they should be evaluated for protection through Legacy Open Space. The Legacy program will consider these and other opportunities in urban areas for their potential as neighborhood open space links – or green infrastructure – as they are identified. Land purchase criteria to consider include connectivity, community purpose and special natural or cultural resources.

Green Boulevards – This plan focuses on several green boulevarcs and a series of other key sites along major highways as follows:

1. MD 355 (Wisconsin Avenue/Rockville Pike/Frederick Road) - This highway began as a primary route to the western frontier. Existing markers that celebrate this role included the Madonna of the Trails located in the Bethesda CBD and the eighteenth century Washington, D.C. Boundary Marker located in Friendship Heights. Private sector efforts during the development of the area along MD 355 (Wisconsin Avenue) between the Friendship Heights CBD and the Bethesda CBD establish a positive character for the adjacent neighborhoods. To the north, the Clarksburg Triangle site is also an important green space along MD 355 near the future Clarksburg Town

¹² It is expected these properties will be purchased through other programs and funding sources. However, Legacy tools, including purchase, can be used to protect and preserve these properties.

Center.

- 2. MD 97 (Georgia Avenue) This green boulevard continues to provide a major access into Washington, D.C. It defines the character for the neighborhoods between the Silver Spring CBD and Montgomery Hills as well as the neighborhoods between the commercial center of Wheaton, Glenmont and Olaey.
- 3. US 29 (Colesville Road and Columbia Pike) This green boulevard serves as a major connection between Baltimore and Washington, D.C. It also provides a first impression for the adjacent neighborhoods. Recent efforts to improve its character include the improvements to Colesville Road through the Four Corners area. Purchase of the WSSC parcel next to Northwest-Branch has already occurred, providing opportunities to augment and reinforce the boulevard character.
- 4. Other Key Sites and Green Boulevards The existing golf course located along New Hampshire Avenue next to the future site of the Food and Drug Administration is one example of a site that should be retained as open space. Connecticut Avenue from Aspen Hill to the District of Columbia is also an important green boulevard.

Regional Parks - There is a long-term need to identify a new regional park to serve future generations that includes active recreation opportunities as well as conservation areas. Although the PROS Plan indicates that countywide recreation needs will be met by current park proposals through the year 2010, a new regional park will be needed after that time. It should be located to serve the area, where the greatest expansion of County population is anticipated.

This plan recommends that a site selection process should be undertaken so that development doesn't preclude optimum site selection. The search process should include the following:

- Identification of high need areas
- Identification of undeveloped sites of 200 acres or more (greater than 350 acres is preferable)
- Evaluation of potential sites with respect to environmental opportunities and constraints
- Identification of suitable land for recreation purposes on the site
- Opportunities for connectivity to other public parkland or private conservation land
- Site constraints such as sewer and water, transportation network, adjacent

conflicting land uses, and potential safety concerns

- Community and user group input on potential sites
- Approved regional park guidelines described in the PROS Plan

ACQUISITION MECHANISMS

Urban Open Spaces - A variety of implementation mechanisms exist. Several of these sites will require purchase by the public. Opportunities to transfer existing publicly owned sites to permanent green space or historic resources status should also be considered if the property was not acquired to protect a significant resource identified by the Legacy Open Space Program. If existing private schools plan to relocate from existing publicly owned sites, these sites should be studied for possible inclusion in the Legacy Open Space Program. The main goal is to retain existing public sites in public ownership, and to preserve local open space and recreation opportunities. Instead of purchase by private organizations, the Legacy Open Space Program would implement a transfer of these sites to the M-NCPPC as leases expire and the school is no longer appropriate for private use. This transfer could be achieved either through direct transfer without cost or as a purchase.

Green Boulevards - The implementing mechanisms include a variety of techniques. Purchase of selected sites along major highways is one mechanism to establish the green boulevard concept in Montgomery County. Establishing regulations for setbacks, access, and landscaping provides another mechanism to improve the green boulevard. Finally, actions within the right-of-way, such as street tree planting and maintenance programs, can also improve green boulevards.

Regional Parks - Direct purchase of land is the parmary strategy to provide an additional regional park. A purchase could also be combined with a gift of land or limited dedication.

OTHER SITES UNDER CONSIDERATION

Appendix D contains a list of sites that will be studied for inclusion in the Legacy Open Space Program. These and other new sites will be considered according to the processes for changing the classification of sites and adding new sites outlined in the previous section (see pages 23-28).

RESOURCE CATEGORY #6 PROTECTION OF URBAN OPEN SPACES¹³

| Urban Open Spaces | OBJECTIVE | SIGNIFICANCE | COMMENTS |
|---|--|--|--|
| Regional Parks | Initiate site search to meet post 2010 need in County. | Provides active and passive open space necessary to serve the expanding population. | More than 200 Acres will be required. Move quickly; otherwise options lost as development encroaches. |
| Parkland in existing neighborhoods 1. Bush Property 2. Sligo Mill Property 3. Wohlfarth Property 4. Clarksburg Triangle 5. Takoma Academy ¹⁴ 6. Maiden Lane Property ¹⁴ | Preserve existing undeveloped parcels in existing neighborhoods. Provide a transition between existing central business districts and adjacent residential neighborhoods. | These open spaces include some of the last remaining undeveloped parcels in the existing neighborhoods. They represent a rare opportunity to retain existing green open spaces. | Include publicly owned sites to be studied as sites are considered surplus. |
| Green Boulevards Highest priority: MD 355 Georgia Avenue US 29 Other priorities: Connecticut Avenue | Create high quality green boulevards with special character along selected major highways. | These boulevards establish the initial impression of Montgomery County. They also serve to maintain and reinforce the existing character of the adjacent neighborhoods. | Primary Methods of Implementation: |

¹³ Appendix D contains a list of sites that will be studied for inclusion in the Legacy Open Space Program. These and other new sites will be considered according to the processes for changing the classification of sites and adding new sites outlined in the previous section (see pages 23-28).

¹⁴ It is expected this property will be purchased through other programs and funding sources. However, Legacy tools including purchase can be used to protect and preserve this property.

FOLIG

• A **''** Exhibit

0018880 708

18ER

g.

1082

DEED

THIS DEED, made this $\frac{\partial O}{\partial O}$ day of December, 2000, by and between WM. JARBOE WOHLFARTH (also known as William Jarboe Wohlfarth), Grantor, and HOUSE OF THE **TEMPLE HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOUNDATION, INC., Grantee.**

WITNESSETH, that in consideration of the sum of \$620,960 the said WM. JARBOE WOHLFARTH, Grantor, does hereby grant, bargain and convey unto HOUSE OF THE TEMPLE HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOUNDATION, INC., Grantee, its successors and assigns, in fee simple, but subject to the reservations below, all that piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the County of Montgomery, State of Maryland, described as follows:

Lot numbered 21, in Block numbered 5 in the subdivision known as "SEC. I-A Chevy Chase" and recorded in Plat Book No. 4, Plat No. 349, one of the land records of Montgomery County, Maryland.

TOGETHER with the building and improvements thereupon erected, r tade or being; and all and every, the rights, alleys, ways, waters, privileges, appu tenances and advantages to the same belonging or in anywise appertaining.

Subject to easements, rights of way, minimum building restriction lines and covenants of record.

RESERVING, HOWEVER, unto the Grantor, and upon Grantor's death prior to December 31, 2009, granting to Mildred Vernalie Rowland or any individual other than Mildred Vernalie Rowland if so specifically appointed otherwise by Grandra his Last Will and Testament ("Rowland") the right to reside in the property earlier of (a) December 31, 2009, or (b) the date of death of the survivor of the or Rowland or (c) the date on which neither Grantor nor Rowland is multipager residing in the property described herein as his or her principal residence Reserved Grantor nor Rowland may sell, convey, or dispose of the property (r and interest therein. Neither Grantor nor Rowland may mortgage the fee simple estate of life remainder interest. Rowland may not devise the property or any interest therein to any person other than Grantor. Grantor, or his personal representative, may record among the land records an instrument of designation evidencing the appointment, pursuant to Grantor's Last Will and Testament, of a person other than Mildred Vernalie Rowland to reside in the property on the terms, conditions and restrictions set forth in this paragraph. APPROVED FOR TRANSFER

Street Address:

5409 Grove Street Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815

MAR 1 2 2001

By unt

G. G.WPT

3,184.88

5 862 28

RCF1 # 69637

818 1 3566 12:40 19

MONT., CO., MD

TRANSFER TAX PAID

BER

FULLE

0018880 709

Parcel Identifier: 7-7-453635

Title Insurer: Security Title Guaranty Coro.

Being part of the property conveyed to Grantor by Deed dated August 16, 1999, and recorded in Liber 17410 at folio 804 among the aforesaid land records.

AND the said Grantor covenants that he will warrant specially the property hereby conveyed; and that he will execute such further assurances of said land as may be requisite.

WITNESS, the hand and seal of the Grantor.

Teef, agth.

STATE OF MARYLAND)) ss: COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY)

On this 20^{4} day of December, 2000, before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared WM. JARBOE WOHLFARTH, known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged that he executed the same for the purposes therein contained.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and official seal.

PORTNO

NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MARYLAN My Commission Expless July 1, 200

My commission expires:

- 2 -

· (BER

FOLIG

0018880 710

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the within instrument was prepared by or under the supervision of the undersigned, an attorney duly admitted to practice before the Court of Appeals of Maryland.

nave 62 for Diane A. Fox

F:\USERS\Daf\wohifarth1A.dee

3.

- 3 -

| 96.2 | (RER | FOLIT | | 1. |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | 9880 711 | | and the second se |
| | 111+ | 3820 /11 | | 100 |
| 5. | Therefore | and Instrument Inta | h- Oh- | |
| | | unit: has igosen | | 10.00 |
| | duranterian presided in the s | as if the Clark's Office, State Dep it, still County Finance Office en | anneand of | 10 |
| | surger at Print in Place Int | Contis - All Constant Marel, Be Li | (p)(+) | 13 |
| Type(s) of Instruments | | att Intake Fertilia Apachett.) Anngaga (Diber | Other | 8 |
| | | 2444 | | |
| Check Box | | Simprovod Sale [Multiple / anu-Length (2) Anni-Lan | | 1 |
| Tax Exemptions (I Applicable) | Recordation N | * 5]# | | -1 |
| or Explain Authorit | | sflipt to Charitan | 4 Organitation | |
| Consideration and Tax Calculations | Conside Fuerbase Price/Consideration | ration Archunt | Financ | a Office Use Only undurine Tax Consist eration |
| | Any New Mortgage | 1 | Transfer Tas Considerat | |
| | Balance of Easting Moriga Others | 4n 3 | X () % | * 1 |
| | A STREET | | Total Tramber Two | + 1 |
| | Othes | 3 | Recordation Tax Carside X []] par 150 | and and a second s |
| | Full Cash Value | 1 620,460 | TOTAL DUE | 1 |
| | Amount of Fem Recording Charge | Die 1 | Dec.1 | Agene |
| Fees | Surcharge | \$ 5 | 1 | Tel Bill |
| | State Recordstion Tax State Transfer Tax | 1 3104.86 | 1 | C3 Ceat |
| | County Transfer Tax | 1 0104.80 | 1 | |
| | Other | | 1 | Ap TakOler 1 |
| | | 19 No. (1) Geomer Liber/Pol | | Panal No. Per. 100 |
| Description of | | the shall many and a straight of the second s | High (20) Feat/ARChe | Plainet 3 d'autorige 14 |
| Property SDAT requires | the second se | 18V4 ChASE 21 | 3 | 47349 |
| submission of all licable information. | 5409 GROVE | ST Chille 1 | roperty Being Conveyed [2] | 15 |
| A massimum of 40 | 5409 ORALL | Property Identifiers (if ipplical | | Water Meter Accession No. |
| haracters will be | Contra | suberts meanines in affeith | | Sequer Prints Ac Sector 198 |
| exed in accordance | | | | |
| the priority clied in | | ideschal 💭 🛛 Fee Simply 🗙 ar | | eil |
| al Property Article | | descial [] Fee Simple and an of 1 | | all |
| al Property Article | Partial Conveyance? [] Yes | Ne Description/Ann of I | icFilAnnage Trakillerind. | |
| i the priority cited in al Property Article ction 3-104(g)(3)() | Partial Conveyance: 🗍 Yu If Partial Conveyance, Lip 1 Bot. 1 - Gra | Ne Description/Ann. of S Ingeniescents Cameral Notes(3) Nation(3) | icFilAnnage Trakillerind. | eldene(x) Neme(x) |
| al Property Article cton 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred | Partial Conveyance" D Yes If Partial Conveyance, Lie 1 Doc. 1 - Gra Doc. 1 - Gra Doc. 1 - Gra | 図Ne Description/Ann. of 1 Ingro-control Clamesoit Netric) Nater(0) しいかがあったら | GFILAINAGE Transformed Disc 1 - G | esator(s) Neme(s) |
| al Property Article cton 3-104(g)(3)(). | Partial Conveyance" D Yes If Partial Conveyance, Lie 1 Doc. 1 - Gra Doc. 1 - Gra Doc. 1 - Gra | Ne Description/Ann. of S Ingeniescents Cameral Notes(3) Nation(3) | GFILAINAGE Transformed Disc 1 - G | |
| al Property Article cton 3-104(g)(3)(). Transferred Prom | Partial Conveyance? If Partial Conveyance. Lie 1 Doc. 1 - Gra Doc. 1 Owner(s) of liener Doc. 1 - Gra | Ne Decomplications of the second | Doc. 3 Ownerss of Ben | esator(s) Neme(s) |
| al Property Article don 3-104(g)(3)(j). Transferred | Partial Conveyance" If Partial Conveyance Lip 1 Boc. 1 - Gra Wrs. JG / Kot. Boc. 1 Owner(s) of Second Boc. 1 - Gra Hola 52. of Yike | Description/Ann. of 1 Improvements Conversel marris (Norma) (A) (A) (Tracetta 4, 8 Dotterent Inya Geometry) mierto) Norme(a) Theory (A, 14) 270 (A) 2- | Doc. 3 Ownerss of Ben | eanter(s) Neme(s) and, if Different from Greater(s) |
| al Property Article (ton 3-104(g)(3)()) Transferred Prom | Partial Conveyance" The If Partial Conveyance List Boc. 1 - Gra Wrs. JG / Kot. Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Second Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Second Doc. 1 - Gra House St. of Mic. PSI St. & Va Them | Ne Decorption/Ann. of 3 Improvements Conversed matrix: Normaly (a).(b).fr8./f4 (b).(b).fr8./f4 (c).(c).fr8./f4 (c).(c).fr8./f4 (c).conversed (c).conversed (c).conversed (c).conversed Nor Overs's (Crevents) | Day, 3 Owners) of Ser. Day, 3 Owners) of Ser. Dec. 3 Owners) of Ser. Dec. 3 - 0 | eansar(s) Nume(s) and, if Different from Grantor(s) cunter(s) Name(s) |
| al Property Article tion 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred From Transferred To | Partial Conveyance? I You If Partial Conveyance List Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Jacob Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Hnis SL of Yke PSESERVA Than 1733 Ibys. S | Ne Decorption/Ann. of 3 Improvements Conversed matrix: Normalia (A) (A) Article (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) | Day 3 Contartist of Ser Day 3 - G Day 3 Contartist of Ser Dec. 3 - G Herri Mutting Address Tow, T.C. J. (2000 | exetar(s) Name(s) red, if Different from Granter(s) ranter(s) Name(s) 9 - 3103 |
| al Property Article from 3-104(g)(3)(). Transferred Prom Transferred | Partial Conveyance? I You If Partial Conveyance List Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Jacob Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Hnis SL of Yke PSESERVA Than 1733 Ibys. S | Ne Decorption/Ann. of 3 Improvements Conversed matrix: Normaly (a).(b).fr8./f4 (b).(b).fr8./f4 (c).(c).fr8./f4 (c).(c).fr8./f4 (c).conversed (c).conversed (c).conversed (c).conversed Nor Overs's (Crevents) | Day 3 Contartist of Ser Day 3 - G Day 3 Contartist of Ser Dec. 3 - G Herri Mutting Address Tow, T.C. J. (2000 | eansar(s) Nume(s) and, if Different from Grantor(s) cunter(s) Name(s) |
| al Property Article tion 3-104(g)(3)(). Transferred From Tografiernal To Other Namus | Partial Conveyance? If Partial Conveyance: List Doc. 1 - Gra Doc. 1 - Gra Hold S2 Doc. 1 - Gra Hold S2 Hold S2 Hol | ENe Decomption/Ann of 1 Improvements Converse matrix) Normaly (A) (th frid-Ha 4, 8 Different Inge Gessiors) metrix) Normaly Theory I (A) District Normaly Theory I (A) Nor Overs's (Gra Y: A)A) [] (a, 5h, vig tes to be Indeplet (Optional) | Doc. 3 Owners and Ben Doc. 3 Owners and Ben Doc. 3 Owners and Ben Doc. 3 + 0 Her. 3 + 0 Doc. 3 - Address Doc. 3 - Address N | exetar(s) Name(s) red, if Different from Granter(s) ranter(s) Name(s) 9 - 3103 |
| al Property Article transferred From Transferred From Tografiernell To Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail | Partial Conveyance 1 To If Partial Conveyance Lip 1 Boc. 1 - Gro Wrs. Jar Kot. Boc. 1 Owner(s) of Second Boc. 1 Owner(s) of Second Hold S2. of Yes. Pres 52 & VA Tibre 17 33. 16 vA. S Boc. 1 - Additional Name Letterom Name: D(Gro)/ FR | Ne Decorption/Ann. of 3 Improvements Conversed matrix: Normalia (A) (A) Article (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (| Doc. 3 Owners and Ben Doc. 3 Owners and Ben Doc. 3 Owners and Ben Doc. 3 + 0 Her. 3 + 0 Doc. 3 - Address Doc. 3 - Address N | exertar(s) Name(s) int, if Different from Granter(s) renter(s) Name(s) 9 - 3103 inter to be Indexet (Optional) Different in Contact Person |
| al Property Article from 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred Prom Tognaternal To Other Names to Be Indexed | Partial Conveyance? The If Partial Conveyance List Boc. 1-Gro Wrs. JG / Kot. Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Error Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Error Hold, SJ, OF, Yke, PSE STAVA Then 17.33 10-r4, S Doc. 1 - Additional Nam Instrum Manue: Di Gro JJ R From PolyLay, Ca | Kine Decomption/Ann. of 1 Improvements Conversal manufact Norman Conversal manufactory Conversal Monternal Input Constants K Information Theorem Input Constants Theorem Information Theorem Theorem Information Theorem Information Theorem Information Theorem Information Theorem Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 - O Her, 3 - O Her, 3 - O Day, 7 - O Duc, 3 - Addisional N Duc, 3 - Addisional N | exettor(s) Name(s) and, if Different from Granter(s) exeter(s) Name(s 9 - 3103 annes to be Indexed (Optional) |
| al Property Article transferred From Transferred From Tografiernell To Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail | Partial Conveyance 1 Two If Partial Conveyance List Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Error Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Error Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Error Hold, S2, of Yke, PSE S2 & V& Then 17,33, 10 +4, S Doc. 1 - Additional Nam Institute Name: D1 GrobJ R From: PALLAR, CA Address: 4 S0 O, H, 5 17 4370 A, MD, S | KINE Decomption/Ann. of 3 Improvements Conversel maser(s) Normaly (A) (A Ara/44 (A) (A Ar | Disc. 3 Owners and Ben Disc. 3 Owners and Ben Disc. 3 Owners and Ben Disc. 3 Owners Disc. 3 - Owners Disc. 3 - Owners Disc. 3 - Address Disc. 3 - Owner Disc. 3 - Owne | exercar(s) Name(s) and, if Different from Granter(s) restar(s) Name(s) 9 - 3103 ames to be Indexed (Optional) D Resum to Contact Person N Hold for Pickup Return Address Provided |
| al Property Article tron 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred From Tografiernell To Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail | Partial Conveyance 1 Two If Partial Conveyance List Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Error Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Error Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Error Doc. 1 - Gro Hola S2 Of Yke. PCL S2. 2. JA Thom 17.3.3 Ilevel. S Doc. 1 - Additional Nam Institute Name: Dr. Groß, J. C. Address: H.S. O. H. LISTALIDA, M. S. 11 EMPORTANT: BOTH | KINE Description/Ann. of 3 Improvements Conversel matrix: Normalia Logith Frances K Defferent Impa Graniter(s) Therefile History Therefile Therefile History Therefile Th | Disc. 3 Owners and Ben Disc. 3 Owners and Ben Disc. 3 Owners and Ben Disc. 3 Owners and Ben Disc. 3 - O Ben. 3 - O Ben. 3 - O Disc. 3 - Address Disc. 3 - O Disc. 3 - O | exectar(s) Name(s) red, if Different from Granter(s) renter(s) Name(s) (9 - 3103 mes to be Indexed (Optional) Different in Contact Person N Hold for Pickup Return Address Provided COMPANY EACH TRANSFER |
| al Property Article transferred From Transferred From Tografiernell To Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail | Partial Conveyance 1 Two If Partial Conveyance Lip 1 Boc. 1 - Gro Wrs. JQ / Kot. Boc. 1 Owner(s) of Emain Boc. 1 Owner(s) of Emain Boc. 1 - Gro Hola. S.L. of Yike. PCC. SZ. & JA Tibre 17:33 Illovik. S Boc. 1 - Additional Nam Institute Name: Da Gro JI FR From: PALLoc. C. Address: HS 0.0 H. http://JSDA_ACS S 11 IMPORTANT: 6076 Assessment Yes N | KINE Decomption/Ann. of 3 Improvements Conversel maser(s) Normaly (A) (A Ara/44 (A) (A Ar | Day 2 Owners of Ben Day 2 Owners of Ben Day 2 Owners of Ben Dec 3 Owners of Ben Dec 3 - O Ben 3 - O Ben 3 - O Ben 3 - O Dec 3 - Addisional N Duc 3 - Addisional N | exenter(s) Neme(s) and, if Different from Granter(s) reater(s) Neme(s) P = 3103 ense to be Indexed (Optional) Become in Contact Person N Hold for Pickup Return Address Provided COMPANY EAC II TRANSPER forded residence (|
| al Property Article tion 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred From Tografiernell To Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail | Partial Conveyance () Yes If Partial Conveyance () Boc. 1-Gro Doc. 1-Gro Doc. 1-Gro Doc. 1-Gro Doc. 1-Gro Doc. 1-Gro Doc. 1-Gro HDLL S2. Of Yes. PCC S2.2.40 Them Internet () Yes Name: D1 Gro J1 Form: PCL Loc. () Address: H S OD H. LIMPORTANT: 607H Assessment () Yes Yes (| KINE Decomption/Ann. of 3 Improvements Conversal montist Normania Information Conversal montist Normania Information Information Theorem Theorem Information Theorem | Day 2 Owners of Ben Day 2 Owners of Ben Day 2 Owners of Ben Dec 3 Owners of Ben Dec 3 - O Ben 3 - O Ben 3 - O Ben 3 - O Duc 3 - Additional N Duc 3 - Additional N | exenter(s) Neme(s) ind, if Different from Granter(s) rester(s) Neme(s) 9 - 3103 mass to be Indexed (Optional) Discours in Contact Person M Hold for Pickup Return Address Provided COMPANY EACH TRANSPER includ residence (y |
| al Property Article transferred From Transferred From Tografiernell To Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail | Partial Conveyance 1 Two If Partial Conveyance Lip1 Boc. 1 - Gro Wrs. JG / KoL Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Economic Doc. 1 - Gro Hold, S.L. Of Mike PCC SLAVA Then 17.3.3 ILevel. S Boc. 1 - Additional New Institute Name: Di Gro JJ R Frem: Pol Loc. Con Institute Name: Di Gro JJ Rem: Pol Loc. Con Name: Di Gro JJ Rem: Di Gro JJ | Kine Decomption/Ann. of 3 Improvements Conversal manufally Normaly Lingth France K Defferent trys Granter(s) more Denoral (Con Nor Nor Denoral (Con Nor Nor Nor Denoral (Con No Nor Nor Nor No No | Bisc 3 Ownerial of line PLUGA | exerciser(s) Nume(s) and, if Different from Grantur(s) resister(s) Neme(s) 9 - 3103 ames to be Induced (Optional) Assure to Contact Person Address Provided COMPANY EAC II TRANSPER for Jud resorded, ny copy required). |
| al Property Article tron 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred From Tografiernell To Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail | Partial Conveyance 1 Vie If Partial Conveyance Lip 1 Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro HDLA S2. Of Yea PCC S2 & VA TIDM 17 3 3 16 VA S Doc. 1 - Additional Nam Institute Name: D1 Gro J1 FR From: PALLAC, CA Address: H S OD H Address: H S OD H Address: H S OD H Address: H S OD H Information Yes D C Tremed Verication Type D | Kine Decomption/Ann. of 1 Improvements Conversal manufact Norman Conversal manufact Norman Conversal Market | Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 | exenter(s) Neme(s) ind, if Different from Granter(s) rester(s) Neme(s) 9 - 3103 mass to be Indexed (Optional) Discours in Contact Person M Hold for Pickup Return Address Provided COMPANY EACH TRANSPER includ residence (y |
| al Property Article tion 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred From Tografiernell To Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail | Partial Conveyance 1 Two If Partial Conveyance Lip 1 Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Owner(s) of Einstein Doc. 1 - Owner(s) of Einstein Doc. 1 - Gro Hola, S.L., Of Mike, PCC, SLA, VA Then 17, 3, 3, 1 - Additional Name Name: Da Gro J. Bio:, 1 - Additional Name Name: Da Gro J. Frem: PALLac, C. Address: 4, 9, 0, 0, 14 Einstein Name: Da Gro J. Frem: PALLac, C. Address: 4, 9, 0, 0, 14 Einstein Name: Da Gro J. Frem: PALLac, C. Address: 4, 9, 0, 0, 14 Einstein Name: Da Gro J. Frem: PALLac, C. Address: 4, 9, 0, 0, 14 Einstein New New Yorkstein Based Streets (New | ENe Decomption/Age, of 1 Improvements Conversal munist Normals Long Action A Deferrent Input Graniser(s) Theorem Input Graniser(s) Theorem Input Graniser(s) Theorem Internation Salimitated By or Constant P A Diff. (2014) Theorem Internation Salimitated By or Constant P Salimitate By or Constantee By or Constant P Salimitate By or Constantee By or C | Day, 2 Owners and Ben Day, 2 Owners and Ben Day, 2 Owners and Ben Day, 2 Owners and Ben Day, 2 Owners Day, 2 O 2, 000 Duc, 3 - Addisional N Duc, 3 - O 2, 000 Duc, 3 - | anniar(a) Name(a) and, if Different from Grantur(a) anniar(a) Name(a) anniar(a) Name(a) () - 3103 () - 3 |
| A Property Article tion 3-104(g)(3)(). Transferred From Transferred To Deter Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail Information | Partial Conveyance 1 Two If Partial Conveyance List Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Error Doc. 1 Owner(s) of Error Hold, S2, OF YKe, PCE S2 & VA Then 17 3.3 ILevel, S Doc. 1 - Additional Name Name: D1 Group A S Information Teo D Later A Sector Net State A S Information Teo D Later A Sector Name Name: D1 Group A S Information Teo D | Kine Decomption/Ann. of 1 Improvements Converse manual Systems Submitted By an Contact P A JAJ JA Straff, New Owner's (Cram New Owner's (Cram | Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 7 O. 2, 0 Oc. Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N PL-VIA Duc, 3 - Additional N Plot, 2 - Additional N Plot, 3 - OT 2 Co Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - OT 2 Co Duc, 3 - OT 2 Co Not New Diversion Difference Diversion Diversion Difference Diversion Difference Diversion Difference Diversion Diversion Difference Diversion Diversion Divers | exerciser(a) Nume(a) and, if Different from Grantur(a) resister(a) Nume(a) 9 3103 annual to be Induced (Optional) Differential Contact Person Contract Person Angred residence (residence (residence (residence (|
| N Property Article ton 3-104(g)(3)(). Transferred Prom Transferred To Diver Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail Information | Partial Conveyance 1 Vie If Partial Conveyance Lip 1 Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Owner(s) of Stream Doc. 1 - Gro Hold, S.L. Of Yeld, Proj. St. A. A. S. Doc. 1 - Additional Nam Instrum Manne: Di GebJI R Frem: Pol. Log. Co H. I. St. S. A. A. S. Doc. 1 - Additional Nam Instrum Manne: Di GebJI R Frem: Pol. Log. Co H. I. IMPORTANT: 8078 Assessment Yes S To S. C. Terrard Vertication Bacteric Vertication Doc. 1 - St. S. | Kine Decomption/Ann. of 1 Improvements Conversal manufal Normal Information Information Information Information Therease Information Therease Information The Order's (Converse) Information Information | Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 7 O. 2, 000 Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N Conveyed by the grantact pro- conveyed by the grantact pro- pro- pro- pro- Pacet by the grantact pro- pro- pro- Pacet by the grantact pro- pr | anniar(s) Name(s) and, if Different from Granter(s) annes to be Indexed (Optional) Basers in be Indexed (Optional) Basers in Contact Person Annes in Contact Person Company End for Pickup Basers Provided Company End Internet (r () () () () () () () () () |
| al Property Article tion 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred From Transferred From Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail Information | Partial Conveyance 1 Tes If Partial Conveyance Lip 1 Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Owner(s) of Series Boc. 1 - Owner(s) of Series Boc. 1 - Owner(s) of Series Hold S.2. Of Yes. Proc. 52.2. Vol. Then 17.3.3. 16 vr | Kine Decomption/Ann. of 1 Improvements Conversal manufal Normal Information Information Information Information Therease Information Therease Information The Order's (Converse) Information Information | Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 7 O. 2, 000 Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N Conveyed by the grantact pro- conveyed by the grantact pro- pro- pro- pro- Pacet by the grantact pro- pro- pro- Pacet by the grantact pro- pr | anniar(s) Name(s) and, if Different from Granter(s) annes to be Indexed (Optional) Basers in be Indexed (Optional) Basers in Contact Person Annes in Contact Person Company End for Pickup Basers Provided Company End Internet (r () () () () () () () () () |
| Al Property Article ction 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred From Transferred From Transferred To Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail Information | Partial Conveyance 1 Tes If Partial Conveyance Lip 1 Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Owner(s) of Series Boc. 1 - Owner(s) of Series Boc. 1 - Owner(s) of Series Hold S.2. Of Yes. Proc. 52.2. Via Them 17.3.3. 16 vr | Kine Decomption/Ann. of 1 Improvements Conversal manufal Normal Information Information Information Information Therease Information Therease Information The Order's (Converse) Information Information | Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 7 O. 2, 0 Oc. Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N PL-VIA Duc, 3 - Additional N Plot, 2 - Additional N Plot, 3 - OT 2 Co Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - OT 2 Co Duc, 3 - OT 2 Co Not New Diversion Difference Diversion Diversion Difference Diversion Difference Diversion Difference Diversion Diversion Difference Diversion Diversion Divers | anniar(s) Name(s) and, if Different from Granter(s) annes to be Indexed (Optional) Basers in be Indexed (Optional) Basers in Contact Person Annes in Contact Person Company End for Pickup Basers Provided Company End Internet (r () () () () () () () () () |
| Al Property Article tion 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred From Transferred From Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail Information | Partial Conveyance 1 Tes If Partial Conveyance Lip 1 Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Owner(s) of Series Boc. 1 - Owner(s) of Series Boc. 1 - Owner(s) of Series Hold S.2. Of Yes. Proc. 52.2. Via Them 17.3.3. 16 vr | Kine Decomption/Ann. of 1 Improvements Conversal manufal Normal Information Information Information Information Therease Information Therease Information The Order's (Converse) Information Information | Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 7 O. 2, 000 Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N Conveyed by the grantact pro- conveyed by the grantact pro- pro- pro- pro- Pacet by the grantact pro- pro- pro- Pacet by the grantact pro- pr | Asertar(s) Name(s) red, if Different from Granter(s) reater(s) Name(s) P - 3103 rents to be Indexed (Optional) Basters in Contact Person Analysis fields rents of the Pickup Return Address Provided COMPANY EAC II TRANSPER includ rendence y (C) Tran Process Nettigner Analysis Fields (C) Tran Process Nettigner (C) Tran Process (C) Tr |
| Al Property Article tion 3-104(g)(3)(i). Transferred From Transferred From Other Names to Be Indexed Contact/Mail Information | Partial Conveyance I Yes If Partial Conveyance Lip Boc. 1 - Gro Doc. 1 - Gro Hola S2. of Yes. Press 2 & VA Tism 17 33 6 +4. S Doc. 1 - Additional Nam Institute Name: Di Gro JI Firm: Pail Loc. Co Address: H S OD H Address: H S OD H String 170 A Address: H S OD H String 170 A Address: H S OD H String 170 A Address: H S OD H Information Yes D String 10 Information I Yes D String 10 Information I Yes D Information I Yes D Informatio I Y | Kine Decomption/Ann. of 3 Improvements Converse manual systems All of Articles K Defferent trys Grinter(s) There is Anti-Ann. K Defferent trys Grinter(s) The Defferent trys Sale of the Defference of the Definition The ORIGENAL DEED AND No Will the perperty being No Decentrumber include per No Decentrumber of the Orly - D Assessment the Orly - D Assessment the Orly - D Sale framework No The Orly - D Town to Town | Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 2 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 3 Ownershield Day, 7 O. 2, 000 Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N PLUGA Duc, 3 - Additional N Conveyed by the grantact pro- conveyed by the grantact pro- pro- pro- pro- Pacet by the grantact pro- pro- pro- Pacet by the grantact pro- pr | anniar(s) Name(s) and, if Different from Granter(s) annes to be Indexed (Optional) Basers in be Indexed (Optional) Basers in Contact Person Annes in Contact Person Company End for Pickup Basers Provided Company End Internet (r () () () () () () () () () |

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

OPTION CONTRACT

Legacy Open Space PDF No. 018710

ľ

(Chevy Chase Open Space)

GENERAL INFORMATION AND NOTICE

Montgomery County Code, 1994, Chapter 11B, Article XII, and Chapter 19A, Article III require that:

- A. Any public employee who has or obtains any benefit from any contract with any person transacting business with Montgomery County, Maryland, a body politic (the "County") in which the public employee has an interest, financial or otherwise, must report such benefit to the Montgomery County Ethics Commission. In the event that the public employee knows or should have known of such benefit, failure to report the benefit to the Ethics Commission shall be a violation of the ethical standards contained in the Montgomery County Code. This provision does not apply to a contract with a business entity where the employee's interest in the business has been placed in an independently managed trust.
- B. It is unlawful for any person to offer, give or agree to give to any public or former public employee, or for any public or former public employee to solicit, demand, accept or agree to accept from another person, gifts for or because of: (1) an official public action taken, or to be taken, or which could be taken; (2) a legal duty performed or to be performed, or which could be performed; or (3) a legal duty violated or to be violated, or which could be violated by such public or former public employee. The Granter (defined below) hereby represents that he or she has not retained anyone to solicit or secure the contract from the County upon an agreement or understanding for a commission, percentage, brokerage or contingent fee, except for bona fide employees or bona fide established commercial selling agencies retained by Granter for the purpose of securing business, or an attorney rendering professional legal services consistent with applicable canons of ethics.
- C. Unless authorized by law or the Ethics Commission under Section 19A of the County Code, it is unlawful for any person transacting business with the County to employ a public employee for employment contemporaneous with his or her public employment, if the duties of the public employee include significant participation in the procurement matter.

Article 1. NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the sum of One Dollar (\$1.00), the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, "Grantor", whose legal name and mailing address is:

NAME: HOUSE OF THE TEMPLE HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOUNDATION, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE WILLIAM JARBOE WOHLFARTH CHARITABLE REMAINDER TRUST,

ADDRESS: 1733 16th Street, NW Washington, DC 20009-3013

ł

and the County hereby agree as follows: Grantor grants and conveys to the County, the exclusive right and option to purchase (hereinafter the "Option") the Property (hereinafter defined) for the total sum of Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000.00). The Property is all of the Lots described below, together with all improvements thereon, appurlenances thereto, and rights associated therewith (collectively, the "Property").

Said Property being described as Lots numbered 4,5,6, 7, 8, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 (each individually, a "Lot", or collectively the "Lots") in Block numbered 5 in the subdivision known as Sec. I-A Chevy Chase, more particularly shown on plat recorded in PB4 at Plat No. 349 in the Land Records for Montgomery County, Maryland.

Upon "Acceptance" (as hereafter defined), this Option shall be irrevocable, except as set forth herein, until July 31, 2003. The Option shall be deemed accepted and become a valid and binding contract of sale between the parties hereto as of the date the County Executive issues an Executive Order exercising this Option and accepting the terms hereof on behalf of the County ("Acceptance"). Acceptance must occur on or before thirty (30) days of the date Grantor signs this Option. If Acceptance does not occur by that date, this Option shall be null and void,

Article 2. Upon Acceptance, Grantor and the County hereby agree as follows:

(1) <u>Intentionally Deleted.</u>

(2) <u>Title</u>. Upon Acceptance, thirty (30) days shall be allowed for the examination of the title to the Property by the County (the "Study Period"). If the County has any objections to the state of the Property, then the County shall, within fifteen (15) days following the expiration of the Study Period, notify Grantor of such objection(s). If the County does not object to any such title defect during such fifteen (15) day period, then such title defect will be deemed waived and the parties will proceed to settlement. If, however, the County does object to title within such period, then Grantor shall have the privilege, but not the obligation, to remove or satisfy the title defect within ninety (90) days after notice. If the Grantor elects not to or is unable to remove or satisfy such title defect within such ninety (90) day period, the County's sole option shall be to either: (i) terminate this Option, or (ii) waive the defect and proceed to settlement hereunder. In no event shall Grantor be liable to the County at law or in equity on account of any title defects not remedied. Title to the Property shall be good and marketable and free of liens and encumbrances except for liens or encumbrances the County has agreed in writing to accept or which the County has been deemed to waive pursuant to this

Section (the "Permitted Exceptions"). The County expressly acknowledges that it is acquiring Lot 21 subject to that certain estate for years described in the Deed dated December 20, 2000 and recorded in the Land Records for Montgomery County, Maryland in Liber 18880 at Folio 708 (the "Estate for Years"). The County shall propare and furnish the deeds conveying fee simple title to the Property and any improvements located thereon to the County. The deeds shall be executed and delivered by Grantor to the County by the time of settlement(s) as described hereunder. The Property is to be conveyed by special warranty deed, free and clear of all liens and encumbrances; subject only to Permitted Exceptions, and as to Lot 21, the Estate for Years. The Property shall also be conveyed to the County free of notices of violations of any municipal or government orders and requirements, unless the County consents thereto in writing, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld. If the cost to comply with violation notices exceeds Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00), the Grantor may elect to cancel this Option. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the County may elect to waive non-compliance and proceed to Settlement. At settlement the Grantor shall deliver a bill of sale for the personal property and fixtures (excluding any and all personal property and fixtures contained in the house on Lot 21) described in Exhibit "A", without any representations and/or warranties. Any personal property and fixtures shall be conveyed free of liens and encumbrances.

(3) Adjustments at Settlement.

÷

- (a.) Except with respect to Lot 21, electricity, netural gas, water, sewer, all other utility company charges, and solid waste system benefit charges (collectively, the "Utilities") shall be pro-rated to the date of Settlement as each Lot settles and is conveyed to the County. With respect to Lot 21, the Utilities shall be paid by the Grantor. When Lot 21 is permanently vacated, by M.Idred Vemalie Rowland the Utilities shall be pro-rated to the date Lot 21 was permanently vacated, and the County shall bear the future costs of Utilities.
- (b.) Taxes and assessments, general and special, are to be adjusted to the date of Settlement for each of the Lots, according to the County's real estate tax assessment account for the Property issued by the County's "Tax System - Real Property Current Assessment" Status." If taxes or assessments are imposed on Lot 21 due to the Estate for Years for Lot 21, the Grantor shall bear the costs of any such tax or assessment. County transfer tax and State stamps are not payable, except for farm assessment transfer taxes, which shall be paid by the Grantor. If there are assessments for improvements on the Property that were completed prior to Settlement and the improvements are being acquired hereunder, whether the assessments have been levied or not, they shall be paid by Grantor or deducted from the purchase price at Settlement. Outstanding front foot benefit charges of the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission that become due and payable shall be adjusted to the date of Settlement and paid by Grantor at Settlement. Payments thereafter shall be assumed by the County.

- (c.) Grantor shall pay all costs for preparation, execution, and recordation of all releases and other documents required to remove all existing liens and encumbrances on the Property, except for the Permitted Exceptions.
- (d.) Costs of the title search and survey, if any, and preparation and recordation of the deeds conveying the Property shall be assumed by the County.

<u>Risk of Loss</u>. Risk of loss or damage to each Lo. by fire or other casualty (4)is assumed by Grantor until Settlement and recordation of the deed conveying such Lot to the County. Ms. Rowland, the occupant of Lot 21 pursuant to the Estate for Years shall bear the risk of loss or damage to herself and her personal property as well as any personal property that belongs to the Estate of William Jarboe Wohlfarth due to fire or other casualty until the Estate for Years is terminated and possession of Lot 21 is delivered to the County. Grantor shall maintain appropriate and customary insurance coverages on each Lot until such Lot is conveyed at Settlement. Following Settlement on Lot 21, the County will provide insurance through its self-insurance fund or otherwise for the improvements on Lot 21. Prio: to Settlement, if an insured loss occurs to the improvements on Lot 21 during Ms. Rowland's occupancy, Grantor agrees that it will use the insurance proceeds, provided that they are adequate to do so, to restore the damage to such improvements. If Grantor does not use the insurance proceeds to restore the improvements, then it shall deliver the proceeds to the County at Settlement. If an insured loss occurs to the improvements on Lot 21 after Settlement and during Ms. Rowland's occupancy, the County agrees that it will use the insurance proceeds, provided that they are adequate to do so, to restore the damage to such improvements.

(5) <u>Settlement</u>. Settlement hereunder shall be conducted at the Office of the County Attorney, Rockville, Maryland, or at such other place or offices in Montgomery County as the County may select. Settlement for the following Lots, all of which are located in Block 5 in the subdivision known as "SEC. I-A Chevy Chase" and recorded in Plat Book No. 4, Plat No. 349, shall occur on or before the following dates:

- (A) Lots 4,5, 6, 7, 8 and 24 shall be sold for THIREE MILLION DOLLARS (\$3,000,000.00) within forty-five (45) days following Acceptance (or such longer period of time as may be necessary if the County objects to title within the period set forth in Section 2(2) above, and Grantor thereafter attempts to satisfy any title defects;
- (B) Lots 20, 21, 22 and 23 shall be sold for TWO MILLION DOLLARS (\$2,000,000.00), plus a payment of Eighty Thousand Dollars (\$80,000.00), representing interest on such purchase price at four percent (4%) for one year, by July 31, 2003; provided however, that if Grantor files a claim for reimbursement of transfer taxes imposed upon its acquisition of the Property, and is successful in such claim for reimbursement, the interest under this section shall be three percent (3%) and Grantor shall promptly

repay to the County the sum of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,0000.00).

The executed deed(s) and such other documents as are reasonably required by the settlement attorney or the County's title company in conjunction with the conveyance of the Lots shall be provided by Grantor at its own expense and Grantor represents to the County and warrants that it is empowered with the legal authority to execute this Option and carry out the obligations of the Grantor hereunder. The County may use any or all of the funds from the purchase proceeds otherwise due Grantor to pay off existing encumbrances on the Property other than the Permitted Exceptions. Proceeds payable to Grantor shall be in the form of a County check or wire transfer, at the election of the County. Failure of Grantor to settle hereunder shall give the County the right to pursue an action for specific performance. Alternatively, the County may file a condemnation action and just compensation is stipulated to be the purchase price provided in this Option for the Property. The County shall not be entitled to seek or recover damages from the Grantor, at law or in equity, for any breach or default under this Option.

Possession. Except for Lot 21 which the County agrees may be (6)occupied by Mildred Vernalie Rowland until the later to occur of the 1) expiration of the Estate for Years or, 2) until Ms. Rowland permanently vacates Lot 21 (at which time Lot 21 will be in the sole possession of the County in the event the County has purchased Lot 21), the Grantor shall deliver possession of the Property to the County free of any tenants, occupants, and rights of tenants or occupants to possess or occupy the Property as of the date of Settlement. As of the date of Settlement, there will not be any tenancies affecting the Property except as provided in the Estate for Years encumbering Lot 21. In the event that the Grantor fails to deliver possession of the Property free of any tenancy, other than the tenancy of Ms. Rowland on Lot 21 as described in the Estate for Years and this Section, the County, in its sole discretion, may elect to pursue the remedies provided to it in the preceding paragraph. Any payments required to be paid by the County to terminate any tenancy of the Property may at the County's discretion be deducted from the purchase price. The Grantor represents and warrants that there are no security deposits or prepaid rents with respect to the Property, or any portion thereof.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the County agrees, except in emergency situations or to maintain the Property if the Property is not being maintained to its current level of maintenance and Grantor fails to cure as set forth below and the County believes such failure, if unremedied could lead to liability to the County or the creation of an emergency or dangerous situation, not to enter the Property and to leave the Property in its natural state (all lots, even those on which Settlement has occurred) until the later of the expiration of the Estate for Years or until Ms. Rowland has vacated Lot 21. The County agrees that Mildred Vernalie Rowland may freely access the Property and shall have quiet enjoyment of the Property (subject to the provisions of this Option) until the later to occur of the expiration of the Estate for Years or until Ms. Rowland has permanently vacated Lot 21. In the event that a violation of this Option occurs with respect to the Property, the County will give Grantor written notice of such event, and unless the exigencies require a more prompt remedy, Grantors will have thirty (30) days to remedy the violation of this paragraph of the Option as it relates to the Property. In the

event of emergency repairs or maintenance that requires more prompt action, the County shall give oral notice to Grantor by calling Grantor at (202)232-3579. Nothing herein shall be construed to require notice from the County of any exercise of its police powers.

Grantor agrees to hold the County harmless from and against any claims by any tenants or occupants for any matters arising out of the tenancy or occupancy of the property, including but not limited to claims for landlord defaults, return of security deposits or prepaid rents unless such sums have been assigned and delivered to the County.

(7) <u>No Merging of Terms</u>. The representations and warranties contained in this Option shall not be merged in any deed, but shall survive the conveyance of the Property and be fully binding for a period of one (1) year from the date of Settlement on any particular Lot.

(8) <u>Entire Agreement.</u> This Option represents the entire agreement between the parties hereto and neither oral representations nor anything not herein contained shall be binding on said parties in connection with this Option.

(9) <u>Environmental Conditions</u>.

- Grantor represents and warrants to the County that, to Grantor's (a.) actual knowledge, Grantor has not disposed of on the Property any hazardous or toxic wastes or substances regulated by applicable federal, State, or local governmental bodies; Grantor has no actual knowledge of any use of the Property nor portion thereof to dispose of or store Hazardous Materials; Grantor has not disposed of any Hazardous Materials, which materials originated from the Property, except as provided for by law and at a site or facility duly approved by appropriate regulatory agencies for such disposal; and there are no underground storage tanks ("USTs") on the Property. The costs for the removal of any Hazardous Materials or UST's, present on the Property prior to the date of Settlement (without regard to when such materials or UST may be discovered) along with all associated remediation costs, shall be the responsibility of Grantor, unless a third party is identified and found responsible (as the "responsible party") for such removal and/or remediation.
- (b.) Prior to Settlement, the County may have an Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) prepared for the Property to determine the presence of USTs and Hazardous Materials in excess of applicable health and safety standards. If the ESA reveals there are no USTs and no "action levels" of Hazardous Materials on the Property, Grantor and the County shall proceed to Settlement under the terms contained herein. If the ESA reveals levels of Hazardous Materials that require regulatory action or any USTs are found on the Property, Grantor shall either: (a.) take prompt action as necessary to expeditiously remove such tanks and remediate all such Hazardous Materials and, further, shall promptly provide the

÷

County with copies of all documentation related thereto, including, but not limited to, reports required to verify the cleanup or other remediation of the Property; or (b.) agree to a lesser price to be paid for the Property, based on the estimated cost of the removal and/or remediation work reported by the County's environmental consultant and proceed to Settlement under the terms contained herein. Grantor may elect to cancel this Option if the estimated cost of the removal/remediation work exceeds ten percent (10%) of the purchase price of any Lot so affected or subject to contamination. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the County may elect to withdraw from and cancel this Option with no further liability to Grantor hereunder if, in the County's sole opinion, the reported contamination of Hazardous Materials could cause an undue delay in the acquisition of the Property or the reported contamination is perceived to create such an adverse affect or "stigma" on the Property that public owners hip thereof is deemed unsuitable.

Representations and Warranties. Grantor represents and warrants to the (10)County that to Grantor's knowledge: (a.) Grantor owns the entire Property in fee simple absolute, subject only to those matters of record which would be disclosed by a title examination for the Property and Grantor has full and exclusive power to sell same; (b.) Grantor has not and will not, during the term of this Option, dispose of the Property or any interest therein; (c.) except for the Estate for Years described above, Grantor has not and will not, during the term of this Option, encumber or lease the Property without the express written consent of the County; (d.) Grantor, prior to Settlement, shall pay and, as of the date of Settlement, shall have paid all applicable charges against the Property as they have come due; (e.) there are not now, nor will there be at the time of Settlement, any tenancies or occupancies affecting the Property except those of Grantor and its invitees, and the Estate for Years, which tenancies and occupancies shall, other than the Estate for Years, be terminated by the date of Settlement; (f.) the Property, at the time of Settlement, will be materially in the same condition as it was in on the date of this Option, ordinary wear and tear excepted; (g.) except as provided in Article 2, Section 2 of this Option, the Property shall be free and clear of violations and notices of violations of government orders and requirements, including orders and requirements of the County issued in the exercise of its police powers; and (h.) Grantor has the authority to enter into this Optior, the person executing this Option on behalf of Grantor has the authority to do so, and this executed and accepted Option shall constitute a binding contract enforceable against Grantor in a court of law.

(11) The County represents and warrants that to the County's knowledge: (a) the County has inspected the Property and is familiar with the physical and environmental condition of the Property and its zoning and development status; and (b) the County will not request annexation of the Property to Chevy Chase Village until the later to occur of (i) Settlement on all of the Lots, or, (ii) if Chevy Chase Village is not able to assure Ms. Rowland that she will have no additional financial or other burdens or responsibilities with respect to Lot 21 as a result of the annexation, until Ms. Rowland has permanently vacated Lot 21; (c) the County has the authority to enter into this Option, the person executing this Option on behalf of

the County has the authority to do so, and this executed and accepted Option shall constitute a binding contract enforceable against the County in a court of law.

At the time of Settlement, the Property shall be in its "as is" condition, except for natural changes occurring prior to settlement and any changes that may have made by the County.

(12) <u>Governing Law</u>. All questions with respect to the construction and interpretation of this Option and the rights and liabilities of the parties hereunder shall be determined in accordance with the laws of the State of Maryland. Grantor and the County hereby mutually agree that any suit in connection with or arising out of this Option shall be brought in Montgomery County Circuit Court.

- (13) [Intentionally Deleted.]
- (14) Special Conditions.
 - (A) Lot 21 - For the duration of the Estate for Years, or until Ms. Rowland permanently vacates Lot 21, the County shall have no obligation to maintain and repair or replace (other than as stated in Section 4 above) the improvements or property comprising Lot 21, or any personal property located thereon. Ms. Rowland, pursuant to the Estate for Years, or until such time as she permanently vacates Lot 21, shall be responsible for the maintenance and repair of the improvements and property comprising Lot 21, along with any personal property located thereon. Grantor shall indemnify the County against costs it incurs to repair the Property due to the failure of the Ms. Rowland to maintain the Property in the condition it is in on the date of Acceptance of this Option, reasonable wear and tear excepted. The County agrees, except in the exercise of its police powers or as provided in Article 2, Section 6 of this Option, not to enter the Property and to leave the Property in its natural state (all Lots, even those on which Settlement has occurred) until the later of the expiration of the Estate for years or until Ms. Rowland has permanently vacated Lot 21.
 - (B) If the County acquires some of the Lots, but fails to subsequently acquire the remaining Lots, Grantor shall have the option, at any time prior to October 1, 2003, to repurchase from the County any or all of the Lots which the County has acquired pursuant to this Option (the "Repurchase Option"). The Repurchase Option shall automatically expire and have no further force or effect once the County has purchased all of the Lots comprising the Property. The Repurchase Option may be exercised upon the following terms and conditions:

- If Grantor desires to exercise the Repurchase Option, it must give written notice to the County of its exercise of this option at any time after the Courty has failed to acquire certain Lots as contemplated by this Option, up to and including October 1, 2003. The notice shall specify the Lots that Grantor desires to repurchase.
- (2) Settlement on the repurchase must occur within seventyfive (75) days after the date on which Grantor gives the County notice of its decision to exercise its Repurchase Option.
- (3) The purchase price for each Lot repurchased is Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00) and must be paid at settlement in cash, certified check or by federally wired funds.
- (4) The County shall convey title to each repurchased Lot, including any improvements constructed thereon, by special warranty deed at the time of settlement. Title shall be good and merchantable, free of all monetary liens and encumbrances, and subject only to such matters of title as may have affected the Lots at the time of acquisition by the County or that have arisen as a consequence of actions or failures to act on the part of the Ms. Rowland under the Estate for Years.
- (5) All real estate taxes and other public charges, if any, shall be adjusted as of the date of settlement. The County shall pay all transfer and recordation taxes.
- (6) In the event that Grantor is considering exercising the Repurchase Option, Grantor shall have the right, exercisable within sixty (60) days after written notice to the County, to go on the Lots to be repurchased and to cause tests and studies to be made with respect o such Lots. Grantor shall indemnify and hold harmless the County against all damages, liability and claim, including reasonable attorneys' fees, incurrec. by the County solely as a result of Grantor's activities upor the Property.
- (15) <u>Notices</u>. All notices to be given under this Option will be deemed given if in writing and either mailed to Grantor and the County by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, or if personally delivered, with signed receipt, to Grantor and the County, at the following addresses:

- if to Grantor, to: HOUSE OF THE TEMPLE HISTORIC FRESERVATION FOUNDATION, INC. individually and as and TRUSTEE OF THE WILLIAM JARBOE WOHLFARTH CHARITABLE REMAINDER ANNUITY TRUST 1733 16th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20009-3013
 with a copy to: Robert H. Metz, Esquire
- Linowes and Blocher LLP 1010 Wayne Avenue, Tenth Floor Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-5600
- If to the County: County Attorney's Office 101 Monroe Street, Third Floor Rockville, Maryland 20850
- And Chairman's Office Montgomery County Planning Board 8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
- (16) Intentionally Deleted.
- (17) Disclosures. Standard Montgomery County Disclosures are set forth in Exhibit

"B".

.

÷

[SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOW]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereunto set their hands and seals this Ind day of , 2002.

> GRANTOR: HOUSE OF THE TEMPLE HISTORIC PRESERVATION FOUNDATION, INC., and TRUSTEE OF THE WILLIAM JARBOE WOHLFARTH CHARITABLE REMAINDER ANNUITY TRUST

Film

C. Fred Kleinknecht, Sovereign Grand Commander

STATE OF MARYLAND

) ss:

3

COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this 2nd day of light 'leinknecht on behalf of HOUSE OF THE TEMPLE lin TRUSTEE OF THE WILLIAM JARBOE WOHLFARTH CHARITABLE REMAINDER ANNUITY TRUST.

Notary Public

My commission exp res: BARBARA G. GOLDEN NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MARYLAND My Commission Expires Moy 2, 2006

BARBARA G. GOLDEN NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MAR My Commission Expires May 2

[Notarial Seal]

COUNTY:

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

R William Mooney, Assistant 🕰

Witness

÷

STATE OF MARYLAND)) ss: COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on this _____ day of _____, 2002 by William Mooney, known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be the Assistant Chief Administrative Officer of Montgomery County, Maryland, and that William Mooney, being authorized to do so, executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained.

Notary Public

[Notarial seal]

<u>Exhibit "A"</u>

BILL OF SALE

<u>Not Applicable</u>

EXHIBIT "B"

(Montgomery County Standard Disclosures)

1. (a) Pursuant to the Montgomery County Code, notice is hereby given to the County of the obligation of Grantor, or its duly authorized agent, to disclose to the County any information known to Grantor as to whether the Property is connected to, or has been authorized for connection to, a community sewage system, and if not, whether an individual sewage disposal system has been constructed on the Property, whether an individual sewage disposal system has been approved by Montgomery County for the Property, or whether the Property has been disapproved by Montgomery County for the installation of an individual sewage disposable system.

(b) The County acknowledges that, prior to the Courty's entering into this Contract, Grantor, or its agent, provided the foregoing information to the County.

(c) If an individual sewage disposal system has been or will be installed upon the Property, and if the Property is located within a subdivision, the County indicates that it has reviewed a copy of the subdivision plat, including any provisions with regard to areas restricted for the initial and reserve well locations and the individual sewage disposal system, and the restricted area in which construction of the building to be served by the individual sewage disposal system is permitted.

2. Subdivision Plat

:`

<u>The County has received from Grantor, or its agent, a copy of the recorded plat of</u> subdivision relating to the Property.

3. Master Plans

<u>The County has the right pursuant to Section 40-10 of the Montgomery County</u> <u>Code to review, before signing a contract for the sale of real property, he applicable county</u> <u>master plan, and any municipal land use plan for the area in which the property is located, and</u> <u>any adopted amendment to either plan, and approved official maps showing planned land uses,</u> <u>roads and highways, parks and other public facilities affecting the property contained in the plan.</u>

<u>The County acknowledges that (A) Grantor has offered the County the</u> <u>opportunity to review the applicable master plan, and any municipal land use plan and any</u> <u>adopted amendment and the approved official maps. (B) Grantor has informed the County that</u> <u>amendments affecting the plan may be pending before the Planning Board or the County Council</u> <u>or a municipal planning body, (C) the County has either reviewed each plan and adopted</u> <u>amendment or has waived the right to do so, and (D) the County understands that, to stay</u> <u>informed of future changes in county and municipal land use plans, the County should consult</u> <u>the Planning Board and the appropriate municipal planning body.</u> <u>The County acknowledged that at no time did Grantor or any agent of Grantor</u> <u>explain to him the intent or meaning of any such plan, amendment, or map, nor did the County</u> <u>rely on any representation made by Grantor or any agent of Grantor relative to such plan,</u> <u>amendment, or map.</u>


Grantor notifies the County of the County's right to review the Approved and Adopted Land Use Plan Map portion of the Plan for the Friendship Heights Chevy Chase adopted pursuant to the provisions of Art. 66B of the Annotated Code of Maryland and all amendments to said Map. The County acknowledges that it has been offered the opportunity to review the Land Use Plan Map and that Grantor either produced and made available for the County's review a copy of the Map, or escorted the County to a place where the Map is available for review and secured the Map for review by the County. The County figther acknowledges that at not time did Grantor or its agent explain to the County the intent or meaning of the Map nor make any representations or warranties regarding the Map.


4. Airport or Heliport

The County acknowledges that, prior to its entering into this Option, Grantor has advised the County of the relative location of any airport or heliport, as defined in the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance, existing within a five (5) mile radius of the Property.

5. <u>Transportation Facility Fees</u>

<u>Grantor informs the County that, as of the date of this Opt on, there exists no</u> <u>deferred charges attributable to transportation-related facilities for which the County must</u> <u>assume liability.</u>





This have black privatily swelves the placement of Tays Physicilar Pencing in particul analogies have being the denalities of the Subfrage, termonal of aspeking particip and concrete allows, revolves of an observations of the place statistical or the concrete bit have place developed the concrete allows there are determined the foreid enals to be developed and bit and placement of the provide the term of the placement of the state of the placement of the concrete allows are the termined the concrete allows the term of the termined the termined term of the termined term of the termined termined the termine of the termined termines and the termined t

HYDROL GUY Little Falles-Upper Mainelees. Use 1, Poor Water Guality nor MODEP Property sociation on appendix insummers. In previous diseases, for well-and social-un-ane. No. 102 New Property is social entry a PNAL SOL.

BOC3-SEROLDOV Within the Union Land Wheelon Clavely Complex, the write pricestry in Lensman and scittuarities for <u>Bitters 200 Scitt</u> LEN. These are very along and well trained aver generally preemined with structure texts. The memory of the strateging is unknown with general Academic at the property along the EE control is audioiden and Scitt.

SLOPES No using stores in stores or exciting one cours in the property. The property is personally fail, with stores under 1 percent. The excitant property boundary a measurable adjusted in a relating wall that three 10-15 but to management understand development.

LEGACY OPEN SPACE ACQUISITION Charge Create Open Taske Virtual was identified in the 2021 Legacy Open Taskey Frantismal Motor Mark 2021 Prior to come scatters, making the Taske Charm as the Sack 2 the wave or prime comparison to make the Prior Mark Prior Mark State (Charm and Charles and Charles and Charles Charles and Charles Charles Charles (Charles Charles and Charles and Charles Charles Charles Charles Charles Charles Charles Charles and Charles Charles Charles (Charles Charles Charles Charles Charles and Charles Charles Charles Charles Charles Charles Charles and Charles Charles Charles Charles Charles and Charles Charles Charles Charles Charles and Charles Charles Charles and Charles Charles Charles and Charles Charles and Charles

NO PERFORM DESIGNATION The Clearest Revise reuses same from UFE. Institutes a contrast estimation up in the surrounding legislation of a legislation process. BALCOPC Dubus Realized Description and Motors Diversemble that has required the buildings and description of the top by the any type of relative descriptions leaves in waterings and tack of description glassifications.

NARE, THREATENED, ENDANGENED AND WATCHUST EPECIES (Three were no observed) ITTES, and given the chain relates at the volinity summarizing the property, supporting hashed does not even the support TTEE Sequements.

PCRESET The protective contains not increasing any an infined as the Traves Testporcal Manual (MACOPPOL) as by the Space of Manual A Superimers is writted to invitant approx, with Aduate traves and the dynamics of the provide doing the protection of the protection of the protection of the Aduate Space and the space of the Aduate Space Aduate Aduat

BPECIMEN and SUBINGCANT TREES The Number 3 is a 44° Black Locast District presentation of in the humin The protectly lower to a posterior Society Deproper, Within 3° star-relevant to a Gaury Distribution, the type the lower in here of a annual sectory spread. The summer Gouring Champion Basis Locast (in Depicil less 25° and Locast (in Depict) and posterior of the summer Gouring Champion Basis Locast (in Depicil less 25° and Locast (in Depict).

| | | specimen mee Lier and | TRUE PROTECTION DETAILS |
|-------|--|---|---|
| | DBH * | Tree Species | Comments |
| | 27 | Chinese Chestrut (Castanas molifisima) | Good. Tree Save Perceits to be installed. Prove Issuest limits of adjacent Basewood (Tille) to provided need charance for emstruction equipment. |
| | 27/32 | Black Locust (Robinia preudoacasia) | rale. No protection measures necessary |
| | 26 | White Pine (Pinue strobus) | Good, Tree Save Fancing to be installed Prome lewest limb to avoid demolition contact. |
| | 25 | Deoilar Cedur (Cedrus (Socdars) | Good, No protection measures necessary . |
| | 37 | American elm (Ulmus americana) | Good, Remove vices enveloping tree with use of hand loofs, Remove Deloit to Hand |
| | 25 | Pils Oak (Dunnar paluatria) | Good, in a grove of other Pin Cake that about to trasted for Becterial leaf scorch. |
| | 24 | White Pine (Pinus annhus) | Good. No protection measures becausery |
| | 44 | Black Locust (Robinia penudoacacia) | For health, Property Boundary Tree, putential County Champion No protection measures recessers |
| | 13 | Winite Fine (Pinus strateut) | Good, No profection measures necessary. |
| 5 | 38 | Willine Oak (Goercos pherios) | Just affaits along Western Avenue (D.C.) close to Western Avenue entrance to subject property, to protection measures necessary. |
| | 29 | Willow Clab (Dimenute phallog) | Good. Tree Protection Fencing to be installed |
| | 25 | White Pine (Pinus) | Some pruning of lower limits may be modesury to avoid chillision injury. |
| | | Adaptivities | to were made by Foreiroy Suppliers diameter Tape, dec 2008, |
| ų | etse A. Oustoria Olivies als Profession | | by D. Guittmaky histoph |
| | of Ferricia | nanana na ma | HIE MORE AND HATCHAR CAPITAL HARK AND PLANNING COMBINED THE BARK BALL |
| 0.054 | Distanti da | NTICE N | Parks 4200/1110 |
| | | | Novitari Dife |

| VE PLAN | |
|---------|--------------|
| | SHEET 1 of 2 |

SEQUENCE OF DEMOLITION

An on-ele preconstruction (demolton) meeting is required after the limits of disturbants have been staked and flagged but before any grating or clearing er accorpling of equipment begins. A Montgenery Coarty Planning Department and Parks inspector will verify the limits of deturbance and decose any additional tree. protection measures required

No stearing or grading shall begin before stress-reduction measures have been implemented. Appropriate measures may include but are not limited to:

- Fertilizer application
 Mulching a Rott muning
- 6. Root seration matting c. Watering

Entrie property will be "locked down" during major demolition phase- approximately 2-3

All fencing to be installed prior to demolition.

Stand allere The parage is to be removed trut. This will allow for more work area with which to garage is to be removed mut. This was note room for work vehicles to maneuver and stay further away from several specimen trees to the southeast of the main house. The concrete ped under the garage will facilitate staging self be used as such.

Removal of Underground Figel Tenk:

Demoiston of Main House

Driveway Demoltion Removal of driveway will occur after demolition of existing house and will be used for access to demoliton area. Contractor shall work becewards out of and will be used for access to terrotation area. Contructor shar work bookwards out the three triveway during terrotation. Machiney shall decate an apparements to the future terrotation. Machiney shall be the future state to the share the state of the state removal so topsoil can be spread using same equipment operation on appticit for redistribution of topsoil. Final grading and seeding shall be done with the use of hand

DIRECTIVES

- · Tree Protection Fercing to be blaze orange glastic mesh as specified in attached
- Tree protection fencing will be placed at the LOD where specified. Sitt fencing will
- be added wherever runoll may low into preservation areas. During demolition, no activity shall be permitted in tree save areas without
- suthorization from arborist and M-NCPPC Forest Conservation Inspector. Operation of heavy equipment or machinery of any kind in not permitted outside of LOD or within tree save wreas.
- · Trees to be removed shad not be pushed over with heavy equipment. Stumps of trees shall remain or be ground out using a machanical stump grinder. Other than around the perimeter of the house and garage, only one tree (a 6" bogwood) as expected to be reimplied.
- . A signed temporary trailpass through access will be provided along the wessern edge of the property- a safe distance from the retaining wall and from the demolibon area
- Removal of the house and UST will necessitate some grading to safely te in slopes. Some fill material will be required.
- . The temporary parking/stockpile unsul for volticles will be in the SW corner of the
- The existing driveway will be used for aquipment transit and will be removed at: The strain stopes. The garage will be removed first to allow befor access to the main house and to help with the protection by affording a greater tree protection area for 2 speciment thes. The cament pad under the existing garage will be used for staging, prior to removal
- Salvaging of analter parimeter planta within the LOD will be left to the discretion of the Project Manager.

Existing Utilities All utility removal has already occurred, except in the case of (1) underground storage tank located just to the northeast of the existing house. The underground tank is scheduled for removal during demoliton of the home and will necur within the limits of disturbance.

Silt Fence, Installation installation of traditional set fencing will be directed by the Department of Pernstrung Services, During proceediruction moleting, representatives from Parks, Environmental Planning, Project Aritorial, and Department of Pernstrung Services will millew additional control

Tree Protection Fencing of Tree Save Fence (TSF) is to be installed for the duration of the project and must not be effered or removed without pror approval of the forest conservation impactor. The fancing to be either crange blaze plastic fending to a

This fenting is to be used due to the short anticipated duration of this work and to abow Die on-sile arbenst to make adjustments av. necessary to accommodate demoliti

Ner

Inter Station In

See Pured by

Sec. 1 Tradied by

Lordning Adding

10000


REVIEW AND APPROVAL




X CAN

Periodic inspections by the fuest conservation supector will occur sturing the project. Corrections and repairs to all tree protection as determined by the Potest Conservation inspector, must be administered within the timeframe specified by the

Post Construction. After demonstron and stabilization is completed, an inspection shall be requested. Corrective measures may include: a. Removal and replacement of dead and dying frees.





74

REVER AND APPROVE


Sec.

(a) A set of a set

ALTER AND DESCRIPTION OF ADDRESS OF ADDRESS AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS AD

The developer's representative, construction superintendent, ISA Cemiled Amonist or 5 Manyland-roomsed tree expert that will implement this tree protection measures, forer conservation inspector, and Department of Permitting Services (OPS) andiment and r shall attend this pre-construction meeting

Measures not specified on the forest conservation plan may be required as determined by the forest conservation inspector in coordination with the arborist



ROOT PRUNING

international de la contraction de la contractio

Registration dense un per ser de para de la para de la parte presentation.

 Descritorio de Material de activitada per parte de la presentatione presentatione de la parte de la par

It have even be short on only even of the statement of the

APPLICATION OF FERTILIZERS BY INJECTION

ALASE THE P GATERNAL ADDRESS OF THE AREA. Market and Append the Statist Strapheness service

All Anthrows of Algers (in frame, in providing the Region advance) for proceeding interval and Anthrow advances of the contract process for the region algers advanced for provide the origination of complete the Region advanced for provide the origination of the provide the provide the origination of the region of the region advanced for provide the origination of the provide the provide the origination of the region advanced for the provide the set of the region of the provide the provide the region of the provide the provide the region of the provide the region of the provide the region of region of the region of regi

INCOMPLETIONS.

They Save Plans and Yorked Generovation Plans without Planting Resourcements

- 9. After the limits of distortionse have been stated and frequet. But before any shearing of
- Arrow two stars, or digetablesis have been stabled and flagged, but before any the grantific types of version restarction measures have been amplicited and grader form measures in non-keeps instability, but before any idealing and grading long.
 After completion at all constraints when a stables, but before remain all rates groups:

Additional Requirements for Plans with Planting Requirements

- Notices the scart of any magnetic cherest provides and attraction givening.
 After the required referencements and after states planting that beet completed as using third the planting to acceptable and upon to the start the magnetizers provid.

- produces of the planting plan, and it intercontains, release of the performance tand

Clives the ebort duration of this denoition and etablication, the Given the elocy outston or this demonstration and exploration, the attentist care, choose to install. These Sove Fercusors individual treas as opposed to taking the more conventional approach of fercing large sections of the limits of disturbance. The anternat, will direction trum the M-NCCPPC inspector will decide on changes at sme of preconstruction inspection. The articlet is to be on-site during the major demolition sequence, to ansure maximum protection of trees. If less than traditional tree save tence is used. MACPPC Inspector will require supplemental private arborist supervision, and a report from the arborist detailing work.

| nt Heist | THE WATE LOOK INTO ALL CARESON INTERNET AND PLANNING COMBINING APPROVED Page 10, 472-101-102 C | Propert As Instead A Durtmath' (La State Orthogona) distant distant and promoted Ast, 1915 Instead Property of Markovitation Instead Property of Markovitation Instead Property of Markovitation |
|-------------|---|---|
| | Chevy Chas | e Open Space NCA |







MONTGOMERY COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

March 10, 2009

Dominic Quattrocchi Montgomery County Department of Parks 1109 Spring Street Suite 802 Silver Spring MD, 20910

Dear Mr. Quattrocchi:

This letter is in response to your request for an exemption from submitting a forest conservation plan. This request is confirmed, the project is not subject to a Forest Conservation Plan, but is subject to a Tree Save Plan. The Tree Save Plan 42009125E (Chevy Chase Open Space) submitted in support of the exemption request is approved. Any changes from the approved tree save plan may constitute grounds to rescind or amend any approval actions taken and to take appropriate enforcement actions. If there are any subsequent modifications proposed to the approved plan, a separate amendment must be submitted to M-NCPPC for review and approval prior to those activities occurring.

If you have any questions regarding these actions, please feel free to contact me at (301) 495-4521, or at marco.fuster@mncppc-mc.org.

Sincerely,

Marco Fuster, Senior Planner Environmental Planning M-NCPPC

Cc: File # 42009125E Michelle Grace **Appendix B**

Developing a Vision Public Meeting #1 June 16, 2011 (This page intentionally left blank)

Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park Concept Plan/Operation & Use Plan Development

Senior Parks Management Briefing, May 16, 2011

Agenda

I. Background

- a. Acquired 2002 as Legacy Open Space Urban Open Space
- b. Life estate ceased 2007; buildings demolished 2009 (50% paid by Chevy Chase Village)
- c. MOU with Chevy Chase Village: \$1.25 million contribution toward acquisition; partner in management planning process

II. Proposed Process and Schedule

- a. "Big Picture" phasing:
 - i. <u>Phase I: Site Cleanup</u> NNI & hazardous vegetation removal, park signage, gate (implementation: immediately)
 - ii. <u>Phase II: Interim Status Plan</u> to include interim paths, signage, landscape upgrades (implementation: last half of FY12 as soon as Concept Plan approved)
 - iii. <u>Phase III: Implementation of full Concept Plan</u> via Facility Planning then the CIP process for final design/construction (timing depends on CIP schedule & funding)
- b. Concept Plan Development Schedule attached below

III. Identified Facility Needs, Relevant Policies and Potential Amenities

- a. Summary matrix attached below
- b. Parks & Recreation Facility Assessment and Public Policy Framework full report for public workshop in draft form

IV. Proposed Public Outreach Strategy – Go Digital!

- a. Planning Department's InfoShare weekly newsletter
- b. Project page on Parks website, linked to Chevy Chase Village's project website
- c. Community associations through Friendship Heights Regional Services Center and/or Parks outreach staff
- d. Contact recommended Council and Executive staff, others as requested

V. Senior Management Approvals requested

- a. To move forward with Phase I site cleanup
- b. To move forward as proposed with Concept Plan development, including kickoff public workshop on June 15 or 16

II. Concept Plan Development Schedule, May 2011

| Step | 2011 Schedule | Notes/Details |
|---|---------------------|---|
| Conduct Site Analysis and | March – April | Survey site trees & vegetation – DONE |
| Prepare Outreach Strategy | | Assess area park & recreation resources & needs – DRAFT DONE |
| | | Analyze existing policy parameters – DRAFT DONE Identify target groups and methods for public outreach – DONE Establish PDCO Team (Planning, Design, Construction, Operation), internal team to coordinate project – DONE |
| Review Site Analysis and | April 11: CCV Board | Establish CCOS Committee (CCV) – EXPECT BY |
| Outreach Strategy with | Meeting – DONE | MID-MAY |
| community | | Also contact other community groups in April/May – IN PROCESS |
| Present Site Analysis/Outreach | <u>May 16</u> | THIS MEETING |
| Strategy to Parks Senior | | |
| Management Team | | |
| Brief Planning Board (if | May-June | Only if requested by Parks Director. Could be part of |
| requested by Parks Director) | | Director's Report or roundtable discussion. |
| Community Meeting #1 | June 15 or 16 | Purpose: Brief community on facility analysis & policy framework Develop a vision and preliminary program of amenities |
| Develop Park Concepts | July - September | Ongoing coordination with CCOS Committee & others Develop one to three concepts for site |
| PDCO review of Concept Plans; | September | |
| Parks Senior Management briefing, if requested | September | |
| Community Meeting #2 | October | Purpose: to review and select concept preference, phasing, and recommended POR (Program of Requirements); also to confirm interim improvement plan |
| Finalize Preferred Concept | November – December | Add management details as necessary to make into |
| Plan for presentation and | | full Operation & Use Plan |
| approval by Planning Board | | |
| Place Chevy Chase Open Space | January 2012 | |
| Concept Plan in Park | | |
| Development's queue for | | |
| Facility Planning funding | | |
| through the CIP | | |

III. Summary of Identified Facility Needs, Relevant Policies and Potential Amenities in CCOS

| Policy/Plan/ | Relevant Identified Needs and | Possible to Accommodate | <u>Notes</u> |
|---|--|----------------------------|---|
| <u>Study</u> | Recommendations | in CCOS? | |
| Area Parks/ Recreation Facility Assessment: walking distance assessment of facilities | Variety of rec facilities & park types within 1/2 mile (10-minute walk): • County rec center • buffer parks between CBD and residential • small open space parks between ROWs • neighborhood parks • civic center parks Local park facilities around 1 mile (20+ minute walk) away: • ball fields • larger playgrounds | n/a | |
| Area Parks/ Recreation Facility Assessment: facility needs | hiker/biker trails Within Maryland, all playgrounds > 10- minute walk and most close to 20-25 minute walk from Friendship Heights/Chevy Chase neighborhoods Two playgrounds within DC are closest ones | Yes | |
| Vision 2030: Top facilities and amenities to | to this site, including one new renovation Playgrounds | Yes | "Natural" looking play area most requested amenity so far for this park |
| expand or improve | Dog parks | Yes | CCOS large enough, but may conflict with vision of green open space |
| | Community Gardens | Yes | CCOS meets minimum site requirements, but will need community support |
| | Community Events and Festivals | Yes | Depends on community input/vision for park |
| | Picnic Shelters | Yes | Depends on community input/vision for park |
| Vision 2030: Two action items relevant to this urban park | Plan, design, and create more un- programmed, flexible parks and recreation spaces, and features that are multi-functional or adaptable for multiple purposes | Yes | Un-programmed green open space between paths and landscaping is key element of this park |
| | Incorporate nature into the playground environment. Seek opportunities to incorporate environmental education into the playground experience. | Yes | Coincides with initial community input on possible play area design |

| LPPRP/PROS: Estimated need | Large diamond fields (baseball): 4.8 | No | Site too small Inappropriate for an urban |
|---|--|-----|---|
| for active | Adult rectangular fields: 20.4 | No | park |
| recreation facilities, 2020, | Tennis Courts: 0 | No | Inappropriate for a Legacy Open Space Urban Open |
| Bethesda-Chevy Chase Planning | Basketball Courts: 0 | No | Space |
| Area | Playgrounds: 0 | Yes | Walking-distance analysis does show need for playgrounds (above) |
| LPPRP/PROS: Park Classification System definition of Urban Parks | In or near CBDs Can serve as buffer between CBDs and residential Serve as gathering places and accommodate variety of activities Typical facilities include landscaping, sitting/picnic areas, play equipment, courts and shelters | Yes | Most typical facilities in other urban parks could be accommodated in CCOS except hard courts |
| Urban Parks Guidelines: A Vision for Urban Parks (MCPB adopted, 2010) | visual and physical access to parks that enhance mental, physical and spiritual health green gathering spaces to improve social connections and relieve stress outdoor recreational opportunities to improve physical health low impact development and environmentally sensitive design to improve health of the land interesting and aesthetic design to create a sense of "place" well maintained and safe spaces to encourage community interaction educational opportunities to provide information on health benefits of parks | Yes | Most of these visioning statements are likely to fit very well with the staff and community's ideas for this park and provide valuable guidance to the Concept Planning Process |
| Urban Parks Guidelines: recs. under development | Will likely revise definition of Urban Park to include a broader set of functions and amenities, possibly defining several types of urban parks | Yes | Once complete, concept for CCOS may fit a new or revised urban park category |
| Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan, 2001 | Overall goal of plan to preserve green space for all communities in the County including urban areas. Identified CCOS as Urban Open Space site due to its status as undeveloped land adjacent to a CBD. | Yes | |

| MOU with County, Chevy Chase Village, 2002 | Expresses intent of 3 parties to cooperate in operation and maintenance of Park Park must be used "consistent with the purposes identified in the Legacy Plan" Commission will operation/maintain park (per 1972 agreement with County) Village shall provide municipal services (police, refuse, etc.) Commission & Village will "jointly prepare a management plan" in accordance with Legacy goals for the park Management Plan (now the Concept Plan/Operation & Use Plan) may be amended with mutual agreement from Commission & COM | Yes | |
|--|--|-----|---|
| Jurisdictional Issues: District of Columbia Department of Transportation ROW | CCV A 25' strip between park property line and Western Avenue sidewalk is in the District Strip is the Commission's responsibility to maintain as adjacent owner but is subject to DC regulations Permits needed for pruning or removal of Special Trees (>17.5" DBH); not necessary for currently planned tree work except 2 street trees Permanent installations (gate, signs) may also need DC permits | Yes | Unique Commission park in this jurisdictional situation (only other is Boundary Park NCA) Already in touch with DDOT Urban Forestry Administration staff to submit permits to remove 2 invasive street trees |
| Jurisdictional Issues: Chevy Chase Village | Park within the Village municipal limits Commission not subject to Village regulations since a state-level agency Intend to comply with local regulations as much as possible in accordance with standard Commission policy | Yes | For example: submitted a permit request for tree removals per the Village Tree Ordinance, and Village will issue a "courtesy permit" in recognition of our state-level status |
| Adjacent Property Issues: Chevy Chase Center (Chevy Chase Land Company) | Seven trees identified on their property for removal (some highly hazardous) 6-8' tall fence needed at top of their retaining wall; current 3' fence inadequate for safety | Yes | Currently collaborating with CC Center management on tree removal and fence issue; park permit needed for access through the park to do this work |
| Adjacent Property Issues: Latter-Day Saints Church | Five hazardous trees identified on church property adjacent to Park Church supportive of site cleanup proposed | Yes | Currently collaborating with church representatives on tree removal issues; park permit not likely necessary |
| Other Policy/Design Considerations | Consider lack of maintenance funding for parks during design process, for instance: perennial garden beds instead of annuals fewer landscaping areas that require maintenance built elements (paths, benches, gazebos, play structures) to be low maintenance | Yes | |

Park Planning & Stewardship Division Park & Trail Planning Section and Legacy Open Space Program

Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park - Developing a Vision



M-NCPPC, Department of Parks, Montgomery County


Brenda Sandberg & Rachel Newhouse Community Outreach Meeting #1 June 15, 2011




Agenda

- **7:00** Welcome & presentation
- **7:30** Brainstorming session
- **8:45** Wrap Up
- 9:00 Adjourn










Vicinity Context

PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION

Background

Two-acre site located within Chevy Chase Village and adjacent to Friendship Heights CBD

- Former single-family home
- Former part-time landscape business retail use
- Also partially located within the District of Columbia in the Western Avenue right-of-way






Background

2002

Legacy Open Space Program acquisition as Urban Open Space

- Partnership with Chevy Chase Village based on inter-governmental Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
- 2007
 Parks Department assumes responsibility for property
- 2009
 Buildings and driveway demolished





Why are we here tonight?

Purpose of this Public Planning Process

- Inform the broader community about the opening and clean-up of this new urban park
- Gather input from the community to develop a VISION for this new urban park and to understand the issues of concern about any new development with the urban park
- Develop design Concept and Program of Requirements (POR) necessary to move forward with:
 - Interim Improvement Plan
 - Facility Planning and Final Design/Construction through capital budget (CIP)

| June 15, 2011 | Summer 2011 | Summer 2011 | Fall 2011 | Winter 2011 | Spring 2012 and beyond |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Community Visioning Kick-off: Meeting #1 | MNCPPC staff create Draft Concepts to address Vision and Issues from Meeting #1 | Ongoing collection of community input and revision of draft concepts | Present Plan Concepts to Community for Feedback: Meeting #2 | Preferred Concept Plan & Proposed Phasing and Implementation presented to the Planning Board | Plan Implementation in phases as funding available |



Three-Phase Park Implementation

Phase I: Site Cleanup

- Building Demolition, 2009
- NNI & hazardous vegetation removal, underway
- Signage & security

Phase II: Interim Improvement Plan

- Interim, low-cost improvements to create safe, usable park between now and ultimate build-out
- Coordinated with Concept Plan to ensure long-term compatibility

Phase III: Implementation of full Concept Plan

- Normal CIP process: Facility Planning, then Final Design/Construction through CIP
- Could be done in phases to implement amenities as funds are identified



Phase I: Site Cleanup

Tree & Vegetation Survey

- Goals for site cleanup
 - Improve visibility and safety in the park
 - Remove undesirable and invasive plan species per Park policy
 - Remove dead, dying and hazardous trees and tree limbs
 - Preserving the majority of the beautiful plants throughout the park



M-NCPPC Department of Parks Mission

"Protect and interpret our valuable natural and cultural resources; balance the demand for recreation with the need for conservation; offer a variety of enjoyable recreational activities that encourage healthy lifestyles; and provide clean, safe, and accessible places for leisure-time activities."



STEWARDSHIP

"Manage the county park system so it best meets the needs of current and future generations"



RECREATION

"Offer a range of leisure activities that strengthen the body, sharpen the mind, and renew the spirit"

Countywide Park System

The Park Classification System

| PARK TYPE | PARK TYPE DESCRIPTION | TYPICAL FACILITIES* | APPROXIMAT SIZE |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|
| COUNTY-WIDE | PARKS – Parks in this category serve all residents of Mo | ontgomery County | |
| - Recreational Or | iented Parks | | |
| Regional Parks | Large Parks that provide a wide range of recreational opportunities but retain 2/3 or the acreage as conservation areas. | Picnic / playground areas, tennis courts, athletic fields, golf course, campgrounds, water-oriented recreation areas. | 200 acres o more |
| Recreational Parks | Parks larger than 50 acres in size that are more intensively developed than Regional Parks, but may also may also contain natural areas. | Athletic fields, tennis courts, multi-use courts, picnic/playground areas, golf course, trails, natural areas. | 50 acres or more |
| Special Parks | These parks include areas that contain features of historic and cultural significance. | Vary, but may include agricultural centers, garden, small conference centers, historic structures, etc. | Varies |
| - Conservation O | riented Parks | | |
| Stream Valley Parks | Interconnected linear parks along major stream valleys providing conservation and recreation areas. | providing Hiker-biker trails, fishing, picnicking, playground areas. | |
| Conservation Area Parks | Large natural areas acquired to preserve specific natural archaeological or historic features. They also provide opportunities of compatible recreation activities. | Trails, fishing areas, nature study areas, informal picnic areas. | Varies |
| | SE PARKS – Parks in this category serve residents of su | rrounding communities | |
| Urban Parks | Very small parks, serving highly urban areas. | Landscaping, sitting/picnic areas, play equipment, courts, and shelters. | 1 Acre |
| Neighborhood Parks | Small parks providing informal recreation in residential areas. | Play equipment, play field, sitting area, shelter, tennis and Multi-use courts. (Don not include regulation size ballfields). | 2.5 Acre |
| Local Parks | Parks Larger parks that provide ballfields and both programmed and unprogrammed recreation facilities. Ballfields, play equipment, tennis and multi-use courts, sitting/picnic area, shelters, buildings and other facilities. | | 15 Acre |
| Neighborhood Conservation Areas | Small parcels of conservation oriented parkland in residential areas, generally dedicated at the time of subdivision. | | |

MONTGOMERY COUNTY PARK CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Figure 4.3 * this list is not all-inclusive, but includes facilities typical of each park type.

Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park

Recreation Needs Estimated by Geographic Areas*

Planning Area Geography

2020 Needs estimated using M-NCPPC PROS Plan Methodology

- Playgrounds
- Basketball Courts
- Tennis Courts

Community Based Geography

2020 Needs estimated using M-NCPPC PROS Plan Methodology and ball field permit data

- All Fields
- Youth Diamonds
- Multi-purpose Diamonds
- Baseball 90' Baseline
- Youth rectangular
- Multi-purpose rectangular

Countywide Geography

2020 Needs based on State Planning Participation Based Model, Park & Trail Plans & Fairfax County model

- Picnic Shelters
- Dog Exercise Areas
- Roller Hockey Courts
- Skate Parks
- Trails
- Nature Centers
- Community Recreation Centers
- Aquatic Facilities
- Natural Areas



* Source: 2005 LPPRP (PROS) Plan







LPPRP (PROS)

- Estimated needs for active recreation facilities, Bethesda-Chevy Chase planning area
 - Large diamond fields (baseball): 4.8
 - Adult rectangular fields: 20.4
 - Tennis courts: 0
 - Basketball courts: 0
 - Playgrounds: 0
- Appropriateness of needed facilities for Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park
 - Site too small for adult athletic fields
 - No on-site parking





Vision 2030

- Top facilities/amenities/programs to expand or improve
 - Playgrounds
 - Trails and trail connectivity
 - Dog parks
 - Community events and festivals
 - Community gardens
 - Picnic Shelters

14

Exercise and fitness





Vision 2030

- Two action items relevant to Chevy Chase Open Space
 - Plan, design and create more un-programmed, flexible park and recreation spaces
 - Incorporate nature and environmental education into the playground environment






Vision 2030

Level of Service per population by sub-area

Due to the size and diversity of the County, the Vision 2030 process projected park and recreation needs in four geographic sub-areas of the County: Potomac/Rural, East Transit Corridor, South Central, and North Central

The Level of Service (LOS) analysis of the parks and recreation inventory shows that when population density is considered, the current overall Level of Service per capita is lower in the I-270 corridor (indicated by the lighter shades in the South Central and North Central sub-areas on this map.


The increased growth projected in the next twenty years along the I-270 corridor will create increased demand for parks and recreation facilities and services.





Legacy Open Space

- Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan (2001)
 - Overall goal of Legacy Open Space plan to preserve green space for all communities in the County, including urban
 - Chevy Chase Open Space identified as an Urban Open Space as an undeveloped site adjacent to a CBD





Regulatory & Inter-jurisdictional Issues

- Montgomery County and State of Maryland Regulations
 - Environmental Regulations (Forest Conservation, Stormwater Management, etc.)
 - Environmental Site Design
 - Americans with Disabilities Act
- District of Columbia Department of Transportation R.O.W.
 - A 25' strip between park property line and Western Avenue sidewalk is in the District
 - Strip is the Commission's responsibility to maintain as adjacent owner but is subject to DC regulations, including permits for some tree removals and permanent installations (gates, signs)
- Chevy Chase Village
 - Park within municipal limits
 - Although a state-level agency, Commission intends to comply with local regulations





Intergovernmental MOU

- 2002 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) among Montgomery County, M-NCPPC, and Chevy Chase Village
 - Expresses intent of three parties to cooperate in operation and maintenance of Park
 - Chevy Chase Village contributed 25% of acquisition cost (\$1.25M)
 - Park must be used "consistent with the purposes identified in the Legacy Plan"
 - Commission will operation/maintain park (per 1972 agreement with County)
 - Village shall provide municipal services (police, refuse, etc.)
 - Commission & Village will "jointly prepare a management plan" in accordance with Legacy goals for the park
 - Management Plan (now the Concept Plan/Operation & Use Plan) may be amended with mutual agreement from Commission & Chevy Chase Village





Nearby Parks and Recreation Facilities



PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION

Nearby Parks and Recreation Facility Assessment

- Recreational facilities and park types within ¹/₂ mile (10-minute walk)
 - Buffer parks between Central Business Districts (CBDs) and residential areas
 - Small open space parks between road right-of-ways
 - Neighborhood parks
 - Civic center parks
 - County recreational center



Nearby Parks and Recreation Facility Assessment

- Recreational facilities and park types within 1 mile (20+minute walk)
 - Local Parks
 - Athletic fields
 - Larger playgrounds
 - Hiker/Biker trails
 - Playgrounds
 All playgrounds in Maryland, are more than a 10 minute walk

Most playgrounds are a 20-25 minute walk from Friendship Heights / Chevy Chase Village neighborhoods

The two closest playgrounds to this park are in DC:

- Chevy Chase Park across Western Ave, (currently under renovation) and
- Chevy Chase Community Center / Library on Connecticut Avenue



Urban Parks Guidelines (in draft)

 Goal is to support the County's policies for *Growing Smarter* by creating great, walkable open space systems in the present and future urban areas.

A Vision for Urban Parks (adopted by Planning Board, 2010) sets goals for urban parks to:

- Improve the mental, physical and spiritual health of urbanized people by providing more visual and physical access to parks
- Provide green gathering spaces in urban areas to improve social connections and relieve stress
- Provide new outdoor recreational opportunities that will improve the physical health of the community
- Improve the health of the land through using low impact development and environmentally sensitive design in urban parks
- Provide interesting and aesthetic design that is embraced by the community
- Provide education on the health benefits of Parks
- Provide well maintained and safe spaces for community interaction



Existing Urban Park Pattern

• Our urban parks are mostly located at the edges of the urban areas, not in the centers.



WHITE FLINT SECTOR PLAN AREA



DOWNTOWN SILVER SPRING



GERMANTOWN





24

WHEATON



What are people looking for in urban parks?

- Green gathering spaces for neighborhood events
- Escape from the heat
- Quiet places to relax and read
- Comfortable seating





What are people looking for in urban parks?

- Spaces for outdoor markets
 - Casual spaces or more formal spaces
- Places where pets are welcome
- Places to meet other pet owners and to socialize like community gardens









- Playful Areas
 - Interactive artwork or sculpture
 - Traditional playgrounds
 - Sculptural climbing walls
 - Listening vessels



PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION

- Connection to nature through natural play elements
 - Climbing walls and logs
 - Fort building areas
 - Sand pits
 - Boulders for jumping and climbing







- An outdoor office
 - A place to sit, eat lunch and work on laptop
- Spaces for outdoor exercising
- Comfortable seating
- Beautiful natural spaces that are inspiring and calming







29

- Places to intermingle the older generation with the new generation
- Accessibility and safety
 - Seniors are more likely to walk to open spaces if they are safe and attractive and provide areas for seating.
- A Place to learn
 - History
 - Culture

30

Ecology ... and more









- Places with a local identity
- A unique identifying element



Information and good signage

- Neighborhood kiosk
- Interpretive signage
- Park signage





Attractive low maintenance furnishings

- Signage
- Trash receptacles
- Bicycle racks
- Lighting







Outdoor Urban Recreational Trends

Urban outdoor recreation space

• Needed near offices and retail for the after work sport leagues



Outdoor Urban Recreational Trends

Non-traditional activities and sports

- Splash spots
- HeartSmart trails and paths
- Theatre and dance event spaces
- Running events and paths
- Multi-use of courts for non-traditional activities












35

Demographic Trends

Demographic shifts in past and future affects park trends

- The County's senior population is growing significantly inside the Beltway
- The oldest demographic area in Montgomery County located is adjacent to site (Village of Friendship Heights)
- Research is continuing on demographics of the immediate neighborhood





36

Chevy Chase Open Space

Opportunities and assets

- A beautiful green space in a highly urban area with healthy landscape plant specimens and trees
- Highly accessible by METRO, bus, walking, biking, or public parking lots
- Within walking distance from single-family and high-rise residential areas, offices, retail stores and restaurants
- Relatively flat terrain that is suitable for a variety of activities
- An active and interested partner in Chevy Chase Village





Chevy Chase Open Space

Issues and constraints

- Multiple Stakeholders with potentially varied interests
 - Transit users
 - Chevy Chase Village residents
 - Immediately adjacent neighbors
 - Village of Friendship Heights residents
 - District of Columbia residents
 - Employees and retail customers
- Site Compatibility

38

- Small site 2 acres
- Compatibility issues with surrounding land uses, two-way impacts:

Single-family & Commercial Mixed Use

Chevy Chase Open Space

- Large elevation change between park and retail area ("the wall")
- Adjacent neighborhood concerns about noise, inappropriate uses, etc.



Issues and Constraints (cont'd.)

Design issues

- Paving versus green space
- Security and amount of lighting
- Safe pedestrian and maintenance vehicle access
- Way-finding and signage
- Regulatory constraints

Implementation issues

- Stiff competition for CIP funds for improvements (other parks, non-park projects)
- Severe constraints on maintenance funding limits design choices unless additional funding sources are found





Tonight

We need your input!

- Breakout Sessions
 90 minutes to answer two key questions
- Question #1: Vision What types of amenities and activities would you like to see offered at this park?
- Question #2: Issues What concerns you the most about the development of this park?





What's next?

- Next Meeting: Fall 2010
- Give us your ideas throughout the planning process at www.parkplanning.org
- Ongoing communications with community representatives
- Email updates will be sent to attendees
 - Please remember to give us your email address

Proposed schedule

| June 15, 2011 | Summer 2011 | Summer 2011 | Fall 2011 | Winter 2011 | Spring 2012 and beyond |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Community Visioning Kick-off: Meeting #1 | MNCPPC staff create Draft Concepts to address Vision and Issues from Meeting #1 | Ongoing collection of community input and revision of draft concepts | Present Plan Concepts to Community for Feedback: Meeting #2 | Preferred Concept Plan & Proposed Phasing and Implementation presented to the Planning Board | Plan Implementation in phases as funding available |



Thank you

www.ParkPlanning.org

Brenda.Sandberg@montgomeryparks.org



42

DRAFT!!!

Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park: What did we hear at the June 15th public meeting? Summary of Visioning with selected comments

Overall Vision: a green garden-like space available for mostly "passive" use (i.e., un-programmed uses)

- Long term financial challenges, so emphasize small, low cost amenities
- Do not want the property values to drop because of what is in the park
- No access for parking
- Want green-address basic environmental issues.
- Create sense of place
- "We want a passive park"
- Park can be called an *Arboretum*
- Green as possible
- Open as possible

Different potential users

- Neighboring entities that might want to use park
- Consider residents on Grove Street and Western Avenue
- Pass through park for commuters diagonal
- Passive just experience the open space
- Will the park be attracting outside users: a Passive or Active park?
- Like to see as many users as possible

Nearby parks provide for other uses

- Tennis courts across street
- Lives across from park: right across the street is a facility that has very active facilities, don't need to duplicate

Types of Amenities/Activities mentioned

- Play Areas
 - Naturalistic "scattered" playscape design (sand pit, rocks, logs)
 - Environmental/nature education
- Picnic Areas
 - o Picnic tables
- Open Flexible Space for gathering, play, events, celebrations
 - Keep significantly open area for play Frisbees, etc.
 - Big flat area left for casual play
 - o Open play area

- Main Promenade through park
 - Degree of Pavement:
 - Safe down hill in all weather conditions
 - Have met with people with diabetes
 - Meet the "high heel" test in lots of weather
 - Minimal concrete/paved area
 - Connect to Belmont ROW Trail
 - o Potential future connectivity to Somerset and other parks
 - Pervious path
- Gardens
 - Bought for green, beautiful, trees and plantings.
 - o Fountain
 - o Benches
 - Plantings educational tags
 - Add only indigenous species
 - Butterfly garden
 - Community garden
 - No Community Garden
 - \circ Chevy Chase Garden Club can they do something at the park?
- Gateways into park
- Looped trail around edge of park
- Buffers along adjacent properties
- Terraced Areas on existing slopes
- Maintenance Vehicle Access
- Signage/information/trash receptacles/light poles/etc.
 - $\circ \quad \text{Lighting for security} \\$
 - Need bike racks
- Security
 - Security Issues if this becomes a concept over a long time
 - \circ $\;$ Need to understand a fenced park that is locked up at night.
 - More people in a space make it safer
 - Community Eyes looking in currently obstructed fence park? After hours?
 - o Wants town police to review and approve plan
 - o Chief want lights, neighbors do not want big lights

Short-Term Improvements/Operations Issues

- Hard to get vision until there is a clean-up
- When will that occur?
- Send us an email about the contract clean-up. When it will occur, start date, any delays
- Give us an idea of how much useable space is there
- Short-term improvements:
 - #1 Dog Bag Dispensers
 - o #1a Gate
 - #2 Trash cans one at driveway and one at Grove Street.
- Trash cans gates number one priority need to consider placement. Put up gate and maybe locate at driveway entrance
- Needs activity in the interim
- Want replacement trees sooner than later
- Where would this fall in the CIP process are we at the end of the priority list? No
- Remove the fencing at the ends so people can walk through
- Remove fencing to enable access (currently encroached with invasive)

Other Issues Mentioned

- Don't like name, should be changed
- Historically land used by the Chevy Chase Hunt
- Friendship Heights History why is it called Friendship Heights

- Other comments...
- -
- Get examples from other parks Woodside, Bethesda Library on Arlington Road
- A time schedule for users such as yoga time at 10:00am as an example, then things can co-exist
- Worried about music because can hear it but then restrict size of band guitar only, say
- Two visions:
 - Residents want to see something soon
 - Then have a long term plan
- Small low cost amenities that would allow amenities
- Thinks the principal use is connecting people to Friendship Heights how they walk
- Once the park is open, we will see the use pattern
- Not using the LOS principals should be passive, green
- Does not think the park should be huge and attractive because of no parking
- Got it because it is green, beautiful, and lots of plantings
- A playground with equipment is duplicative
- Natural play all over site logs, sand pit, blend in to natural look of site
- People have used small weeping trees to play/hide under
- Want it to be green, basic environmental issues
- Ruby throated hummingbirds attract
- Really likes the brick path set brick in sand not concrete
- Wants a community garden and mulch paths
- Interpretive panels can offer Chevy Chase Land Co a way to donate
- Like as green and as open
- People need green trees
- Like nature education
- Nice par course in Rock Creek Park
- Along Grove Street want the street trees planted ASAP
- Think about Tree Stewards for this park

Chevy Chase Garden Urban Park

| | Themes | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Type of Amenities/Activities | Naturalistic | Formal | Sculptural |
| Play Areas | Natural materials, sand, logs, boulders, wild flowers, willow huts | Typical urban park play equipment | Sculpture elements that can be played upon |
| Picnic Areas | Seating logs, wood, willow chairs | Heavy duty benches and tables, metal , wood, plastic | Sculpture elements that can be sat upon |
| Main Entrance Plaza – needs to allow maintenance truck access and turn-around | Fine gravel or pervious pavement | Impervious paving - brick, stone, etc. | Sculptural plaza |
| Gateways into park | Use natural materials – trees, vines, shrubs etc to create a garden entry | Use stone or iron or more formal material to create the garden entry | Sculptural entry |
| Buffers along top of wall and along edges where desired | Plant buffer | Fence buffer | Sculptural fencing |
| People watching and waiting along Western Avenue | Seating and standing areas that are sheltered under the trees | Seating and standing areas that are sheltered | Sculptural seating and hanging out spots |
| Open flexible space for gathering, play, events, celebration | Existing trees as center , existing grassy area as center | Grassy areas edged with material to formally define the space. | Areas defined by sculpture |
| Areas for temporary tent shelters for events or flea markets | Sleeves in grassy areas for tent shelter poles | Paved tent pads | Tents are part of the overall garden sculpture |
| Terraced areas | Graded slope with natural stone or wood retaining walls | Terraced seating walls out of brick or concrete – skateboard friendly | Sculptural and functional – can sit on or play/skate on. |
| Main promenade through park | Wood chips or natural surface trail | Pavers – brick, stone | Material dictated by the sculptural theme |
| Looped trail around edge of park | Dirt trail | Asphalt trail | |
| Signage / Information | Wooden park signage | Metal signs to match other park furnishings | Sculptural signs that are part of the overall theme |
| Trash receptacles, light poles | Park standard trash receptacles and no lights | Same style as along the buffer trail from the community into the park | Sculptural – unique designs |
| Gardens | Only add new native plant material and not ornamental | Continue the existing garden theme and use plants that fit with the azalea, conifer, holly on site | Garden that works with the sculptural theme – maybe rare or unique plants. |



Appendix C

Chevy Chase Open Space Committee Meeting January, 2012

(This page intentionally left blank)



Chevy Chase Open Space

Chevy Chase Open Space Committee January 2012

Key Personnel

- Chevy Chase Open Space Committee
 - Meredith Wellington, Chair
 - David Winstead, Board Representative
 - Committee Members: Nina Bang-Jensen, Susie Eig, Diane Kartalia, Virginia Leachman, Jay Treadwell, Lyric Winik and Peter Yeo.
 - Michael Younes, Staff Liaison
- Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
 - Brenda Sandberg, Legacy Open Space Program Manager
 - Rachel Newhouse, Landscape Architect
 - Kevin Hagberg, Park Manager
- Community Participation
 - Many others have participated in this process: Judy Elliott, Victoria Knopes, Katherine Hayes, Jeffrey Johnson, Bliss Ryan, Pamela Simpson, Harry Tamvakis, Page Winstead and Jim Young from the Church of Latter Day Saints.

Committee Meetings and Public Participation

- Since September 2011 the Chevy Chase Open Space Committee has met six times. These meetings have been well attended not only by the Committee but also by other residents, particularly those living near the Wohlfarth Property. Jim Young from the adjoining Church of the Latter Day Saints has also attended, and has been advised of the progress of the Committee.
- We have worked closely and productively with Brenda Sandberg and Rachel Newhouse, and we thank them for that. We owe a special thanks to Michael Younes for all he has done to assist the process.
 - September 26, 2011—Meeting at the Wohlfarth Property with Brenda Sandberg and Rachel Newhouse
 - November 1st—Meeting at the Village Hall
 - November 17th—Meeting at the Wohlfarth Property with Brenda, Rachel, and Kevin Hagberg
 - December 8th—Meeting at the Village Hall
 - January 5, 2012—Meeting at the Village Hall

History of the Purchase of the Property

- Montgomery County purchased the Wohlfarth Property as an urban open space with Legacy Open Space (LOS) Funds. Chevy Chase Village (CCV) contributed 25% of the purchase price with the remaining 75% coming from Montgomery County.
- There is a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the County, Park & Planning and CCV over the future development of the park and outlining the management of the park and the financial obligations. The MOU, executed in 2002, calls for a management plan to be agreed upon between CCV and Park & Planning.
- In June 2011 Park & Planning identified a three-phase park implementation. Phase I is site cleanup, and that is now well on its way. Phase II is an Interim Implementation Plan with low cost improvements to create safe, usable park between now and ultimate build out. Phase III is coordinated w/the Concept Plan.
- Park & Planning is now developing a "Concept Plan/Operation and Use Plan" that will be the basis for future development and funding of the new urban park. Our Committee is working with Park & Planning staff on the development of the "Concept Plan". Phase III is implementation of full Concept Plan.

Vision for the Park

- The park will be dedicated to the enjoyment of a tranquil, garden like open space that offers the public a respite from the stresses of urban life. Design the park to enhance the beauty of natural contours of the land and existing garden plantings. A nature-based, green space park would be the only park of this kind in the surrounding area.
- Preserve green open space consistent with the purpose of the Legacy Open Space purchase of this property.
 - The Committee notes that the parks in the immediate area--including a large, recently renovated park in the District of Columbia-- have many different kinds of constructed (or physical) amenities--large playgrounds for all ages of children, a dog park, a ball park, basketball courts, and places for concerts at Wisconsin Place. The new park will add a resource that is currently missing.
- Consistent with the purpose of Legacy Open Space to increase the "green infrastructure" of Montgomery County, the park will increase connectivity between the Belmont Trail and existing sidewalks to encourage walking, biking, and the use of public transportation.



Nearby Parks and Recreation Facilities

Nearby Parks and Recreation Facilities



Draft Ideas for Park Concept


- Minimize use of large-scale formal paths. Where paths are added, use pervious surfaces if possible.
- Provide lighting at minimum level for public safety.
- Maximize usage of the park by offering a range of amenities that attract office workers, commuters, and neighbors from the high rises and single family homes.
- The park will have broad appeal to the many generations that live and work in the surrounding area, being child and teen-friendly, adult-friendly, and senior-friendly.

Park Site Analysis




• Pedestrian Paths

- one continuing from the Belmont Buffer path along the Chevy Chase Land Company buffer, the other on a diagonal from the northeast corner to the southwest corner – Western Avenue.
- The paths, particularly the diagonal one, should appear to be natural, and not to be straight lines. Consistent with minimizing the amount of pavement in the park, one path could be paved, the other could be made of crushed stone or other permeable materials.



- Lighting
 - The lighting should be the minimum needed to make the paths usable at night, and to keep the park safe.
 - Lighting should also be designed not intrude on the homes along Grove Street that abut the park.





• Guided Entry Points.

- There should be welcoming pedestrian gateways at the southwest and southeast corners on Western Avenue. The park should be landscaped in a way that welcomes pedestrians and guides them to designated points of entry.
- The Committee believes that the point of access for Park & Planning trucks should be an aesthetic gateway into the park, and it should have an ornamental gate or bollards that open the park to pedestrians, while preventing vehicles other than those of Park & Planning from entering.





Screening and Buffering


- There should be sufficient plantings to encourage pedestrians to enter through the designated openings, and to discourage children from darting into Western Avenue.
- Consider a low ornamental wrought iron fence that will provide an unobstructed line of site for emergency personnel and police, as requested by County and local law enforcement.
- A fence option would also entail only a one-time cost rather than on-going maintenance.
- Buffer the church and shopping center sides of the park with trees and plantings so that lovely vistas like open views on the shopping center side and the church steeple are visible, but the bulk of the urban high-rise buildings are not visible. Landscaping should also be added along Western Avenue for safety and to define the entry points for the park.
- Due to significant tree loss from recent storms along Grove Street we need to add buffering elements for these adjacent single family homes.





- Preserve the Grandfather Tree and the Celebration Tree.
- Restore the natural contours of the land from Grove Street to Western Avenue.







- Plant Preservation
 - Preserve and enhance existing Azalea Hill located next to Grove Street.
 - Preserve and enhance the Shaded Birch Grove along Western Avenue.





• Water feature

 not a large, formal fountain, but perhaps a rill or a low water feature like the one near Clyde's in Chevy Chase Center.









Human Sundial

- This would be in a prominent location, but one that maintains the natural, asymmetrical feeling of the park.
- Consider locating human sundial behind the vehicle entrance along Western Avenue.







• Meditation Maze

- This would be a pattern of stones, preferably a circular pattern.
- This would continue the theme of a tranquil gathering place and would also provide physical skill building for children and for seniors.

• Picnic Grove

- A cluster of seating choices located near the human sundial.
- Fixed and movable seating options available in a variety of materials.





Natural Play Scape

- a natural amenity to make the park accessible to children, families, and senior citizens without compromising the park's exceptional natural features.
- Elements of the natural play scape could include:
 - child-friendly landscaping such as weeping trees under which children could play;
 - hollow logs to climb through;
 - tree stumps of varying heights to jump on;
 - informal fairy gardens to spark imaginations;
 - earthen mounds to roll down; and
 - Sand Pits





Amenities:

 A bike rack, recycling receptacles and/or trashcan dog waste stations, benches, checkerboard tables, bulletin board, retaining walls with seating.

Landscape features:

- Use native plants when possible, but also nonnatives when these plants will be more suitable for the landscaping goals of the park. One of the landscaping goals is to have a plethora of flowers. Another is to use plants that discourage deer. There are lots of deer in the nearby woods.
- Label the plants for educational and historical purposes.





Implementation Phasing

- Likely that developments of the park will be done in phases.
- Early phases could include:
 - Screening and Buffering
 - Preservation, contouring, and enhancement of northwest section of the park and the adjacent Azalea Hill
 - Installation of Basic Amenities
 - Pedestrian Paths
 - Lighting
 - Guided Entry Points
- Later phases could include other features or elements, such as:
 - Preservation and enhancement of Shaded Birch Grove
 - Water Feature
 - Human Sundial
 - Meditation Maze
 - Natural Play Scape

Uses for the Park

- The park will be used by many people as a place to meet, play, relax, exercise, eat, and meditate.
- Uses:
 - Walking
 - Picnicking
 - Playing games
 - Using the sundial
 - Using the natural play scape
 - Reading
 - Commuting
 - Running through the water feature
 - Walking the dog
 - Throwing the Frisbee
 - Sledding
 - Office workers picking up sandwiches and eating in the park
 - WiFi Access.

Name of Park

- Committee and Park staff considering a new name for the park as part of Concept Plan process.
- Possible ideas to include in a new name:
 - Grove
 - Village
 - Green
 - Garden
 - Other ideas?

Next Steps

- Throughout this process, the Committee will be collaborating with Park staff as to the design, staging and priorities of the park.
- Park staff will prepare a draft Concept Plan and Phasing/Implementation Plan based on all comments received from the community, Committee, Village Board, and Park staff to date (currently underway).
- The Draft Concept Plan and Phasing/Implementation Plan will be reviewed by the Committee, the Board of Managers, and then at another public session to get additional input from the broader community.
- The Draft Concept Plan will then be revised and presented to the Montgomery County Planning Board for review and approval.
- Once approved, the Concept Plan will be in the queue for Facility Planning (detailed design) and Construction funding through the County Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
- The Park Concept is likely to be executed in stages due to budget constraints
- If CCV contributes funds toward final design and implementation, that may raise the priority for the park in the CIP.

Appendix D

Developing a Vision Public Meeting #2 June 18, 2012 (This page intentionally left blank)

Park Planning & Stewardship Division Park & Trail Planning Section and Legacy Open Space Program

Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park - Developing a Vision



M-NCPPC, Department of Parks, Montgomery County

Brenda Sandberg & Rachel Newhouse Senior Staff Briefing June 6, 2012




Agenda

- Background
- Concept Planning Process
 - Identify Opportunities and Constraints
 - Collaborate to Create Vision for Park
 - Create Concept Plan
 - Describe Site Amenities with Performance Standards
 - Set Path for Implementation
- Moving Forward
 - Next Steps
 - Partnership Ideas











Vicinity Context

PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION

Site Description

- Two-acre former single-family home site with parttime landscape business retail use
- Located within Chevy Chase Village and adjacent to Friendship Heights CBD
- Park bounded by Grove Street, Western Avenue, Chevy Chase Land Company, and Church of Latter Day Saints
- Western Avenue ROW is within District of Columbia
- Park is close to Grove Street houses





Background

2001

Identified as an Urban Open Space resource in the Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan

Recommendation to acquire as parkland

2002

Legacy Open Space Program acquisition as Urban Open Space

Partnership with Chevy Chase Village based on inter-governmental Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

2007

Department of Parks assumes responsibility for property

2009

Buildings and driveway demolished by Park crews

2011

6

Initial site clean-up conducted; Trash cans, security gate across driveway installed



Background

Intergovernmental MOU

- 2002 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) among Montgomery County, M-NCPPC, and Chevy Chase Village
 - Expresses intent of three parties to cooperate in operation and maintenance of Park
 - Chevy Chase Village contributed 25% of acquisition cost (\$1.25M)
 - Commission will operate/maintain park (per 1972 agreement with County)
 - Village shall provide municipal services (police, trash removal, etc.)
 - Commission & Village will "jointly prepare a management plan" in accordance with Legacy goals for the park
 - Management Plan (now the Concept Plan) may be amended with mutual agreement from Commission & Chevy Chase Village
- 2011 MOU Amendment: Chevy Chase Village contributed 50% of demolition cost (\$39K)






Background: Park Management

Significant Horticultural Cleanup

- Cleanup goals
 - Improve visibility and safety in the park
 - Remove undesirable and invasive plant species Park policy
 - Remove dead, dying and hazardous trees and t limbs
- Summer 2011 accomplishments
 - Removed 27 dead, dying and hazardous trees, over 150 invasive bushes
 - Coordinated with adjacent Properties to remove their DD&H trees (10 trees plus NNI shrubs)
 - Pruned, mulched to preserve remaining plants (120 trees, many shrubs)
- Remaining cleanup
 - Continue NNI control, debris removal
 - Remove remaining hazardous trees (11-12)
 - Request removal of two street trees by DC



Concept Plan Outreach and Development Process

- Site Analysis and Outreach Strategy developed Spring 2011
- Site Analysis/Outreach Strategy review with Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers, other community groups – April 2011
- Presentation to Parks Senior Management Team May 2011
- Community Meeting in partnership with Chevy Chase Village June 2011
- Park Concept Developed and Reviewed August 2011 January 2012:
 - Multiple site visits with Chevy Chase Village Citizens Liaison Committee and neighboring property owners
 - Multiple PDCO team meetings and site visits
- PDCO and PD planning meetings February 2012
- Parks Senior Management Briefing TODAY
- Second Community Meeting
- Presentation to CCV Board of Managers for approval
- Presentation to Planning Board for approval
- Move forward with Implementation Strategy

NEXT STEPS



Site Analysis/Outreach Results

Opportunities and Assets

- A beautiful green space in a highly urban area with healthy landscape plant specimens and trees
- Highly accessible by METRO, bus, walking, biking, or public parking lots
- Within walking distance from single-family and highrise residential areas, offices, retail stores and restaurants
- Relatively flat terrain that is suitable for a variety of activities
- An active and interested partner in Chevy Chase Village






Site Analysis/Outreach Results

Site Constraints

- Multiple Stakeholders with potentially varied interests
- Site Compatibility with varied, close surrounding land uses
- Relatively flat site with only minor grade changes
- Mature trees with large Critical Root Zones delineate areas to protect from major disturbance





Site Analysis/Outreach Results

Implementation Issues


- Stiff competition for limited CIP funds
- Severe constraints on maintenance funding limits design choices unless additional funding sources found
- Potential for continued Financial and In-Kind Partnership(s) to develop and maintain park:
 - Chevy Chase Village
 - A future Friends group
 - A local garden club
- Ongoing partnership example: Chevy Chase
 Village approved \$12K in FY13 for Park for either site improvements or planning/design work



Vision for the Park (CCV Committee)

The Concept Plan envisions a park that:

- Provides a nature-based, green space park, a unique resource in the surrounding area and consistent with the purpose of the Legacy Open Space plan
- Offers the public a respite from the stresses of urban life through enjoyment of a tranquil, garden-like open space
- Enhances the beauty of the natural contours of the land and existing garden plantings
- Increases connectivity between the Belmont Trail and existing sidewalks to encourage walking, biking, and the use of public transportation
- Offers a range of amenities to maximize usage by attracting office workers, commuters and neighbors from the high rises and single family homes
- Appeals to the many generations that live and work in the surrounding area, being friendly to children and teens, adults, and seniors





The Concept

- Preserves and enhances the existing Garden
- Locates the communityrequested site amenities
 - Main pedestrian walkway
 - Natural play area
 - Seating and picnic plaza
 - Informal community gathering space
 - Garden entrances
- Describes a design aesthetic





The Concept

Preserves and Enhances the Existing Garden

- Numerous specimen trees and shrubs
- Vegetated buffers along park edges
- Azalea Hill
- Large lawn

15

Grassy slope





Landscape Plantings and Great Lawn

- Performance standards
 - Preserve and improve garden feel of park while preserving large open lawn area for unprogrammed play
 - Anchor plantings (trees, large shrubs) to be planted first after further design stages completed
 - Use native and appropriate non-native plants suitable to the landscape goals for each area
 - Provide long season of interest through variety of blooming plants
 - Require relatively low maintenance over time with a focus on perennial plants
 - Installed in stages for priority landscape areas:
 - Eastern Park Edge Buffer
 - Western Park Edge Buffer
 - Azalea Hill

16

• Woodland Walk Entryway



Western Park Edge Buffer

Main Pedestrian Walkway thru park

- Performance standards
 - Provides primary pedestrian connection from neighborhood to Friendship Heights Metro and developed core
 - Hard surface, easy to walk on and ADA accessible
 - Lighting provided at night
 - Similar to walkway through adjacent buffer park
 - Located away from specimen tree critical root zones
 - Able to withstand maintenance vehicle traffic



Existing buffer park walkway

Woodland Walkway along the edge

- Performance standards
 - Connects Belmont Trail with main pedestrian walkway
 - Easy surface to walk on
 - Woodsy feeling with new plantings







Natural Play Area

- Performance standards
 - Located on edge of park near the grandfather tree and adjacent church
 - Made of natural materials such as logs, sand, stones, plants, boulders
 - Includes elements for physical development such as playhouses, mazes, sand pits
 - Includes playful elements such as carved tree faces or animal sculptures within the space and marking the entrances to the play area
 - Contained so that users protected from busy street (Western Avenue)
 - Provides comfortable seating for parents and grandparents
 - Promotes play and learning from plants and nature







Making music Images from the new Natural Exploration Area at the Locust Grove Nature Center

Seating and Picnic Plaza

- Performance standards
 - Located near main entrance to park and pedestrian paths for visibility and easy access from urban core
 - Provide comfortable and moveable tables and chairs to allow for flexible group gatherings
 - Have a hard but permeable surface for furnishings
 - Provide electricity for small music performances
 - Provide Wi-Fi access
 - Be buffered from noise of Western Avenue while not creating noise issues for Grove Street residences
 - Include places to put trash and recyclables
 - Provide shady and sunny spots in which to sit
 - Feel very garden-like and intimate



Moveable tables and chairs

Lighting for the Park

- Performance standards
 - Provide lighting along main pedestrian path to address commuter safety during dark hours
 - Use energy efficient lighting such as solar powered systems
 - Have minimal visual impact on park
 - Be integrated into the natural garden features and amenities
 - Provide the minimum necessary lighting for safety while not being intrusive to Grove Street residences







Fence along Western Avenue

- Performance standards
 - Provide a 3' tall fence along the Western Avenue edge from the Main Entrance Gate to the northeast
 - Be integrated into the garden theme to have a unified aesthetic for all park amenities
 - Be substantial enough to prevent young children from accidentally entering the roadway from the Natural Play Area or Great Lawn
 - Be transparent enough to maintain good visibility into the park, such as with wrought iron fencing





Site Furnishings: Benches, Water Fountains, Signage, Trash Receptacles, Bike Racks, Kiosk

- Performance standards
 - Be integrated into the garden theme to have a unified aesthetic
 - Match amenities in Belmont Trail buffer area, as possible
 - Seating should be comfortable and attractive
 - Water fountains should be energy efficient and allow for dog use
 - Park Signage should provide the necessary information about park security numbers and maintenance numbers
 - Kiosk(s) should allow space for posting community events and activities




Amenities in Belmont Trail Buffer Area

Site Amenities (Program of Requirements)

Main Entry Gate

- Performance standards
 - Located at current driveway entrance on Western Avenue
 - Allow for pedestrians to enter when closed and when opened allow for maintenance vehicles to enter
 - Be integrated into the garden theme and use similar materials to attain a unified aesthetic
 - Be a focal feature for the park and possibly include the name of the park in the design



Site Amenities (Program of Requirements)

Secondary Entry Ways

- Performance standards
 - Located at main pedestrian walkway entrances to park, plus at entry near Belmont Trail
 - Integrated into the garden theme and use similar materials to attain a unified aesthetic
 - Be a focal feature for the park
 - Use standard "brown & white" park signs at one or more of these entry points





Potential Site Amenities (Optional P.O.R.)

Water features

- Performance standards
 - Be an informal or low water feature, integrated into the garden theme
 - Be open to active play
 - Be a cooling feature in the summer and be attractive when not on in the winter
 - Be a noise buffer from the traffic on Western Avenue
 - Be part of a sustainable system that circulates the water and captures rain water
 - Opportunity for installation and maintenance by a private partner







Potential Site Amenities (Optional P.O.R.)

Sculptural or Enhanced Garden features

- Performance standards
 - Be integrated into the garden theme
 - Be open to active play and provide tranquil space for enjoyment
 - Inspire playful imagination
 - Sculptural options include:
 - Human Sundial
 - Meditation Maze
 - Enhanced Garden options include:
 - High-maintenance annual beds
 - High-maintenance garden plantings
 - Opportunity for installation and maintenance by a private partner







Next Steps: Interim Improvement Plan

- Goals of Interim Improvement Plan
 - Since Park already in use, quickly provide low-cost improvements to create safe, usable park between now and ultimate build-out
 - Coordinated with Concept Plan to ensure long-term compatibility
 - Ideally implemented in coming 12 months (Summer 2012- Spring 2013)
- Projects to include
 - Install Park Signage
 - Coordinate with CC Land Company on installation of property fence
 - Install trash cans of preferred design at preferred locations
 - Relocate outlying azaleas to Azalea Hill and potentially add new azaleas
 - Remove concrete walkway to former house
 - Install temporary low-level solar lighting along alignment of Main Pedestrian Walkway
 - Interim Landscape Improvements to include: »Remove remaining debris
 »Continue NNI control across site
 »Mulch under trees
 - When 35% design complete, start installing anchor plantings along priority landscape areas



Next Steps: How to Move Forward?

Approval of Concept Plan and Implementation Strategy

- Second Community Meeting
- Presentation to CCV Board of Managers for approval
- Presentation to Planning Board for approval

Move forward with Implementation Strategy

- Option 1
 - Traditional Facility Plan through 35%
 - Seek funds from CIP and partner(s) for final design, construction, and operation
 - Pros: Provides engineering and cost estimates to give level of confidence to moving forward with final design/construction for hardscape and utilities (water, electricity)
 - Cons: Facility Plan for entire park could be more lengthy process than separate phases
- Option 2
 - Split Concept Plan into discrete phases based on community priorities, then pursue final design and construction (through CIP and partners) for each phase of the park
 - Pros:

29

»Provides smaller phases and projects that could be addressed through existing or new partnerships »Could speed implementation efforts for priority project within the park

Cons: Would not provide overall plan for park to ensure each phase is compatible with the rest, especially
regarding utilities



Decisions for Senior Managers

- Comment on and Approval of Concept Plan
- Recommendation for appropriate process to implement Concept Plan
- Questions?



Appendix E

Concept Plan Planning Board Briefing May 9, 2013 (This page intentionally left blank)



MCPB Item #

May 9, 2013

MEMORANDUM


| April 30, 2013 | |
|----------------|--|
| TO: | Montgomery County Planning Board |
| VIA: | Mary Bradford, Director of Parks Mike Riley, Deputy Director of Parks MR John E. Hench, Ph.D., Chief, Park Planning & Stewardship Division JetHeuth |
| FROM: | Brenda Sandberg, Legacy Open Space Manager, Park Planning & Stewardship Division 765 Rachel Newhouse, Planner Coordinator, Park Planning & Stewardship Division |
| Subject: | Chevy Chase Open Space Concept Plan Briefing & Discussion |

Staff Recommendation

Presentation of the Concept Plan for Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park, developed in partnership with Chevy Chase Village, and discussion of the proposed implementation strategy to create this green open space park. The briefing will be conducted by Park Planning and Stewardship staff, with representatives of Park Development, Southern Region, and Chevy Chase Village joining for discussion of the future implementation of the Concept Plan.

Briefing and Discussion Goals

- Update current Planning Board members on this park's unique background, including Acquisition, Site Cleanup & Interim Improvements, all completed in partnership with Chevy Chase Village
- Present Board with Concept Plan and Program of Requirements
- Discuss and Receive Board Guidance on Proposed Implementation Strategy
 - Phased Implementation Strategy
 - o Partner-Funded Facility Plan
 - Coordinated Funding Requests for Phased Implementation with Partner



Background

Chevy Chase Open Space was acquired as an Urban Open Space through the Legacy Open Space program in 2001. The two-acre single family home site, located adjacent to Friendship Heights CBD and within the limits of Chevy Chase Village, was acquired in partnership with Chevy Chase Village ("the Village"). The significant contribution of the Village towards the acquisition (\$1.25 Million, or 25%) created a unique park partnership with a municipality, as described in a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) completed at the time.

The Department of Parks took control of the property in late 2007 after cessation of a life estate. Since that time, the house and associated structures have been demolished, the site has been analyzed from a park design and CPTED perspective, and large amounts of unsafe and non-native invasive vegetation have been removed. The MOU with the Village was amended at the time of demolition, through which the Village continued to support this park by reimbursing the Commission for 50% of the demolition costs (\$39 thousand).

In 2011, a Concept Plan process was undertaken to create a "Vision" for the park, a Concept Plan, and a Program of Requirements (P.O.R.) necessary to move forward with future Facility Planning. A liaison committee was created by Chevy Chase Village to work hand-in-hand with the Department of Parks, and an internal PDCO Team was established. During 2011 to 2012, multiple site visits, internal coordination meetings, and public meetings were held to develop the Concept Plan. The Concept Plan being presented to you today has been approved by the Board of Managers of Chevy Chase Village and the Director of Parks as guidance for moving forward with future development of the Park.

Following approval of the Concept Plan by the Director of Parks and the Chevy Chase Village Board of Managers, a list of quick, low-cost improvements was created that were compatible with the Concept Plan. These interim improvements were implemented over the Fall 2012 – Spring 2013 to create a safe, usable park in the interim until further development can be pursued. Projects included wood chip paths, remaining debris cleanup, interim landscaping improvements, and signage.

Vision for the Park

The first step in the Concept Plan process was to work with the community and staff to create a vision for the park. As written by the Village Liaison Committee, the Concept Plan envisions a park that:

- Provides a nature-based, green space park, a unique resource in the surrounding area and consistent with the purpose of the Legacy Open Space plan
- Offers the public a respite from the stresses of urban life through enjoyment of a tranquil, garden-like open space
- Enhances the **beauty** of the natural contours of the land and existing garden plantings
- Increases connectivity between the Belmont Trail and existing sidewalks to encourage walking, biking, and the use of public transportation
- Offers a range of amenities to maximize usage by attracting office workers, commuters and neighbors from the high rises and single family homes
- Appeals to the many generations that live and work in the surrounding area, being friendly to children and teens, adults, and seniors

Summary of Program of Requirements

This summary of the Program of Requirements will be explained in more detail during today's presentation. Analysis of the site during the Concept Plan process included consideration of CPTED, ADA compatibility, tree protection, and other park design guidelines, but complete evaluation of the proposal against those guidelines/regulations will be completed at later design stages.

- Main Pedestrian Walkway and Woodland Walkway Provide main hard-surface connections through park
- Lighting Along Walkways Provide safety for commuters during dark hours
- Informal Gathering Space Retain natural slope for seating and play
- Azalea Hill Preserve and enhance existing garden of azaleas and shade trees
- Screat Lawn Preserve large open area for un-programmed play (Community Open Space)
- * Natural Play Area Support creative play through area with all natural materials
- Sirch Grove Preserve as quiet buffer between Park and Western Avenue
- Seating/Picnic Plaza Provide area with moveable furnishings for meals and meetings, and Wi-Fi for mobile device usage
- Park Entrances (from Belmont Buffer, Grove Street, Western Avenue, and Main Entrance) Support design aesthetic through entry designs with features such as gardens, plantings, stone pillars, gates
- Western and Eastern Edge Planting Buffers Create broken screening along urban and church sides of park, using variety of plant materials
- Birdhouse Garden Create garden around existing birdhouse; potential location for optional amenities
- Western Avenue Fence Provide a transparent fence to prevent accidental entry into Western Avenue from the play areas while preserving visibility into/out of park
- Optional Amenities: Sculptural or Enhanced Garden Features Consider adding sculptures or elaborate gardens through partner or private funding for installation and maintenance
- Optional Amenities: Water Features Consider adding a water feature that integrates with the garden theme through partner or private funding for installation and maintenance

The Concept Plan is attached to this Memorandum.

Attachment: Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park, Concept Plan, April 2013

cc: Bill Tyler, Chief, Southern Region
Steve Chandlee, Southern Region
Mohammed Turay, Southern Region
Kevin Hagberg, Southern Region
Mitra Pedoeem, Chief, Park Development
Linda Komes, Park Development
Tricia McManus, Park Development
David Vismara, Chief, Horticulture, Forestry & Environmental Education
Phil Normandy, Horticulture, Forestry & Environmental Education
Antonio DeVaul, Chief, Park Police
Brooke Farquhar, Park Planning & Stewardship
Pat Baptiste, Chair, Board of Managers, Chevy Chase Village
Michael Younes, Operations Director, Chevy Chase Village

Park & Trail Planning Section and Legacy Open Space Program

Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park – Concept Plan



Chevy Chase Village


Pat Baptiste, Chair, Board of Managers Shana Davis-Cook, Village Manager Michael Younes, Director of Municipal Operations Meredith Wellington, Chair, Chevy Chase Open Space

Committee

M-NCPPC Montgomery Parks Brenda Sandberg, Legacy Open Space Manager Rachel Newhouse, Landscape Architect Planning Board Briefing May 9, 2013







PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION

2


Briefing Goals

- Update Current Board on Unique Park Background: Acquisition, Site Cleanup & Interim Improvements through Partnership
- Present Board with Concept Plan and Program of Requirements
- Discuss and Receive Board Guidance on Proposed Implementation Strategy
 - Phased Implementation Strategy
 - Chevy Chase Village-Funded Facility Plan
 - Coordinated Funding Requests for Phased Implementation with Village





Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park – Concept Plan



Site Description

- Two-acre former single-family home site with history of part-time nursery sales
- Located within Chevy Chase Village and adjacent to Friendship Heights CBD
- Park bounded by Grove Street, Western Avenue, Chevy Chase Center, and Church of Latter Day Saints
- Western Avenue ROW is within District of Columbia
- Park is close to Grove Street houses
















Park Creation Process



Acquisition 2001-2007

2001 Identified in Legacy Open Space Functional Master Plan

- Urban Open Space Resource
- Recommendation to acquire as parkland

2002 Acquired in partnership with Chevy Chase Village via Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

- Expresses intent of three parties (Montgomery County, M-NCPPC, and Chevy Chase Village) to cooperate in operation and maintenance of Park
- Chevy Chase Village contributed 25% of acquisition cost (\$1.25M)
- Commission will operate/maintain park (per 1972 agreement with County)
- Village will provide municipal services (police, trash removal, etc.)
- Commission & Village will "jointly prepare a management plan" in accordance with Legacy goals
- "Management Plan" may be amended with mutual agreement from Commission & Chevy Chase Village

2007 Parks assumed responsibility for property





7

Site Cleanup 2008-2011

2008-2009 Buildings & driveway demolished

 MOU Amendment (2011): Chevy Chase Village contributed 50% of demolition cost (\$39K)

2010 Detailed site survey completed

2011 Significant Vegetation Cleanup

- Goal: Improve visibility and safety in the park through removal of invasive and dead, dying and hazardous plants
- Removed 27 dead, dying and hazardous trees, plus hundreds of invasive bushes
- Coordinated with adjacent properties to do similar removals
- Pruned, mulched to preserve remaining plants (120 trees, many shrubs)

Before



After

_ _ _ _ _ _ _

Site Cleanup 2008-2011

Before







After



Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park - Concept Plan

_ _ _ _ _ _ _


Concept Plan Development 2011-2012

2011

 Established CCV Open Space Committee and PDCO Team

2011-2012

- Outreach to greater community
- Multiple briefings, field visits, public meetings and internal coordination



2012

- Approved by Parks Senior Management
- Approved by CCV Board of Managers



Interim Improvements 2012-2013

2012-2013 Goal

Provide quick, low-cost improvements compatible with Concept Plan to create safe, usable park now

Sample Projects

- Install a temporary surface and low-level solar lighting along alignment of Main Walkway
- Install Park signage, kiosk, & preferred-design trash cans
- Remove remaining debris, including concrete walkway to former house
- Interim Landscape Improvements
 - Continue NNI control across site
 - Relocate certain plants to Azalea Hill
 - Install anchor plantings along priority landscape areas,
 - Mulch under all trees







Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park – Concept Plan

Interim Improvements 2012-2013

Accomplishments through April 2013

- Trash cans ordered by Chevy Chase Village
- Azaleas relocated to Azalea Hill
- Concrete walkway & debris removed
- Temporary wood chip paths installed
- Landscape improvements completed
 - Stumps, NNIs and undesirable plants removed
 - 31 new trees planted on site
 - Donated shrubs installed
 - Beds edged and mulched









PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION

Concept Plan: Site Analysis

Opportunities

- A beautiful green space in a highly urban area
- Healthy landscape plant specimens and trees
- Highly accessible by all modes of transport
- Walking distance from single-family and high-rise residential areas, offices, churches, retail stores and restaurants
- Flat terrain suitable for a variety of activities

Constraints

Mature trees with large Critical Root Zones to avoid









Vision for the Park

The Concept Plan envisions a park that

- Provides a nature-based, green space park, a unique resource in the surrounding area and consistent with the purpose of the Legacy Open Space plan
- Offers the public a respite from the stresses of urban life through enjoyment of a tranquil, garden-like open space
- Enhances the **beauty** of the natural contours of the land and existing garden plantings
- Increases connectivity between the Belmont Path and existing sidewalks to encourage walking, biking, and the use of public transportation
- Offers a range of amenities to maximize usage by attracting office workers, churchgoers, commuters and neighbors from the high rises and single family homes
- Appeals to the many generations that live and work in the surrounding area, being friendly to children and teens, adults, and seniors








_ _ _ _ _ _ _


The Concept Plan



Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park - Concept Plan

.

The Concept Plan



16

PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION

Program of Requirements (POR)

Main Pedestrian Walkway

- Provides primary pedestrian connection from neighborhood to Friendship Heights Metro and developed core
- Hard surface, easy to walk on and ADA accessible

Woodland Walkway

- Connects Belmont Buffer Path with Main Pedestrian Walkway
- Hard surface, easy to walk on, ADA accessible, but distinguish from main walkway through width, color, materials

Lighting along Walkways

- Provides commuter safety during dark hours
- Integrated into the natural garden features with minimal visual impact
- Provide enough lighting for safety while not being intrusive to Grove Street residences







17

Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park - Concept Plan

Program of Requirements (POR)

Belmont Buffer Entrance


- Woodland Walkway entrance to Park from Belmont Buffer
- Create quiet woodland walking experience with trees and gardens

Informal Gathering Space

 Natural slopes for seating and play area

Western Edge Planting Buffer

- Use variety of plant materials to provide long season of interest with low maintenance
- Use plants with a variety of heights and textures to create broken screen of fence and urban viewscape





PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION

Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park – Concept Plan


Program of Requirements (POR)

Grove Street Entrance

- Main Pedestrian Walkway entrance to park from Village
- Consider entrance features such as park signs, stone pillars, arched plantings

Azalea Hill

- Preserve existing dense area of azaleas and shade trees
- Enhance through additional flowering shrubs and trees







Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park - Concept Plan

Program of Requirements (POR)

Great Lawn

- Preserve large open lawn area for un-programmed play
- Flexible space will change over time

Natural Play Area

 Made of materials such as logs, sand, stones, plants, boulders

Eastern Edge Planting Buffer

- Use variety of plant materials to provide long season of interest with low maintenance
- Use plants with a variety of heights and textures to create broken screen along border with Church











Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park - Concept Plan

Program of Requirements (POR)

Birch Grove


- Shady grove between existing rows of birches and evergreens
- Natural surface entrance path to Natural Play Area
- Serves as buffer to traffic on Western Avenue

Western Avenue Fence

- Provide a 3' tall fence with good visibility along entire Western Ave edge
- Serves to prevent children from entering roadway from play area

Main Entrance Gate

- For pedestrians all the time and maintenance vehicles when open
- Integrate into garden theme and overall design aesthetic, consider including park name in design



_ _ _ _ _ _ _

Program of Requirements

Western Avenue Entrance


- Major pedestrian entrance/exit closest to Metro and commercial core
- Entrance features to illuminate garden theme such as natural stone or arched plantings

Seating/Picnic Plaza

- Located for visibility and easy access from urban core
- Intimate, garden feel, but hard enough surface for movable furnishings
- Electricity for small events and Wi-Fi access for all

Birdhouse Garden

- Establish a garden and focal point around the existing birdhouse
- Potential location for one or more optional POR items, such as complex or higher maintenance plantings







_ _ _ _ _ _ _


Optional POR – Partner Funded

Sculptural or Enhanced Garden features

- Integrated into the garden theme
- Open to active, imaginative play and provide tranquil space for enjoyment
- Sculptural options
 - Human Sundial
 - Meditation Maze
- Enhanced Garden options
 - High-maintenance annual beds
 - High-maintenance garden plantings

Water Feature

- Informal or low water feature, integrated into the garden theme and open to active play
- Cooling feature in the summer and attractive when not on in the winter
- Noise buffer from the traffic on Western Avenue
- Partner-funded for installation and operating expenses






Implementation Strategy

Next Steps

- 1. Take Concept Plan through Facility Plan with cost estimates ASAP
- 2. Split Concept Plan into discrete phases based on community priorities for site amenities and Facility Plan cost estimates
- **3**. Pursue final design and installation/construction for each phase as funds are available through CIP and partners










Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park - Concept Plan

Implementation Strategy

Proposed Phasing Priorities

- Install main pedestrian walkway and woodland walkway plus lighting and other utilities
- 2) Natural play area and western avenue fence
- Detailed landscaping plans for garden areas: Western Buffer and Belmont Buffer Entrance, Eastern Buffer, Azalea Hill
- 4) Seating and picnic plaza plus main entrance gate
- Optional amenities, such as water feature, sundials, mazes, or enhanced gardens





Implementation Strategy

- Chevy Chase Village has Current Funding for Facility Plan
- Submit Coordinated Funding Proposals for Phased Construction
- Start with FY15-FY16 Budget Request for Design and Construction for First Phase






PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION

Discussion

Planning Board and Chevy Chase Village Discussion Points

- Phased Implementation Strategy
- Chevy Chase Village-Funded Facility Plan
- Coordinated Funding Requests for Phased Implementation with Village







PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION



Thank You!







.

28

PARK PLANNING & STEWARDSHIP DIVISION

Appendix F

Correspondence and Meeting Minutes

(This page intentionally left blank)





MEETING MINUTES

From:

n: Andrea Romano

Project:

Chevy Chase Village Urban Park

Meeting Date: July 24, 2013 10:00-11:30am Meeting Location Chevy Chase Village Hall

Meeting Purpose:

Discuss design

Attendees:

Rachel Newhouse Michael Younes Linda Komes Marsha Lea Andrea Romano

We reviewed an updated site plan, three cross sections through the nature play area, enlargement plans of the human sundial, sand box, park entrance at Belmont Buffer and Western Avenue Entry and sections through service entrance on Western Avenue, section through pavement types, elevations of three alternatives for entrance arbors.

- In response to a discussion about including musical instruments in the northern portion of the play area (drums, xylophone, talking tubes, etc.), Michael said some neighbors might object because of the noise. Might need to select "quieter" instruments or locate them further south.
- Michael asked that the route of the Woodland Path curve to the west as it connects to the Belmont trail and as shown in the concept plan instead of the more orthogonal layout we presented. This would remedy people cutting the corner. Sign location at a 45 degree angle and set back as shown is acceptable.
- The bench we are showing near the spruce tree at the top of the sledding hill may be objectionable for security concerns related to a specific neighbor. This bench could be viewed as a future item.
- We should add bike racks near the drinking fountain (northern end of nature play).
- Discussion of materials for the surface of the sundial.
 - Marsha suggested the outer edge could be bluestone (easily engraved) with concrete in the center
 - Exposed aggregate was discussed for the concrete, but was ruled out because of the difficulty to get good installation. It would also be hard on bare feet.
 - Michael suggested mosaic tile to embed in the concrete to create the sun dal elements
- Discussion of imprints (animal prints, leaf prints) in concrete surrounding the sand box. Like the idea, but there is a concern with ADA – will need to keep them shallow (1/4"). Michael suggested hand prints of neighborhood kids could also be used – perhaps at dedication ceremony.



- Concern that the lean-to under the black walnut tree might result in some allergic reaction from kids. Asked that we look into the issue. Could use the willow oak instead.
- Discussion regarding the retaining wall material. We showed the walls as wood; however there was concern about the longevity of the material. Stone could be an option, which would provide more flexibility for the curvilinear lines we have shown. We also discussed that with wood, we would be able to add little doors to the crevices in the wall to encourage kids to play with them (open and close the doors, put things in, take things out). If the walls were stone, this wouldn't be possible.
- Michael noted that the Belmont Trail is concrete unit pavers and the Village does not really like them (the chamfered edges are the reason).
- Entrance arbor discussion
 - Liked the idea of the two arbors looking similar but not the same and liked the idea of an arched top
 - Stone base with wood (cedar) trellis/arbor (vs. metal arbor) is the preference.
 - Suggested looking at precedents of stone work in Chevy Chase; mentioned Brookfield Road at Newlands Road
 - Liked the idea of embedding a stone panel with park name in the arbor wall
 - Want to use stone for the cap material, not precast concrete
 - Michael thinks vehicles could try to drive in that entrance because of the width of the arbor at Western Ave. We will look at adding bollards to prevent vehicular access.
- Discussion about the bench pad, asked that we make the wheel chair portion and the bench portion the same width instead of as we showed in the sketch (narrower at bench)
- Woodland walk width should be 5'2" so we achieve the 5' width required by ADA and minimize the need to cut brick (and instead of using narrower walk and providing landings every 200 feet). Setting bed for the bricks was decided to be mastic instead of mortar.
- Confirmed no irrigation to be provided.
- For reinforced turf, Park and Planning has not had success with recycled plastic rings, but have with reinforcing mesh/fiber added to soil.
- We reviewed the tree removals in the ROW along Western Avenue (mostly silver maples and a few Bradford pears that are in decline). Michael wondered if we have a contact at DC Urban Forestry with whom we can discuss these proposed tree removals.
- Also discussed the potential removal of two blue spruces by the proposed dining terrace
 - Rachel noted that there might have been a connection between the two spruces by the proposed dining terrace and two spruces at the top of the hill.
 - Concluded that we should try to save them, but if we found them to be in less than ideal condition or the grading couldn't work to save them that it would be okay to remove one or both.

Note: The above meeting minutes are the interpretation of the writer. If you feel any item requires correction or clarification, please notify the writer within 10 business days.





MEETING MINUTES

From:

Andy Streagle Project: Chevy Chase Village Urban Park

Meeting Date: Meeting Location

August 1, 2013 11:00am-12:30pm Parkside HQ

Meeting Purpose: Discuss design

Attendees:

Linda Komes Meredith Wellington Bob Green Kim Paniati Mohammed Turav Marsha Lea Andy Streagle

We reviewed an updated site plan, cross sections enlargement plans, pavement types, and elevations of alternatives for entrance arbors.

MAIN WALK:

- Width at 8' may not be enough for trucks as P & P experience suggests a wider surface is required. We need information on the width of the wheel base and the width of the wheels to further develop the cross section for the main walk. Community is reluctant to see the walk as wide as 8'. It may be that the walk could be narrower with a band of reinforced turn on one side of the walk to provide a wide enough stable driving surface. We again discussed the possibility of having the truck with trailer park on Grove Street so maintenance vehicles don't have to drive into the park. We also suggested they approach the Church next door for permission to park the maintenance vehicles in their parking lot. Meredith pointed out that she expects the church will use the park for Sunday school etc. and might be amenable. Meredith will discuss these options with the community, reviewing the trade-offs with them. For the purposes of the SWM plan we will calculate using an 8' wide walk for the main walk.
- We discussed maintenance vehicles entering and exiting at the Western Avenue entrance which led to the conversation above. If maintenance vehicles cannot be parked on Grove Street and cannot exit onto Grove Street we will need to provide a turn around. It could be created using reinforced turf (using fabric reinforcing as opposed to rings).
- Meredith explained that the community sees the nature play area as a very discrete and softly defined area and believes the design presented is more complex and developed than they want. She didn't think a sand box was necessary. She didn't believe an entrance to the play area from Western Avenue was intended. She doesn't believe the sundial will be part of the first phase of construction nor does it need to include a water element given nearby water play opportunities.

• We explained that the conceptual plan suggests the entrance to Western Ave. and included a sand box. The sundial could be built later and the water feature could be as simple as misters for use during hot weather.

TERRACE:

- Meredith questioned the adjustments we made to the location of the dining terrace. We explained the rationale behind shifting north and rotating was to fit it into the slope and to move it a little further away from the noise of Western Avenue, and also to locate it at the junction of the two walkways so the connections between terrace and walk were easily made.
- Meredith also wondered if the walk connect shown on the south side of the terrace, connecting terrace to the eastern entrance on Western Avenue is necessary as it wasn't on the concept plan.

FOUNTAINS:

- Meredith pointed to the images in the Conceptual Plan booklet and explained that there is interest in a stream that might start in the azalea garden and run under the walk and into the low area/rain garden. We explained that it would need to cut across the slope and would require the removal of several of the existing oak trees.
- There is interest in having a water wall type fountain near the dining terrace to mask the noise from Western Avenue.

SAND BOX:

• Bob Green thinks we can accommodate ADA requirements by providing a lift (hand holds and proper elevation seat) to allow wheel chair users to gain access to the sand. He thinks the inclusion of an elevated sand table is also a good idea but may not be necessary.

NEXT STEPS:

- Meredith will review our meeting discussion with other community members.
- We will reconvene to review the site plan and our discussion with Rachel, Brenda and Michael as early as possible next week.
- We will create a quick sketch illustrating a nature play area reduced in scale in response to Meredith's concerns.
- Given the project schedule we will advance the SWM approach assuming 8' wide walks and the nature play area as presented to date.

Note: The above meeting minutes are the interpretation of the writer. If you feel any item requires correction or clarification, please notify the writer within 10 business days.

From: Peterson, Kelly (DDOT) [mailto:kelly.peterson@dc.gov]
Sent: Thursday, August 22, 2013 11:47 AM
To: Smith, Aaron
Cc: Osband, Greg
Subject: RE: DDOT follow-up on Western Ave project

Dear Aaron, Thanks for sharing the draft plans.

Any work you propose in DDOT's public space will require a permit, which you can apply for online at the <u>DDOT TOPS</u> <u>Online Permitting system</u>.

As you are planning, please refer to the following DDOT documents which outline requirements and regulations for all work in DC public space: <u>DDOT Design and Engineering Manual</u> DDOT Public Realm Design Manual

Please refer to DDOT's most recent area planning study, which included Western Avenue: DDOT Rock Creek West 2 Livability Study

Any queries or analysis regarding existing trees/tree health in DC right of way should be directed to DDOT Urban Forester for Ward 3: Vera Ertem, <u>munevver.ertem@dc.gov</u>, 202.671.5133

If you have questions regarding public space or permitting please contact PSRA at 202-442-4670 for further information. Thanks, Kelly

Kelly Peterson | Transportation Planner Policy, Planning & Sustainability Administration | District Department of Transportation 55 M Street, SE, Suite 500, Washington, DC 20003 tel: 202.671.4573| fax: 202.671.0617 | www.ddot.dc.gov

d. Serving with Integrity and Excellence

From: Smith, Aaron [mailto:ASMITH@amtengineering.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2013 6:29 PM
To: Peterson, Kelly (DDOT)
Cc: Osband, Greg
Subject: RE: DDOT follow-up on Western Ave project

Kelly –

Thank you for following up. I have attached some information for your review.

As I stated in my email, we are completing a feasibility study for M-NCPPC for a small public park between Grove Street (in MD) and Western Avenue (in DC). The project includes the construction of new concrete walks and small gathering spaces in what is now an open green space. The proposed improvements in public space along Western Avenue include a new pedestrian walk connecting to the existing sidewalk, an arbor "gateway", a new fence, a new sign, new pavement and reinforced turf adjacent to an existing curb cut for maintenance access, and removal of some trees due to health concerns.

I will give you a call tomorrow afternoon to discuss. Thank you.

Aaron Smith, P.E. Associate

A. Morton Thomas & Associates, Inc. 12750 Twinbrook Parkway

Rockville, MD 20852 Phone: 301-881-2545 ¦ Fax: 301-881-0814 ¦ Cell: 301-806-7082 www.amtengineering.com

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From: Peterson, Kelly (DDOT) [mailto:kelly.peterson@dc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2013 3:27 PM
To: Smith, Aaron
Subject: DDOT follow-up on Western Ave project

Dear Aaron,

Just called you back, but you were already in a meeting. I will be available tomorrow between 11 and 4pm if you'd like to chat. If easier to email, you now have my contact information.

Thanks, Kelly

Kelly Peterson | Transportation Planner Policy, Planning & Sustainability Administration | District Department of Transportation 55 M Street, SE, Suite 500, Washington, DC 20003 tel: 202.671.4573| fax: 202.671.0617 | www.ddot.dc.gov

 ${f d}_{f \cdot}$ Serving with Integrity and Excellence

March with the DC delegation and join the pre-March rally for DC rights at the August 24th **50th Anniversary of the March on Washington**. Check out <u>marchondc50.dc.gov</u> for full event details.

Osband, Greg

| From: | Smith, Aaron |
|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Sent: | Monday, August 26, 2013 2:33 PM |
| To: | Osband, Greg |
| Cc: | Jerrick, Stephen |
| Subject: | CCV - SWM |
| Categories: | - Yellow Flag |

Greg -

I spoke to Dave Kuykendall with DPS today. We discussed the project based on the conceptual plans that were provided. Assuming we can provide computations that support the design we have proposed, he has no objection to the stormwater management concept as currently shown

Aaron Smith, P.E. Associate

A. Morton Thomas & Associates, Inc.

12750 Twinbrook Parkway Rockville, MD 20852 Phone: 301-881-2545 ¦ Fax: 301-881-0814 ¦ Cell: 301-806-7082 www.amtengineering.com

An ENR Top 500 Firm and CE News, Best Firm to Work For Join us on <u>Facebook</u> | <u>Twitter</u>

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

This electronic data is copyrighted and confidential material that is provided to the recipient for coordination of its work efforts with AMT. It shall not be copied or provided to any other parties for any use. This data is subject to change at the discretion of AMT and it is the responsibility of the recipient to request periodic updates. AMT assumes no responsibility for data generated from this file that is not illustrated on the signed and sealed record documents. This message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the message and any attachments from your system.

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

| A. Morton Thomas and Associates, Inc. Consulting Engineers | 2013-09-03 113-339.001 DATE AMT PROJECT NO. / COUNTY NO. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| то | Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park | | | |
| M-NCPPC Environmental Planning Division | Andrew E. Streagle, RLA | | | |
| сомраму 8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20910 | FROM | | | |
| ADDRESS | NRI/FC Exemption Submission | | | |
| 301-495-4551 301-495-1303 PHONE FAX | COPIES TO | | | |
| Sent Via: | # OF PAGES | | | |
| MAIL PICK-UP MESSE | INGER X OVERNIGHT E-MAIL FAX | | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| Please find the following for review: Signed NRI application and checklist Forest Conservation Exemption Narrative (2 copies) MD DNR Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species NRI Fee Schedule and Worksheet Two (2) copies of the signed and sealed NRI plan sheet CD with PDF copies of plan sheets and above docume | ets | | | |
| Should you require any other information, please contac | t me at 301-881-2545 or astreagle@amtengineering.com | | | |
| Regards, Andy Streagle | | | | |
| 12750 Twinbrook Parkway | | | | |

Rockville, MD 20852-1700 Phone: (301) 881-2545 Fax: (301) 881-0814 E-mail: amt1@amtengineering.com



8787 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3760

www.montgomeryplanning.org/environment

Phone 301.495.4540 Fax 301.495.1303

NRI/FSD (Natural Resources Inventory / Forest Stand Delineation) and Forest Conservation Exemption Review

New Application Revised Application Amendment Recertification of Expired NRI/FSD

| M-NCPPC Sta | ff Use Only |
|--|--|
| NRI/FSD Plan Number 4 | |
| Date Application Received | |
| Date Application Complete | |
| Application Completed by | |
| Pending Plan No., if applicable | |
| Fee (attach worksheet) | |
| Fee Received by | |
| An application will not be accepted for review unless all required information below and a | ppropriate fees are provided. If an item requires more space, attach a separate sheet. |
| Name of Plan: Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park | |
| Size of Tract1.89Acres82531Sq.Ft.200 scale Base Map #207NW04Tax Map #HM343SpecialProperty Tax Account Number(s) associated with the plan (8 digA.00453613B.0453602C.00453613 | gits) 3590 D. 00453588 E. 00453577 |
| F. 00453624 G. 00453635 H. 00453 | 3646 I. 00453657 J. 00453668 |
| Location: On <u>Grove Street</u> , <u>385</u> Street Name | feet <u>SW</u> of <u>Kirkside Drive</u> (N,S,E,W etc.) Nearest Intersecting Street |
| Property address if known: 5409 Grove Street Number Street Name Subdivision Information: (Complete either A, if located within) | <u>Chevy Chase</u> City a a recorded subdivision, or B) |
| A. Lot See attached Block 5 | Subdivision_7 |
| B. Parcel Liber Folio; Parcel Liber _ | Folio; Parcel Liber Folio |
| Watershed: Little Falls | |
| Tract Area 1.89 ac. Forested 0.00 ac. W | |
| | |
| Tract Area 1.89 ac. Forested 0.00 ac. W | length: _0ft. width: _0ft. □1 or □ both sides (average) |
| Tract Area 1.89 ac. Forested 0.00 ac. W Forested Stream Buffer 0.00 ac. Stream Buffer 0.00 ac. W | length: _0ft. width: _0ft. □1 or □ both sides (average) |
| Tract Area 1.89 ac. Forested 0.00 ac. W Forested Stream Buffer 0.00 ac. Stream Buffer 0.00 ac. W Applicant (Owner, Owner's Representative, or Owner's Representative, or Owner's Representative, or Northeast Purchased Contract Purchased Name | length: _0ft. width: _0ft. □1 or □ both sides (average) |
| Tract Area 1.89 ac. Forested 0.00 ac. W Forested Stream Buffer 0.00 ac. Stream Buffer 0.00 ac. W Applicant (Owner, Owner's Representative, or Owner's Contract Purchased Contract Purchased Linda Komes, M-NCPPC Description Description | length: 0 ft. width: 0 ft. \Box 1 or \Box both sides <i>(average)</i> r – check applicable: written verification required if not the owner) |
| Tract Area 1.89 ac. Forested 0.00 ac. W Forested Stream Buffer 0.00 ac. Stream Buffer 0.00 ac. W Applicant (□Owner, ☑Owner's Representative, or □Contract Purchased Linda Komes. M-NCPPC Name 9500 Brunett Ave Street Address Silver Spring | length: 0 ft. I or both sides (average) r - check applicable: written verification required if not the owner) MD 20910 |
| Tract Area 1.89 ac. Forested 0.00 ac. W Forested Stream Buffer 0.00 ac. Stream Buffer 0.00 ac. W Applicant (Owner, Owner's Representative, or Owner's Representative, or Owner's Purchased Linda Komes. M-NCPPC Name 9500 Brunett Ave Street Address Silver Spring City | length: 0 ft. width: 0 ft. \Box 1 or \Box both sides <i>(average)</i> r – check applicable: written verification required if not the owner) |

NRI/FSD Application 2 of 5

Contact Person (If other than applicant)

| Nam | е | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|----------------------------|--|
| Stree | et Address | | | |
| City | | State | Zip Code | |
| Tele | phone Number ext. Fax Number | E-mail | | |
| Plan | Prenarer (Qualifications of preparer must | be included if individual has not been previously ce | tified) | |
| | | | tined.) | |
| Nam | rew E. Streagle, RLA | MD RLA # 3381 L.A. or Forester Certification No. | | |
| | 50 Twinbrook Parkwav | | | |
| Rock | | MD | 20852 | |
| <i>City</i> (301 |) 881-2545 1153 (301) 881-0814 | <i>State</i> astreagle@amtengineering.com | Zip Code | |
| Tele | phone Number ext. Fax Number | E-mail | | |
| | | | | |
| Com | plete sections 1 and 2: (and section 3 if a | pplying for an FCP Exemption) | | |
| | Type of Plan approval being applied fo | r in addition to this NRI/FSD application | | |
| | Pre-Application Submission | Development Plan Park Develo | pment Plan | |
| | Minor Subdivision | Mandatory Referral | | |
| 1. | Preliminary Plan | Sediment Control or Small Land Disturbanc | e (if known, | |
| | Site Plan | MCDPS permit #) | | |
| | Project Plan | Special Exception | | |
| | Type of NRI/FSD Plan Submittal (See F | Forest Conservation Regulationfor NRI/FSD require | ments): | |
| | □ Full NRI/FSD (as described in the For | est Conservation Regulations, Section 106) | | |
| 2. | Simplified NRI/FSD (property boundar | ries, topography, streams and buffers if proposed I. | o.d. is within 200' of a | |
| | stream, existing forest boundaries, specimen trees, existing improvements) | | | |
| | Existing Conditions Plan (topography, | existing & proposed improvements, existing | posed lot lines.) | |
| | canopy or you answer yes to e, f or g | ying for FCP Exemption (If you are clearing more that below, you may not qualify for an exemption, refer to the ne if a Tree Save Plan or FCP will be required). | | |
| | | 22A-5 (t) ection 22A-5 for description of exemptions. Attach appropriate in of activities, tree clearing illustrations, etc.) | formation to support the | |
| | b. Is the Declaration of Intent attached, if | required? Yes No | | |
| | If yes, type: 🗌 Agricultural 🗌 | Real Estate Transfer | | |
| 3. | c. Total area of existing forest: <u>0.00</u> | ac. ors.f. | | |
| | d. Total area of forest/tree disturbance (n | neasured by canopy area removed): <u>0</u> ac | . or <u>0</u> s.f. | |
| | | at 4.5' above the ground, or otherwise a specimen of | | |
| | | I/sketch showing the trees in relation to the proposed limits of dis | | |
| | | ffer? Yes INO (Area within 200 – 300 feet of a stree | ат соин ве ран ога випеr.) | |
| | g. Is a SPA water quality plan required? (Contact MCDPS for information regarding the S | ☐ Yes ☑ No PA requirements at 240 777-6242) | | |

Supplemental Information:

| Previous Plan Submittals: (enter info | ormation, if applicable) | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|--|--|--|
| NRI/FSD Submission Name | Chevy Chase Open Space | File Number 4 2009125E | | | |
| Pre-Application Submission Name | | File Number 7 | | | |
| Other Plan Name | | File Number | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Describe the nature of the amendme | ent or why the plan is being recertified, if applicable. | Attached | | | |
| Amend previous exemption during development of facility plan | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Applicant hereby notifies that he/she \Box is the sole owner of the subject property, \bigtriangledown is otherwise legally authorized to represent the owner(s) (written verification provided), or \Box is a contract purchaser authorized to submit this application by the property owner (written verification provided).

Signature of Applicant (Owner or Contract Purchaser)

| Signature | Date | |
|--------------------------------------|------|--|
| Linda Komes, M-NCPPC Project Manager | | |
| Print Name | | |

i illiti i dallio

Checklist

The checklist below shall be used to identify the necessary information for the review and approval of NRI/FSDs and requests to be exempt from submitting a forest conservation plan. This checklist must be completed and submitted as part of the application.

- Applicants submitting for a full NRI/FSD must complete sections 1, 2, and 3.
- Applicants submitting for a simplified NRI/FSD must complete sections 1, and 2.
- Applicants submitting a request to be exempt from submitting a forest conservation plan must complete section 1, 2, and 4.
- Applicants permitted to submit an existing conditions plan must complete section 1 and 2.1 to 2.11.

In certain instances, it may be necessary to submit additional information, or additional information may be requested to determine the exact location of natural features such as wetlands. See the submission requirements document for more information on each item in the checklist below.

NRI/FSD Application 4 of 5

| 1.1. Co 1.2. Co 1.3. PE Section 2. the plan) 2.1. So 2.2. Tit 2.3. Vio 2.4. Sig 2.5. Pla 2.6. Bo 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wi 2.10. Wi 2.11. SF | General Information mplete application form and checklist mplete fee schedule and worksheet DF image of plan drawing Required for All Applications (Information to be shown on caled drawing with north arrow the Information gnature and seal of plan preparer an notes pundary Outline of Property tisting roads, driveways, and buildings | | Included Included Included Included Included Included Included Included | |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| 1.2. Co 1.3. PE Section 2. the plan) 2.1. So 2.2. Tit 2.3. Vio 2.4. Sig 2.5. Pla 2.6. Bo 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wio 2.10. Wio 2.11. SF | Description Sector Description Sector | 1 | Included Included Included Included Included Included | |
| 1.3. PE Section 2. the plan) 2.1. So 2.2. Tit 2.3. Via 2.4. Sig 2.5. Pla 2.6. Bo 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | DF image of plan drawing Required for All Applications (Information to be shown on caled drawing with north arrow cle Information cinity map location gnature and seal of plan preparer | 1 | Included Included Included Included Included Included | |
| Section 2. the plan) 2.1. Sc 2.2. Tit 2.3. Via 2.4. Sig 2.5. Pla 2.6. Bc 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | Required for All Applications (Information to be shown on caled drawing with north arrow the Information | | Included Included Included Included | |
| the plan) 2.1. Sc 2.2. Tit 2.3. Vic 2.4. Sig 2.5. Pla 2.6. Bc 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | caled drawing with north arrow the Information cinity map location gnature and seal of plan preparer an notes bundary Outline of Property cisting roads, driveways, and buildings | 2 | Included Included Included | |
| 2.2. Tit 2.3. Via 2.4. Sig 2.5. Pla 2.6. Bo 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | tle Information cinity map location gnature and seal of plan preparer an notes bundary Outline of Property cisting roads, driveways, and buildings | | Included Included Included | |
| 2.3. Via 2.4. Sig 2.5. Pla 2.6. Bo 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | cinity map location gnature and seal of plan preparer an notes bundary Outline of Property xisting roads, driveways, and buildings | | Included Included Included | |
| 2.3. Via 2.4. Sig 2.5. Pla 2.6. Bo 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | cinity map location gnature and seal of plan preparer an notes bundary Outline of Property xisting roads, driveways, and buildings | | Included | 1 |
| 2.4. Sig 2.5. Pla 2.6. Bo 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | gnature and seal of plan preparer an notes oundary Outline of Property xisting roads, driveways, and buildings | | Included | |
| 2.5. Pla 2.6. Bo 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | an notes oundary Outline of Property risting roads, driveways, and buildings | | | 1 |
| 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | sisting roads, driveways, and buildings | | Included | |
| 2.7. Ex 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | sisting roads, driveways, and buildings | | Included | |
| 2.8. Ex 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | | | Included | 1 |
| 2.9. Wa 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | | | Included | |
| 2.10. Wa 2.11. SF | atershed | | Included | + |
| 2.11. SF | atershed use class | | Included | + |
| | PA or PMA | | Not Present | |
| 2.12. Pe | erennial and intermittent streams | | Not Present | + |
| | ream/environmental buffers | | Not Present | + |
| | podplains | | Not Present | |
| | podplain building restriction lines | | Not Present | |
| | podplain source | | Not Present | - |
| | bils and soil contours | | Included | + |
| | pils table | | Included | |
| | opes 25% and greater | | Included | + |
| | opes between 15 to 25% on erodible soils | | Not Present | |
| | opes 15% and greater in the Upper Paint Branch SPA | | Not in PB SPA | + |
| | etlands | | Not Present | + |
| | etland buffers | | Not Present | |
| | etland source | | Not Present | |
| | oserved rare, threatened and endangered species | | Not Present | |
| | aryland DNR RTE letter | | Included | |
| | erial extent of forest and tree cover | | Included | + |
| | Iltural features and historic sites | | Not Present | + |
| | ees 24 inches DBH and greater | | Included | + |
| | pecimen trees | | | + |
| | nampion trees and trees 75% of state champion | | Included Not Present | + |
| | ee diameter measurement tool | | D Tape | + |
| | ee table | | | + |
| | gend/Key | | Included | + |
| | esource data table | | Included Included | + |
| | ate(s) field work conducted | | | + |
| | erson(s) conducting field work | | Shown in Notes Shown in Notes | + |

| NRI/FSD Application | 5 | of | 5 |
|---------------------|---|----|---|
|---------------------|---|----|---|

| | No. Copies | Qualified Professional | M-NCPPC Staff |
|---|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Section 3. To be completed for all full NRI/FSDs | 2 | | |
| 3.1. Delineation of multiple forest stands | | Not Included | |
| 3.2. Priority ranking for each forest stand | | Not Included | |
| 3.3. Designation of each forest stand | | Not Included | |
| 3.4. Stand Description | | Not Included | |
| a. Acreage | | Not Included | |
| b. Dominant and co-dominant species | | Not Included | |
| c. Size class of species | | Not Included | |
| d. Percent canopy closure | | Not Included | |
| e. Number of canopy layers | | Not Included | |
| f: Percent floor covered by: (i) herbaceous plants, (ii) downed woody material, (iii) alien or invasive species | | Not Included | |
| g. Condition classes, structure, function, retention potential, transplant and regenerative potential | | Not Included | |
| h. Evidence of past management practices | | Not Included | |
| 3.1. Forest sampling/reference points located on drawing | | Not Included | |
| Section 4. To be completed for all exemption requests | 1 | | |
| 4.1. Narrative statement | | Included | |
| 4.2. Exemption requested | | Included | |
| 4.3. Amount of forest to be removed | | Included | |
| 4.4. Amount of forest to be removed in stream buffers | | Included | |
| 4.5. SPA water quality plan requirements | | Not Present | |
| 4.6. Declaration of Intent | | Not Present | |

The plan preparer hereby certifies that all required information for the submission of forest conservation plan has been included in this application, and that to the best of his/her knowledge, information, and reasonable belief, the information and data are accurate.

Signature of Plan Preparer

Signature

Andrew E. Streagle, RLA

Name (Type or Print)

Date

| Account Number | Lot | Liber | Folio |
|----------------|-----|-------|-------|
| 00453613 | 4 | 21733 | 285 |
| 00453602 | 5 | 21733 | 285 |
| 00453590 | 6 | 21733 | 285 |
| 00453588 | 7 | 21733 | 285 |
| 00453577 | 8 | 21733 | 285 |
| 00453624 | 20 | 24390 | 147 |
| 00453635 | 21 | 24390 | 147 |
| 00453646 | 22 | 24390 | 147 |
| 00453657 | 23 | 24390 | 147 |
| 00453668 | 24 | 21733 | 285 |

FOREST CONSERVATION EXEMPTION NARRATIVE

The Chevy Chase Village Open Space Urban Park project is exempt under Section 22A-5(t) of the Montgomery County Code which reads as follows:

Sec. 22A-5. Exemptions.

The requirements of Article II do not apply to:

- (t) a modification to existing developed property if:
 - (1) no more than 5000 square feet of forest will be cleared;

(2) the modification does not affect any forest in a stream buffer or located on property in a special protection area which must submit a water quality plan; and

(3) the modification does not require approval of a new subdivision plan. (1992 L.M.C., ch. 4, § 1; 1996 L.M.C., ch. 20, § 1; 1998 L.M.C., ch. 12, § 1; 2001 L.M.C., ch. 14, § 1; 2001 L.M.C., ch. 19, § 1; 2002 L.M.C., ch. 16, § 2; 2004 L.M.C., ch. 20, §1; 2010 L.M.C., ch. 55, § 1.)

The site upgrades being proposed for the project will not affect any forest on the site, in a stream buffer or otherwise. The property is not located in a special protection area. The proposed work will not require the approval of a new subdivision plan.



July 22, 2013

Ms. Lori Byrne Maryland Department of Natural Resources Forest, Wildlife and Heritage Service Tawes State Office Building 580 Taylor Avenue, Bldg. E-1 Annapolis, MD 21401

> Re: Chevy Chase Village Open Space Urban Park 5409 Grove Street

Chevy Chase, MD 20815 AMT File No. **113-339.001**

Dear Ms. Byrne:

A. MORTON THOMAS and Associates, Inc. (AMT) is preparing a Natural Resources Inventory for this 1.89 acre property in Montgomery County (ADC Greater Washington, DC, Map 5407, Grid G6). Please advise us of any records of threatened and endangered species designated by the Maryland Natural Heritage Program in this vicinity.

Please contact me if you have any questions or require additional information. Thank you for your help.

Sincerely,

A. MORTON THOMAS and Associates, Inc.

Andrea Romano, ASLA



Figure 1 – ADC Greater Washington, DC, 8th Edition Map 5407, Grid – G6 Copyright ADC the Map People Permitted Use Number 20908173



Figure 2 – Aerial Photo



August 13, 2013

Andrea Romano A. Morton Thomas and Associates, Inc. 12750 Twinbrook Parkway Rockville, MD 20852-1700 AUG 1 9 2013 A. MORTON THOMAS

RE: Environmental Review for Chevy Chase Village Open Space Urban Park, 5409 Grove St., Chevy Chase, AMT File No. 113-339.001, Montgomery County, MD.

Dear Ms. Romano:

The Wildlife and Heritage Service has determined that there are no State or Federal records for rare, threatened or endangered species within the boundaries of the project site as delineated. As a result, we have no specific comments or requirements pertaining to protection measures at this time. This statement should not be interpreted however as meaning that rare, threatened or endangered species are not in fact present. If appropriate habitat is available, certain species could be present without documentation because adequate surveys have not been conducted.

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to review this project. If you should have any further questions regarding this information, please contact me at (410) 260-8573.

Sincerely,

Sonia. Bym

Lori A. Byrne, Environmental Review Coordinator Wildlife and Heritage Service MD Dept. of Natural Resources

ER# 2013.1126.mo



March 25, 2010

8787 Georgia AvenuePhone 301.495.4595Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-3760www.montgomeryplanning.org/developmentFax 301.495.1306EFE SOHEDULE AND WORKCHEET

FEE SCHEDULE AND WORKSHEET

| Application Number: | M-NCPPC Staff Use Only | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| To be completed by the Applicant | | | |
| Applicant: | MNCPPC, Montgomery County Parks Department - Linda Komes | | |
| Project Name: (30 character limit) | Chevy Chase Open Space Urban Park | | |

Pre-Application Submission (check applicable boxes)

| □ Staff Review Only | \$3,000.00 | \$ |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----|
| □ Staff and Planning Board Review | \$4,000.00 | \$ |

| NRI/FSD Natural Resources Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation (check app | licable boxes) | | |
|---|----------------|-------|-------|
| □ NRI/FSD | \$720.00 | \$ | |
| □ NRI/FSD Amendment | \$410.00 | \$ | |
| □ NRI/FSD Recertification Request | \$410.00 | \$ | |
| Forest Conservation Exemption Request or Recertification | \$180.00 | \$ 18 | 30.00 |

Forest Conservation Plan (check applicable boxes)

| Single Family Residential | \$480.00 + | \$200.00 per lot | # of lots | _x \$200 = | \$ |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|----------------------|----|
| All Others | \$980.00 + | \$290.00 per acre | # of acres | x \$290 = | \$ |
| The above FCP fees are paid | in stages: | 70% due at prelin 20% due at final F 10% due before fi | CP submittal | ittal \$ \$ \$ | |
| G FCP Amendment without P | lanning Boa | - | \$750.00 | \$ | |
| G FCP Amendment with Plan | ning Board | \$1,500.00 | \$ | | |
| G Financial Security reduction | n, change, o | \$90.00 | \$ | | |
| Given Fence installation within a c | conservatior | \$135.00 | \$ | | |
| Generation Bank r | review | | | \$1,000.00 | \$ |
| | | | | | |

| Preliminary Plan of Subdiv | | | | F | ee Schedule | and Worksheet 2 of 3 |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| (SPA-Special Protection Area) | <u>Residential (I</u> | <u>Dwelling Units – DUs)</u> | | | | |
| Residential 1 to 100 DUs DUs over 100 | | 365.00 per DU 100.00 per DU | # of DUs(\$365 = \$ # of DUs(o | 1 to 100) ver 100) | x + x | \$ |
| SPA within plan | | | | | | |
| □ 1 to 9 DUs | \$380.00 | | | | | |
| □ 10 to 50 DUs | \$750.00 | | # of DUIs | | | \$ |
| more than 50 DUs | \$750.00 + \$20 | 0.00 per DUs over 50 | # of DUS > \$20 = \$ | 50 | x | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Commercial/I | ndustrial (Square Foot | <u>age – SF)</u> | | | |
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| □ 1 to 9,999 SF | \$4,000.00 + .* | 15 per SF | # of SF | | x | ¢ |
| □ 10,000 to 24,999 SF | \$5,000.00 + .7 | 15 per SF | | | | \$ |
| 25,000 SF or more | \$6,000.00 + . | 15 per SF | | | | |
| SPA within plan | \$750.00 | | | | | \$ |
| | <u>Other</u> | | | | | <u> </u> |
| Institutional/Religious | \$3,310.00 | | | | | \$ |
| SPA within plan | \$750.00 | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Minor Amendment of App | roved Plans | \$2,500.00 | | | | \$ |
| Extension of Approved Plance | an | \$2,390.00 | | | | \$ |
| Subdivision Regulation W | /aiver (50-38) | \$2,390.00 per waive | r # | t of waivers | | \$ |

Project Plan (check applicable boxes) Residential (DUs) \$4,500.00 + \$75.00 per DU # of DUs _____x \$75 =_____ Commercial (GFA) \$4,500.00 + \$0.15 per SF # of SF_____x \$.15 = _____ Amendment Same as Project Plan (enter above) Extensions \$2,310.00

\$

\$

\$

| Site Plan (check applicab (SPA-Special Protection Area) | le boxes) <u>Residential (Dwelling L</u> | <u>Jnits – DU's)</u> | | Fee Schedule | and Worksheet 3 of 3 |
|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Residential 1 to 9 DUs 10 or more DUs | | \$4,000.00 + \$165.00 per DU | | | \$ |
| SPA within plan 1 to 9 DUs 10 to 50 DUs more than 50 DUs | \$380.00 \$750.00 \$750.00 + \$20.00 per [| | # of DUs > 50 \$20 = \$0.0 | 0 | \$ |
| □ Commercial □ 1 to 9,999 SF □ 10,000 or more SF | <u>Commercial/Industrial (</u> \$4,000.00 + .15 per SF \$5,500.00 + .15 per SF | : | # of SF \$0.15 = \$ | X | \$ |
| SPA within plan | \$750.00 <u>Other</u> | | | | \$ |
| Institutional/Religious SPA within plan | \$5,020.00 \$750.00 | | | | \$ |
| Major Amendments to Approved Site Plans | Same as Site Plan (ente | er above with Sl | PA fee) | | |
| | Minor Amendments to App | proved Site P | lans | | |
| Amendment Type | Application Process | <u>Changes</u> | | Fee | |
| □ Administrative | Application*No DRC Meeting | number an | ing depends on Id type of revisions. aff for price. | \$1000.00 to \$3,250.00 | \$ |
| Consent | Application*DRC Meeting possible | Could requored to the could request to the could be could | uire coordination with icies | \$3,250.00 | \$ |
| Limited | Application*DRC Meeting | Requires c agencies | coordination with other | \$4,500.00 | \$ |
| * The application must inc | lude a letter explaining the | nature, scop | e and reasons for the re | quested char | nges. |
| Record Plats | \$2100.00 | | Number of Plats | | \$ |
| | | | Ini | tial Fee Total | l \$ |

Make Checks payable to the M-NCPPC


| Date Received | |
|---------------|--|
| Check No. | |
| Received by | |

Initial Fee Total \$

Total \$ 180.00

NOT APPLICABLE

If submitting an initial application, the initial filing fee is ¼ of the total. Initial submission fees only apply to: Pre-Application, Preliminary, Site, Project, and Major Plan Amendments



C

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION:

THIS 1.89 ACRE SET OF PARCELS IS GROVE. THE PROPERTY IS BORDERE DEVELOPMENT TO THE NORTH, A NUI THE EAST, AND PUBLIC BUILDINGS 1

NO FOREST EXISTS ON THE SITE. HO IN THE VICINITY OF THE PROPERTY.

SUMMARY TABLE

| EXISTING FOREST |
|--------------------------------|
| WETLANDS |
| FORESTED WETLANDS |
| STREAM BUFFER |
| FORESTED STREAM BUFFER |
| 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN |
| FORESTED 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN |
| LINEAR EXTENTS OF STREAMS |
| AVERAGE WIDTH OF STREAM BUFFER |
| |

THIS PLAN IS FOR NATURAL RESOUR STAND DELINEATION PLAN F

Rev. No. Date

Date

Date

Date

ISSUED FOR PROCUREME

| AN OPEN SPACE PARK KI D BY SINGLE FAMILY RESIL RSING HOME TO THE SOUT O THE WEST. DWEVER, FOUR SPECIMEN TH 0 - 0.00 ACRES = 0.00 ACRES = 0.00 ACRES = 0.00 ACRES = 0.00 ACRES = 0.00 ACRES = 0.00 LINEAR FEET = 0.00 FEET | PARTAL ULURCH 10 REES ARE LOCATED Image: Contract of the state of the stat | ASSOC. INC. MARSHALEA Lea Landscape Architecture LLC ay, FASLA, LEED AP LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT 202.734.9331 |
|---|--|--|
| RCE INVENTORY/FOREST PURPOSES ONLY | PROPERTY LINE EXISTING TREE STAND EDGE EXISTING CONTOUR ITEE STAND OFF SITE 2UC SOIL LIMITS AND TYPE SPECIMEN TREE WITH CRITICAL ROOT ZONE (1" DBH = 1.5' CRZ) Image: Control of the state of | A. Morton Thomas & Ass 12750 Twinbrook Parkway, Rockville, MD 20852 301.881.2545 |
| NT ON /ISIONS Description | NATURAL RESOURCE INVENTORY WESTERN GROVE PARK |) 0 |

5409 Grove Street, Chevy Chase, MD 20815 Liber ²¹⁷³³₂₄₃₉₀ Folio ²⁸⁵₁₄₇ SCALE: 1" = 20'

FOREST CONSERVATION EXEMPTION INSPECTION NOT

- a. An on-site pre-construction meeting shall be required after the lim disturbance have been staked and flagged, but before any clearing begins. The owner shall contact the Maryland National Capital Park Commission inspection staff prior to commencing construction to ver limits of disturbance and discuss tree protection and tree care meas attendants at this meeting should include: developer's representative construction superintendent, ISA certified arborist or MD license tree will implement the tree protection measures, M-NCPPC inspector, an sediment control inspector.
- b. No clearing or grading shall begin before stress-reduction measures implemented. Appropriate measures may include, but are not limite Root pruning
 - ii. Crown Reduction or pruning
 - iii. Watering iv. Fertilizing
 - v. Vertical mulching
- vi. Root aeration matting Measures not specified on the Exemption plan may be required as
- the M-NCPPC inspector in coordination with the arborist. c. A State of Maryland licensed tree expert, or an International Society Arboriculture certified arborist must perform all stress reduction med Documentation of stress reduction measures must be either observed MNCPPC inspector or sent to the MNCPPC inspector at 8787 Georgic Silver Spring, MD 20910. The MNCPPC inspector will determine the method to convey the stress reductions measures during the pre-co meeting.
- d. Temporary tree protection devices shall be installed per the Exemption prior to any construction activities. Tree protection fencing location staked prior to the pre-construction meeting. M-NCPPC inspector, coordination with the DPS sediment control inspector, may make fiel adjustments to increase the survivability of trees and forest shown the approved plan. Temporary tree protect devices may include:
 - i. Chain link fence (four feet high) ii. Super silt fence with wire strung between the support
 - (minimum 4 feet high) with high visibility flagging.
 - iii. 14 gauge 2 inch x 4 inch welded wire fencing supported T-bar posts (minimum 4 feet high) with high visibility
- e. Temporary protection devices shall be maintained and installed by contractor for the duration of construction project and must not be without prior approval from MNCPPC. No equipment, trucks, materia may be stored within the tree protection fence areas during the ent construction project. No vehicle or equipment access to the fenced be permitted. Tree protection shall not be removed without prior ap MNCPPC. Tree protection devices to be coordinated with erosion an control devices as indicated on the approved Erosion and Sediment approved by the Department of Permitting Services.
- f. Forest retention area signs shall be installed as required by the MNC inspector, or as shown approved plan. g. Periodic inspections by MNCPPC will occur during the construction p
- Corrections and repairs to all tree protection devices, as determined MNCPPC inspector, must be made within the timeframe established MNCPPC inspector.
- h. After construction is completed, an inspection shall be requested. measures which may be required include: i. Removal and replacement of dead and dying trees
 - ii. Pruning of dead or declining limbs
 - iii. Soil aeration
 - iv. Fertilization
 - v. Watering vi. Wound repair
 - vii.Clean up of retention areas
- i. After inspection and completion of corrective measures have been u all temporary protection devices shall be removed from the site. N grading, sodding, or burial may take place.

| A. MORTON THOMAS AND ASSOCIATES, INC. | DE | ESIGN | | Professional Certification . I hereby certify that these | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Designer's Name | | | | documents were prepared or approved by me, and that I am a duly licensed landscape architect under | | |
| 12750 Twinbrook Parkway | Landscape Architect | Date | Checked By: | the laws of the State of Maryland. | | |
| Address | | | | | | |
| Rockville, MD 20852 | Architect | Date | Checked By: | License No | | |
| City/State/Zip | | | | | | |
| 301-881-2545 | Engineer | Date | Checked By: | Expiration Date 10/22/2014 | | |
| Telephone Number | Drawn by | Date | Checked By: | | | |

| of grading | NO. | COMMON NAME | SCIENTIFIC NAME | | CRITICAL | | |
|----------------------|------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| d Planning the | NO. | COMMON NAME | SCIENTIFIC NAME | D.B.H (INCHES) | ROOT ZONE (SQ. FT.) | CONDITION RATING | CONDITION COMMENTS |
| es. The | | | | | 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | | |
| pert that | T1 | American basswood | Tilia americana | 2.5 | 44.18 | GOOD | |
| DPS | T2 | Buroak | Quercus macrocarpa | 3.5 | 86.59 | GOOD | Tag #49B |
| ive been | T3 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 3.5 | 86.59 | GOOD | |
| o: | T4 T5 | Leyland cypress Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD GOOD | |
| | T6 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| | T7 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| | T8 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | |
| | T9 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 3.5 | 86.59 | GOOD | |
| rmined by | T10 | Blue spruce | Picea pungens | 12 | 1017.88 | GOOD | |
| | T11 | Chestnut oak | Quercus prinus | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | |
| f Ires. | T12 | Deodar cedar | Cedrus deodara | 2 | 28.27 | AVG/POOR | In decline |
| y the | T13 | Deodar cedar | Cedrus deodara | 25.5 | 4596.35 | GOOD | |
| venue, Ict | T14 | Deodar cedar | Cedrus deodara | 2 | 28.27 | POOR | Dead |
| ruction | T15 | American holly | llex opaca | 4 | 113.10 | AVG. | Poor form |
| <u></u> | T16 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 15.5 | 1698.23 | GOOD/AVG. | |
| Plan and hould be | T17 | Crabapple | Malus angustifolia | 9 | 572.56 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T18 | Pin oak | Quercus palustris | 17 | 2042.82 | AVG. | Dieback |
| اممرزم | T19 | Sweetbay magnolia | Magnolia virginiana | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| aved on | T20 | Sweetbay magnolia | Magnolia virginiana | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T21 | Sweetbay magnolia | Magnolia virginiana | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T22 | Sweetbay magnolia | Magnolia virginiana | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| steel | T23 | Sweetbay magnolia | Magnolia virginiana | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| ng. | T24 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 13.5 | 1288.25 | GOOD | |
| - | T25 | Crabapple | Malus angustifolia | 7 | 346.36 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| ered or debris | T26 | Blue spruce | Picea pungens | 12.5 | 1104.47 | GOOD | |
| | T27 | Blue spruce | Picea pungens | 12.5 | 1104.47 | GOOD | |
| ea will | T28 | Chinese chestnut | Castanea mollissima | 27 | 5153.00 | AVG. | Some dieback in crown |
| val of diment | T29 | Littleleaflinden | Tilia cordata | 22 | 3421.19 | GOOD | |
| rol plan | T30 | Arborvitae | Thuja orientalis | 17 | 2042.82 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T31 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 32 | 7238.23 | GOOD | |
| | T32 | American holly | llex opaca Cornus florida | 10 10 | 706.86 | GOOD GOOD/AVG. | |
| st. | T33 T34 | Flowering dogwood Arborvitae | Thuja orientalis | 10 | 855.30 | GOOD/AVG. | Codominant leaders |
| the he | T35 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 2042.82 | GOOD/AVG. | Broken crown, codominant leaders |
| | T36 | American holly | llex opaca | 3 | 63.62 | GOOD/AVG. | English Ivy on trunk |
| ctive | | Pin oak | Quercus palustris | 14.5 | 1486.17 | AVG. | English Ivy, lopsided canopy, shade |
| | T37 | | | | | | out |
| | T38 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 36.5 | 9417.12 | GOOD | |
| | T39 | Norway spruce | Picea abies | 16.5 | 1924.42 | GOOD | |
| | T40 | Norway spruce | Picea abies | 10 | 706.86 | GOOD | |
| | T41 | Norway spruce | Picea abies | 11 | 855.30 | GOOD | |
| aken, | T42 | Norway spruce | Picea abies | 13 | 1194.59 | GOOD | |
| ditional | T43 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 40 | 11309.73 | GOOD | |
| | T44 | Bradford pear | Pyrus calleryana 'Bradford' | 19.5 | 2687.83 | POOR | Poor form, in decline |
| | T45 T46 | Norway spruce Red maple | Picea abies Acer rubrum | 14 19.5 | 1385.44 2687.83 | GOOD GOOD | |
| | T46 | Flowering dogwood | Cornus florida | 19.5 | 2687.83 | AVG. | Poor form and minerat last |
| | T47 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 24.5 | 4242.92 | GOOD/AVG. | Poor form, codominant leaders |
| | T48 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 24.5 12 | 1017.88 | AVG. | Shaded out |
| | T50 | River birch | Betula nigra | 12 | 2290.22 | GOOD | Poor form, some dead, shaded out Codominant leaders |
| | T51 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 6 | 254.47 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T52 | River birch | Betula nigra | 22 | 3421.19 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T53 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 19 | 2551.76 | GOOD | |
| | T54 | River birch | Betula nigra | 13 | 1194.59 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T55 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 22.5 | 3578.47 | GOOD | |
| | T56 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 21.5 | 3267.45 | AVG. | Poor form |
| | T57 | River birch | Betula nigra | 11 | 855.30 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T58 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 14.5 | 1486.17 | GOOD/AVG. | Leader removed |
| | T59 | River birch | Betula nigra | 14 | 1385.44 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T60 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 15 | 1590.43 | GOOD | |
| | T61 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 20.5 | 2970.57 | GOOD | |
| | T62 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 17 | 2042.82 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T63 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 18 | 2290.22 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | T64 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 21 | 3117.25 | AVG. | Broken limbs |
| | T65 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 19.5 | 2687.83 | GOOD | |
| | T66 | Bradford pear | Pyrus calleryana 'Bradford' | 23 | 3739.28 | AVG/POOR | Large scar on trunk |
| | T67 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 17 | 2042.82 | AVG. | Epicormic growth |
| | T68 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 12.5 | 1104.47 | GOOD | |
| | T69 | Black cherry | Prunus serotina | 15.5 | 1698.23 | AVG. | Leaning |
| | T70 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 4 | 113.10 | GOOD | |
| | T71 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 2.5 | 44.18 | GOOD | |
| | T72 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| | T73 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| | T74 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| | | | Illmus amariaana | | 452.20 | AVC | |

452.39

AVG.

Wound on trunk, leader removed



T75

American elm

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission Montgomery County Department of Parks

Ulmus americana

9500 Brunett Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20901 (301) 495-2535

| | | | URAL RESOURCE INVENTORY/FOREST EATION PLAN PURPOSES ONLY | REFLECTED HEAT AND LIGHT, INSUFFICIENT OR EXCESS RAINFALL CAN COMBINE TO CAUSE ADDITIONAL DAMAGE OR DEATH TO A ANY RECOMMENDED ACTIONS ARE INTENDED TO PARTIALLY OFFSET FORESEEABLE DAMAGE. HOWEVER, TREES SHOULD BE MONITO ADDITIONAL CORRECTIVE MEASURES OR REMOVAL MAY BE NECESSARY. | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
| REVIEW AND APPROVAL | ISSUE | D FOR PRO | DCUREMENT ON | NATURAL RESOURCE INVENTORY | | | | |
| | | | REVISIONS | | DWG. # | | | |
| | Rev. No. | Date | Description | TREE PROTECTION DETAILS | NR1 | | | |
| Project Manager Da | te | | | | | | | |
| | | | | WESTERN GROVE PARK | | | | |
| Construction Manager Da | te | | | 5409 Grove Street, Chevy Chase, MD 20815 | | | | |
| Park Manager Da | te | | | SCALE: NTS Liber ²¹⁷³³ ₂₄₃₉₀ Folio ²⁸⁵ ₁₄₇ | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

RESOURCE INVENTORY TECTION DETAILS STERN GROVE PARK


AND THEY ARE NOTABLE FOR FREELY HYBRIDIZING. EVEN EXAMINATION OF FLORAL PARTS IS OFTEN INCONCLUSIVE. THE GENERA MALUS AND CRATAEGUS POSE A SIMILAR CHALLENGE. NOTE 2: NO WARRANTY, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, CAN BE MADE WITH RESPECT TO TREE SAFETY, FITNESS OR SURVIVAL. THE COMMENTARY ABOUT INDIVIDUAL TREES NOTES SOME ACTUAL OR POTENTIAL DEFECTS TO BE CONSIDERED. HOWEVER, HIDDEN FACTORS AND UNFORESEABLE EVENTS MAY BE HIGHLY SIGNIFICANT, WHILE SOME OF THE POTENTIAL PROBLEMS NOTED MAY NOT. THE PROPOSED DISTURBANCES WILL HAVE SOME ADVERSE IMPACT UPON THE REMAINING TREES. OTHER STRESSES SUCH AS DISEASE, WIND, SUNSCALD, AIR POLLUTION,

NOTE 1: TREE SPECIES NAMED REPRESENT THE PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT OF THE PREPARER OR DETERMINATION BY THE MONTGOMERY COUNTY FORESTER. THERE ARE A VARIETY OF REASONS IDENTIFICATION CAN BE INCONCLUSIVE: WINTER IDENTIFICATION IS LESS RELIABLE THAN DURING THE GROWING SEASON. PROPER IDENTIFICATION CAN ONLY BE MADE ON THE BASIS OF FLOWERING PARTS, WHICH ARE OFTEN ABSENT. WHILE THE NAMED GENERA ARE FELT TO BE RELIABLE, SOME SPECIES AND HYBRIDS ARE LESS CERTAIN. ONE EXAMPLE IS THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN QUERCUS SPECIES. Q. RUBRA, Q. BOREALIS, Q. PALUSTRIS AND Q. FALCATA ARE ALL CLASSIFIED AS "RED OAKS", **4** 2 8

NR101

TREE IDENTIFICATION, CONDITIONS AND RETENTION POTENTIAL

| T76 | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | American elm | Ulmus americana | 6 | 254.47 | GOOD | |
| T77 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 3 | 63.62 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| | Black cherry | Prunus serotina | 22 | 3421.19 | POOR | Fruiting bodies, needs to be |
| T78 | Didok cherry | Fiulus selouna | ~~~ | 5421.15 | FOOR | removed |
| T79 | American holly | llex opaca | 16 | 1809.56 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T80 | American holly | llex opaca | 13 | 1194.59 | GOOD | |
| T81 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 20.5 | 2970.57 | AVG. | Lower limbs removed |
| T82 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 16 | 1809.56 | GOOD | |
| T83 | Blue spruce | Picea pungens | 11 | 855.30 | GOOD | |
| - | Black locust | Robinia pseudoacacia | 8 | 452.39 | GOOD/AVG. | Ministratio Concernent and America |
| T84 | | | | | | Virginia Creeper on trunk |
| T85 | Black cherry | Prunus serotina | 20 | 2827.43 | AVG/POOR | Dead limbs, very heavy ivy |
| T86 | American holly | llex opaca | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD/AVG. | Thin |
| F87 | American holly | llex opaca | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD/AVG. | Thin |
| F88 | American holly | llex opaca | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD/AVG. | Thin |
| F89 | American holly | llex opaca | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD/AVG. | Thin |
| F90 | American holly | llex opaca | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD/AVG. | Thin |
| 191 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 6.5 | 298.65 | AVG. | Leaning |
| 192 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 5.5 | 213.82 | AVG. | Leaning |
| 93 | Black locust | Robinia pseudoacacia | 26.5 | 4963.91 | AVG/POOR | |
| _ | | | | | | Heart rot |
| 94 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12.5 | 1104.47 | AVG. | Shaded out |
| 95 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 19 | 2551.76 | AVG. | Shaded out |
| 96 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 21.5 | 3267.45 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| 97 | Chinese holly | llex comuta | 13 | 1194.59 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| 98 | Black walnut | Juglans nigra | 19 | 2551.76 | GOOD | |
| 99 | Nordmanfir | Abies nordmanniana | 4 | 113.10 | GOOD | |
| 100 | Chinese holly | llex comuta | 10 | 706.86 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| 101 | Flowering dogwood | Cornus florida | 7 | 346.36 | GOOD | |
| 102 | Norway spruce | Picea abies | 8 | 452.39 | GOOD | |
| - | | | 9 | 572.56 | GOOD | |
| 103 | White spruce | Picea glauca | | | | + |
| 104 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 18.5 | 2419.22 | GOOD | |
| 105 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 22.5 | 3578.47 | GOOD | |
| 106 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 20 | 2827.43 | GOOD/AVG. | Shaded out |
| 107 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 20.5 | 2970.57 | GOOD/AVG. | Shaded out |
| 108 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 23 | 3739.28 | GOOD/AVG. | Shaded out |
| 109 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 17.5 | 2164.75 | GOOD/AVG. | Shaded out |
| 110 | London planetree | Platanus x acerifolia | 4 | 113.10 | GOOD | |
| 111 | London planetree | Platanus x acerifolia | 3 | 63.62 | GOOD | |
| 112 | London planetree | Platanus x acerifolia | 3 | 63.62 | GOOD | |
| _ | Northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 5 | 176.71 | GOOD | |
| 113 | | | | | | |
| 114 | Black locust | Robinia pseudoacacia | 29.5 | 6151.43 | GOOD | |
| 115 | Black locust | Robinia pseudoacacia | 28.5 | 5741.46 | AVG. | Bee nest in trunk |
| 116 | Redbud | Cercis canadensis | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| 117 | Redbud | Cercis canadensis | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| 118 | Redbud | Cercis canadensis | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| 119 | Redbud | Cercis canadensis | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| 120 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 10.5 | 779.31 | AVG. | Lopsided |
| | | | 24 | 4071.50 | GOOD/AVG. | Lopsided |
| 121 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 24 | | | |
| _ | White pine | Pinus strobus Pinus strobus | | 3739.28 | GOOD/AVG | llonsided |
| 122 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 23 | 3739.28 | GOOD/AVG. | Lopsided |
| 122 123 | White pine Redbud | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis | 23 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| 122 123 124 | White pine Redbud Redbud | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis | 23 1.5 2.5 | 15.90 44.18 | GOOD AVG. | Lopsided Large wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 | GOOD AVG. GOOD | |
| 122 123 124 125 126 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD | Large wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR | |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD | Large wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR | Large wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD | Large wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD | Large wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 4.5 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD | Large wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 131 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Quercus rubra Quercus rubra | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 4.5 26.5 20.5 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD | Large wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Quercus rubra Quercus rubra Quercus rubra | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD | Large wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 133 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Quercus rubra Quercus rubra Quercus rubra Quercus rubra | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD G | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Quercus rubra Quercus rubra Quercus rubra Quercus rubra Magnolia grandiflora | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD G | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Quercus rubra Magnolia grandiflora Quercus phellos | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD G | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak Eastern hemlock | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Quercus rubra Magnolia grandiflora Quercus phellos Tsuga canadensis | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD G | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak Eastern hemlock Chinese holly | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Quercus rubra Magnolia grandiflora Quercus phellos | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD G | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak Eastern hemlock | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Quercus rubra Magnolia grandiflora Quercus phellos Tsuga canadensis | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD G | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak Eastern hemlock Chinese holly | Pinus strobus Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis Pinus strobus Pinus strobus Picea glauca Prunus serrulata Prunus serrulata Quercus rubra Imagnolia grandiflora Quercus phellos Tsuga canadensis Ilex comuta | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD G | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 139 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak Eastern hemlock Chinese holly American holly | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPricea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex opaca | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD G | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 130 137 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak Eastern hemlock Chinese holly American holly Chinese holly | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPricea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex comutaIlex comutaIlex comuta | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD G | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak Eastern hemlock Chinese holly American holly Chinese holly Blue spruce Blue spruce | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex comutaIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungens | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD G | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Japanese flowering cherry Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak Eastern hemlock Chinese holly American holly Chinese holly Blue spruce Blue spruce | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus nubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex opacaIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungensTilia americana | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherny Japanese flowering cherny Japanese flowering cherny Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak Eastern hemlock Chinese holly American holly Chinese holly Blue spruce Blue spruce American basswood Chinese holly | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex comutaIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungensTilia americanaIlex comutaIlex comuta | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 3 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 63.62 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 | White pineRedbudRedbudWhite pineWhite pineWhite spruceJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakSouthern magnoliaWillow oakEastern hemlockChinese hollyAmerican hollyBlue spruceBlue spruceAmerican basswoodChinese hollyLittleleaf linden | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex opacaIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungensTilia americanaIlex cordata | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 3 19.5 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 63.62 2687.83 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Epicormic growth, shaded out |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 | White pine Redbud Redbud White pine White pine White pine White spruce Japanese flowering cherny Japanese flowering cherny Japanese flowering cherny Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Northem red oak Southern magnolia Willow oak Eastern hemlock Chinese holly American holly Chinese holly Blue spruce Blue spruce American basswood Chinese holly | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex comutaIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungensTilia americanaIlex comutaIlex comuta | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 3 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 63.62 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Poor form, multiple leaders |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 | White pineRedbudRedbudWhite pineWhite pineWhite spruceJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakSouthern magnoliaWillow oakEastern hemlockChinese hollyAmerican hollyBlue spruceBlue spruceAmerican basswoodChinese hollyLittleleaf linden | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex opacaIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungensTilia americanaIlex cordata | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 3 19.5 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 63.62 2687.83 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Poor form, multiple leaders Wound at base of trunk, pruned |
| 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 | White pineRedbudRedbudWhite pineWhite pineWhite spruceJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakSouthern magnoliaWillow oakEastern hemlockChinese hollyAmerican hollyBlue spruceBlue spruceAmerican basswoodChinese hollyLittleleaf lindenNorway mapleNorway maple | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus nubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex comutaIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungensTilia americanaIlex cordataAcer platanoides | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 3 19.5 18 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 63.62 2687.83 2290.22 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Poor form, multiple leaders Wound at base of trunk, pruned around power lines |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 | White pineRedbudRedbudWhite pineWhite pineWhite spruceJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakSouthern magnoliaWillow oakEastern hemlockChinese hollyAmerican hollyBlue spruceBlue spruceAmerican basswoodChinese hollyLittleleaf lindenNorway mapleNorway maple | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus nubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungensTilia americanaIlex comutaAcer platanoides | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 3 19.5 18 13.5 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 143.14 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 63.62 2687.83 2290.22 1288.25 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Poor form, multiple leaders Wound at base of trunk, pruned around power lines Pruned around power lines |
| $\begin{array}{r} 122 \\ 123 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 126 \\ 126 \\ 127 \\ 128 \\ 129 \\ 130 \\ 131 \\ 132 \\ 133 \\ 134 \\ 135 \\ 136 \\ 137 \\ 138 \\ 136 \\ 137 \\ 138 \\ 136 \\ 137 \\ 138 \\ 136 \\ 137 \\ 138 \\ 136 \\ 137 \\ 141 \\ 142 \\ 144 \\ 145 \\ 146 \\$ | White pineRedbudRedbudWhite pineWhite pineWhite spruceJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakSouthern magnoliaWillow oakEastern hemlockChinese hollyAmerican hollyBlue spruceBlue spruceAmerican basswoodChinese hollyLittleleaf lindenNorway mapleNorway mapleNorway maple | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus nubraQuercus nubraIlex comutaPicea nungensTilia americanaIlex comutaTilia cordataAcer platanoidesAcer platanoidesAcer platanoides | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 3 19.5 18 13.5 15 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 63.62 2687.83 2290.22 1288.25 1590.43 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Epicormic growth, shaded out Poor form, multiple leaders Wound at base of trunk, pruned around power lines Pruned around power lines, Pruned around power lines, |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 | White pineRedbudRedbudWhite pineWhite pineWhite spruceJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakSouthern magnoliaWillow oakEastern hemlockChinese hollyAmerican hollyBlue spruceBlue spruceAmerican basswoodChinese hollyLittleleaf lindenNorway mapleNorway maple | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus nubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungensTilia americanaIlex comutaAcer platanoides | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 3 19.5 18 13.5 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 143.14 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 63.62 2687.83 2290.22 1288.25 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Epicormic growth, shaded out Poor form, multiple leaders Wound at base of trunk, pruned around power lines Pruned around power lines Pruned around power lines, potential root damage from fire |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 | White pineRedbudRedbudWhite pineWhite pineWhite spruceJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakSouthern magnoliaWillow oakEastern hemlockChinese hollyAmerican hollyBlue spruceBlue spruceBlue spruceNorway mapleNorway mapleNorway mapleNorway mapleNorway maple | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex opacaIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungensTilia americanaIlex comutaAcer platanoidesAcer platanoidesAcer platanoidesAcer platanoides | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 19.5 18 13.5 15 17 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 2687.83 2290.22 1288.25 1590.43 2042.82 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Poor form, multiple leaders Wound at base of trunk, pruned around power lines Pruned around power lines Pruned around power lines, potential root damage from fire hydrant installation, Tag #go-c-35 |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 | White pineRedbudRedbudWhite pineWhite pineWhite spruceJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyJapanese flowering chernyNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakSouthern magnoliaWillow oakEastern hemlockChinese hollyAmerican hollyBlue spruceBlue spruceAmerican basswoodChinese hollyLittleleaf lindenNorway mapleNorway mapleNorway maple | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus nubraQuercus nubraIlex comutaPicea nungensTilia americanaIlex comutaTilia cordataAcer platanoidesAcer platanoidesAcer platanoides | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 3 19.5 18 13.5 15 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 63.62 2687.83 2290.22 1288.25 1590.43 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Poor form, multiple leaders Wound at base of trunk, pruned around power lines Pruned around power lines, potential root damage from fire hydrant installation, Tag #go-c-35 Pruned around power lines, Tag #go- |
| 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 | White pineRedbudRedbudWhite pineWhite pineWhite spruceJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryJapanese flowering cherryNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakNorthem red oakSouthern magnoliaWillow oakEastern hemlockChinese hollyAmerican hollyBlue spruceBlue spruceBlue spruceNorway mapleNorway mapleNorway mapleNorway mapleNorway maple | Pinus strobusCercis canadensisCercis canadensisPinus strobusPinus strobusPicea glaucaPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataPrunus serrulataQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus rubraQuercus phellosTsuga canadensisIlex opacaIlex comutaPicea pungensPicea pungensTilia americanaIlex comutaAcer platanoidesAcer platanoidesAcer platanoidesAcer platanoides | 23 1.5 2.5 21.5 21 6 4 4.5 26.5 20.5 16.5 24 13 6.5 11 2 9 2 8 8 8 3 19.5 18 13.5 15 17 | 15.90 44.18 3267.45 3117.25 254.47 113.10 143.14 143.14 4963.91 2970.57 1924.42 4071.50 1194.59 298.65 855.30 28.27 572.56 28.27 452.39 452.39 63.62 2687.83 2290.22 1288.25 1590.43 2042.82 | GOOD AVG. GOOD GOOD AVG/POOR GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GOOD GO | Large wound at base of trunk Shaded out Callus and wound at base of trunk Lopsided Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Shaded out Poor form, multiple leaders Wound at base of trunk, pruned around power lines Pruned around power lines Pruned around power lines, potential root damage from fire hydrant installation, Tag #go-c-35 |



FOREST CONSERVATION EXEMPTION INSPECTION NOTES: a. An on-site pre-construction meeting shall be required after the limits of

- sediment control inspector.
 - . Root pruning
 - ii. Crown Reduction or pruning
 - iii. Watering iv. Fertilizing
 - v. Vertical mulching
- vi. Root aeration matting
- by the M-NCPPC inspector in coordination with the arborist. method to convey the stress reductions measures during the pre-construction
- meeting.
 - i. Chain link fence (four feet high)
- contractor for the duration of construction project and must not be altered approved by the Department of Permitting Services.
- . Forest retention area signs shall be installed as required by the MNCPPC inspector, or as shown approved plan.
- MNCPPC inspector.
- measures which may be required include:
 - ii. Pruning of dead or declining limbs
 - iii. Soil aeration
 - iv. Fertilization v. Watering
 - vi. Wound repair
 - vii.Clean up of retention areas
- grading, sodding, or burial may take place.

| REVIEW AND APPROVAL | ISSUED FOR PROCUREMENT ON REVISIONS | | | |
|----------------------------|--|----------|------|--------|
| | | | | |
| | | Rev. No. | Date | Descri |
| Project Manager | Date | | | |
| Construction Manager | Date | - | | |
| Park Manager | Date | - | | |
| | | | | |

disturbance have been staked and flagged, but before any clearing or grading begins. The owner shall contact the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission inspection staff prior to commencing construction to verify the limits of disturbance and discuss tree protection and tree care measures. The attendants at this meeting should include: developer's representative, construction superintendent, ISA certified arborist or MD license tree expert that will implement the tree protection measures, M-NCPPC inspector, and DPS

b. No clearing or grading shall begin before stress-reduction measures have been implemented. Appropriate measures may include, but are not limited to:

Measures not specified on the Exemption plan may be required as determined c. A State of Maryland licensed tree expert, or an International Society of Arboriculture certified arborist must perform all stress reduction measures. Documentation of stress reduction measures must be either observed by the MNCPPC inspector or sent to the MNCPPC inspector at 8787 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20910. The MNCPPC inspector will determine the exact

d. Temporary tree protection devices shall be installed per the Exemption Plan and prior to any construction activities. Tree protection fencing locations should be staked prior to the pre-construction meeting. M-NCPPC inspector, in coordination with the DPS sediment control inspector, may make field adjustments to increase the survivability of trees and forest shown as saved on the approved plan. Temporary tree protect devices may include:

ii. Super silt fence with wire strung between the support poles

(minimum 4 feet high) with high visibility flagging. iii. 14 gauge 2 inch x 4 inch welded wire fencing supported by steel T-bar posts (minimum 4 feet high) with high visibility flagging. e. Temporary protection devices shall be maintained and installed by the

without prior approval from MNCPPC. No equipment, trucks, materials, or debris may be stored within the tree protection fence areas during the entire construction project. No vehicle or equipment access to the fenced area will be permitted. Tree protection shall not be removed without prior approval of MNCPPC. Tree protection devices to be coordinated with erosion and sediment control devices as indicated on the approved Erosion and Sediment Control plan


g. Periodic inspections by MNCPPC will occur during the construction project. Corrections and repairs to all tree protection devices, as determined by the MNCPPC inspector, must be made within the timeframe established by the

h. After construction is completed, an inspection shall be requested. Corrective

i. Removal and replacement of dead and dying trees

Description

i. After inspection and completion of corrective measures have been undertaken, all temporary protection devices shall be removed from the site. No additional




TREE PROTECTION PLAN

NR102

WESTERN GROVE PARK 5409 Grove Street, Chevy Chase, MD 20815 Liber ²¹⁷³³₂₄₃₉₀ Folio ²⁸⁵₁₄₇

SCALE: 1" = 20'



| A. MORTON THOMAS AND ASSOCIATES, INC. | DESIGN | | | Professional Certification . I hereby certify that these | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Designer's Name | | | | documents were prepared or approved by me, and | | |
| 12750 Twinbrook Parkway | Landscape Architect | Date | Checked By: | that I am a duly licensed landscape architect under the laws of the State of Maryland. | | |
| Address | Landscape Architect | Date | Offected by. | | | |
| Rockville, MD 20852 | Architect | Date | Checked By: | License No. 3381 | | |
| City/State/Zip | Engineer | Date | Checked By: | | | |
| 301-881-2545 | Engineer | Date | Checked By. | Expiration Date 10/22/2014 | | |
| Telephone Number | Drawn by | Date | Checked By: | | | |

NOT TO SCALE

| NO. | COMMON NAME | SCIENTIFIC NAME | D.B.H (INCHES) | CRITICAL ROOT ZONE (SQ. FT.) | CONDITION RATING | CONDITION COMMENTS |
|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| T1 | American basswood | Tilia americana | 2.5 | 44.18 | GOOD | |
| T2 | Buroak | Quercus macrocarpa | 3.5 | 86.59 | GOOD | Tag #49B |
| T3 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 3.5 | 86.59 | GOOD | |
| T4 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| T5 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| T6 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| T7 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| T8 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | |
| T9 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 3.5 | 86.59 | GOOD | |
| T10 | Blue spruce | Picea pungens | 12 | 1017.88 | GOOD | |
| T11 | Chestnut oak | Quercus prinus | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | |
| T12 | Deodar cedar | Cedrus deodara | 2 | 28.27 | AVG/POOR | In decline |
| T13 | Deodar cedar | Cedrus deodara | 25.5 | 4596.35 | GOOD | |
| T14 | Deodar cedar | Cedrus deodara | 2 | 28.27 | POOR | Dead |
| T15 | American holly | llex opaca | 4 | 113.10 | AVG. | Poor form |
| T16 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 15.5 | 1698.23 | GOOD/AVG. | |
| T17 | Crabapple | Malus angustifolia | 9 | 572.56 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T18 | Pin oak | Quercus palustris | 17 | 2042.82 | AVG. | Dieback |
| T19 | Sweetbay magnolia | Magnolia virginiana | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T20 | Sweetbay magnolia | Magnolia virginiana | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T21 | Sweetbay magnolia | Magnolia virginiana | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T22 | Sweetbay magnolia | Magnolia virginiana | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T23 | Sweetbay magnolia | Magnolia virginiana | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T24 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 13.5 | 1288.25 | GOOD | |
| T25 | Crabapple | Malus angustifolia | 7 | 346.36 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T26 | Blue spruce | Picea pungens | 12.5 | 1104.47 | GOOD | |
| T27 | Blue spruce | Picea pungens | 12.5 | 1104.47 | GOOD | |
| T28 | Chinese chestnut | Castanea mollissima | 27 | 5153.00 | AVG. | Some dieback in crown |
| T29 | Littleleaf linden | Tilia cordata | 22 | 3421.19 | GOOD | |
| T30 | Arborvitae | Thuja orientalis | 17 | 2042.82 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T31 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 32 | 7238.23 | GOOD | |
| T32 | American holly | llex opaca | 10 | 706.86 | GOOD | |
| T33 | Flowering dogwood | Cornus florida | 10 | 706.86 | GOOD/AVG. | Codominant leaders |
| T34 | Arborvitae | Thuja orientalis | 11 | 855.30 | GOOD/AVG. | Broken crown, codominant lead |
| T35 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 2042.82 | GOOD/AVG. | English Ivy on trunk |
| T36 | American holly Pin oak | llex opaca Quercus palustris | 3 | 63.62 1486.17 | GOOD/AVG. AVG. | English Ivy, lopsided canopy, sh |
| T37 | | | | | | out |
| T38 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 36.5 | 9417.12 | GOOD | |
| T39 | Norway spruce | Picea abies | 16.5 | 1924.42 | GOOD | |
| T40 | Norway spruce | Picea abies | 10 | 706.86 | GOOD | |
| T41 | Norway spruce | Picea abies | 11 | 855.30 | GOOD | |
| T42 | Norway spruce | Picea abies | 13 | 1194.59 | GOOD | |
| T43 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 40 | 11309.73 | GOOD | |
| T44 | Bradford pear | Pyrus calleryana 'Bradford' | 19.5 | 2687.83 | POOR | Poor form, in decline |
| T45 | Norway spruce | Picea abies | 14 | 1385.44 | GOOD | |
| T46 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 19.5 | 2687.83 | GOOD | |
| T47 | Flowering dogwood | Cornus florida | 6 | 254.47 | AVG. | Poor form, codominant leaders |
| T48 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 24.5 | 4242.92 | GOOD/AVG. AVG. | Shaded out |
| T49 | Red maple River birch | Acer rubrum | 12 | 2290.22 | GOOD | Poor form, some dead, shaded o |
| T50 | Red maple | Betula nigra Acer rubrum | 6 | 254.47 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T51 | | | 22 | | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T52 T53 | River birch Silver maple | Betula nigra | 19 | 3421.19 2551.76 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T54 | River birch | Acer saccharinum Betula nigra | 13 | 1194.59 | GOOD | Codominant la colore |
| T55 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 22.5 | 3578.47 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T56 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 22.5 | 3267.45 | AVG. | Door form |
| T57 | River birch | Betula nigra | 11 | 855.30 | GOOD | Poor form Codominant leaders |
| T58 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 14.5 | 1486.17 | GOOD/AVG. | Leader removed |
| T59 | River birch | Betula nigra | 14.5 | 1385.44 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T60 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 15 | 1590.43 | GOOD | couoninant leaders |
| T61 | Willow oak | Quercus phellos | 20.5 | 2970.57 | GOOD | |
| T62 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 17 | 2042.82 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T63 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 18 | 2290.22 | GOOD | Codominant leaders |
| T64 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 21 | 3117.25 | AVG. | Broken limbs |
| T65 | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum | 19.5 | 2687.83 | GOOD | broken minos |
| T66 | Bradford pear | Pyrus calleryana 'Bradford' | 23 | 3739.28 | AVG/POOR | Large scar on trunk |
| T67 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 17 | 2042.82 | AVG. | Epicormic growth |
| T68 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 12.5 | 1104.47 | GOOD | epiconnic growth |
| T69 | Black cherry | Prunus serotina | 15.5 | 1698.23 | AVG. | Leaning |
| T70 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 4 | 113.10 | GOOD | Leaning |
| T71 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 2.5 | 44.18 | GOOD | |
| T72 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| T73 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| T74 | Leyland cypress | Cupressus x leylandii | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | |
| T75 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 8 | 452.39 | AVG. | Wound on trunk, leader remove |
| T76 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 6 | 254.47 | GOOD | wound on trunk, leader remove |
| | , an enverte will | Cintus amenodita | • | 17.794 | | 1 |

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission

Silver Spring, Maryland 20901 (301) 495-2535

| REVIEW AND APPROVAL | | ISSUED FOR PROCUREMENT ON | | | |
|----------------------|------|---------------------------|------|----|--|
| | | REVISION | | | |
| | | Rev. No. | Date | De | |
| Project Manager | Date | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Construction Manager | Date | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Park Manager | Date | • | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

SPECIMEN, CHAMPION AND HISTORIC TREE TABLE

Montgomery County Department of Parks 9500 Brunett Avenue

| | T77 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 3 | 63.62 | GOOD | Codominant leaders Fruiting bodies, needs to be | - |
|----------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| | T78 | Black cherry | Prunus serotina | 22 | 3421.19 1809.56 | GOOD | removed | - |
| OMMENTS | T79 T80 | American holly American holly | llex opaca llex opaca | 13 | 1194.59 | GOOD | Codominant leaders | - |
| | T81 T82 | White pine American elm | Pinus strobus Ulmus americana | 20.5 | 2970.57 1809.56 | AVG. GOOD | Lower limbs removed | - |
| | T83 | Blue spruce | Picea pungens | 11 | 855.30 | GOOD | | - |
| | T84 T85 | Black locust Black cherry | Robinia pseudoacacia Prunus serotina | 8 20 | 452.39 2827.43 | GOOD/AVG. AVG/POOR | Virginia Creeper on trunk Dead limbs, very heavy ivy | - |
| | T86 | American holly American holly | llex opaca | 2 | 28.27 28.27 | GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. | Thin | - |
| | T87 T88 | American holly | llex opaca llex opaca | 2 | 28.27 | GOOD/AVG. | Thin Thin | |
| | T89 T90 | American holly American holly | llex opaca llex opaca | 2 | 28.27 28.27 | GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. | Thin Thin | _ |
| | T91 | American elm | Ulmus americana | 6.5 | 298.65 | AVG. | Leaning | - |
| | T92 T93 | American elm Black locust | Ulmus americana Robinia pseudoacacia | 5.5 26.5 | 213.82 4963.91 | AVG. AVG/POOR | Leaning Heart rot | - |
| | T94 T95 | Douglas fir Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12.5 19 | 1104.47 2551.76 | AVG. AVG. | Shaded out | - |
| | T96 | Willow oak | Pseudotsuga menziesii Quercus phellos | 21.5 | 3267.45 | GOOD | Shaded out Codominant leaders | - |
| | T97 T98 | Chinese holly Black walnut | Ilex cornuta Juglans nigra | 13 19 | 1194.59 2551.76 | GOOD | Codominant leaders | - |
| | T99 | Nordmanfir | Abies nordmanniana | 4 | 113.10 706.86 | GOOD | | _ |
| | T100 T101 | Chinese holly Flowering dogwood | llex corruta Cornus florida | 7 | 346.36 | GOOD | Codominant leaders | |
| | T102 T103 | | Picea abies Picea glauca | 8 | 452.39 572.56 | GOOD | | - |
| | T104 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 18.5 | 2419.22 | GOOD | | - |
| | T105 T106 | | Pinus strobus Pinus strobus | 22.5 | 3578.47 2827.43 | GOOD GOOD/AVG. | Shaded out | - |
| | T107 T108 | | Pinus strobus Pinus strobus | 20.5 23 | 2970.57 3739.28 | GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. | Shaded out | - |
| | T109 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 17.5 | 2164.75 | GOOD/AVG. | Shaded out Shaded out | - |
| wn | T110 T111 | | Platanus x acerifolia Platanus x acerifolia | 4 | 113.10 63.62 | GOOD | | - |
| | T112 | London planetree | Platanus x acerifolia | 3 | 63.62 | GOOD | | 1 |
| | T113 T114 | Black locust | Quercus rubra Robinia pseudoacacia | 5 29.5 | 176.71 6151.43 | GOOD | | |
| minant leaders | T115 T116 | | Robinia pseudoacacia Cercis canadensis | 28.5 1.5 | 5741.46 15.90 | AVG. GOOD | Bee nest in trunk | - |
| | T117 | Redbud | Cercis canadensis | 1.5 | 15.90 | GOOD | | 1 |
| canopy, shaded | T118 T119 | | Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis | 1.5 1.5 | 15.90 15.90 | GOOD GOOD | | - |
| | T120 T121 | | Quercus phellos Pinus strobus | 10.5 24 | 779.31 4071.50 | AVG. GOOD/AVG. | Lopsided | |
| | T122 | White pine | Pinus strobus | 23 | 3739.28 | GOOD/AVG. | Lopsided Lopsided | - |
| | T123 T124 | | Cercis canadensis Cercis canadensis | 1.5 2.5 | 15.90 44.18 | GOOD AVG. | Large wound at base of trunk | - |
| | T125 T126 | | Pinus strobus Pinus strobus | 21.5 21 | 3267.45 3117.25 | GOOD GOOD | | |
| 9 | T127 | White spruce | Picea glauca | 6 | 254.47 | AVG/POOR | Shaded out | |
| | T128 | Japanese flowering cherry | Prunus serrulata | 4.5 | 113.10 143.14 | GOOD | Transplant to location specified by | - |
| ant leaders | T129 | | Prunus serrulata | | | | owner Transplant to location specified by | _ |
| ad, shaded out | T130 | | Prunus serrulata | 4.5 | 143.14 | GOOD | owner | _ |
| | T131 T132 | | Quercus rubra Quercus rubra | 26.5 20.5 | 4963.91 2970.57 | GOOD | | - |
| | T133 T134 | | Quercus rubra Quercus rubra | 16.5 24 | 1924.42 4071.50 | GOOD | | - |
| | T135 | Southern magnolia | Magnolia grandiflora | 13 | 1194.59 | GOOD/AVG. | Callus and wound at base of trunk | - |
| | T136 T137 | | Quercus phellos Tsuga canadensis | 6.5 11 | 298.65 855.30 | GOOD/AVG. GOOD/AVG. | Lopsided Shaded out | - |
| | T138 T139 | | Ilex comuta Ilex opaca | 2 | 28.27 572.56 | GOOD | | ILC |
| | T140 T141 | Chinese holly Blue spruce | llex comuta Picea pungens | 2 | 28.27 452.39 | GOOD GOOD/AVG. | Shaded out | e Architecture L lina Avenue, SE 2 20003 |
| | T142 | Blue spruce | Picea pungens | 8 | 452.39 | GOOD/AVG. | Epicormic growth, shaded out | c hite Avenu 03 |
| | T143 T144 | | Tilia americana Ilex comuta | 3 | 63.62 63.62 | GOOD GOOD | | e Ar o olina , C 200 |
| | T145 T146 | | Tilia cordata Acer platanoides | 19.5 18 | 2687.83 2290.22 | GOOD AVG. | Dess form multiple lands | Landscape North Caroli nington, DC 734.9331 |
| | | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 13.5 | 1288.25 | AVG. | Poor form, multiple leaders Wound at base of trunk, pruned | |
| | T147 T148 | | Acer platanoides | 15 | 1590.43 | AVG. | around power lines Pruned around power lines | Lea 123 Was 202. |
| | | Norway maple | Acer platanoides | 17 | 2042.82 | AVG. | Pruned around power lines, potential root damage from fire | - G |
| | T149 | | | | | | hydrant installation, Tag #go-c-35 | e f |
| | T150 | | Acer platanoides | 22.5 | 3578.47 | AVG. | Pruned around power lines, Tag #go- c-33 | ະຂີ່ຍອ |
| | T151 * BO | Silver maple | Acer saccharinum MEN TREE | 42 | 12468.98 | GOOD/AVG. | Pruned around power lines | <u>پ</u> ۳ |
| der removed | SHA | DED TEXT DENOTES | TREE TO BE REMOVE | D | | | | Aars |
| | | | POTE NOTE 1: TREE SPEC MONTGOMEN WINTER IDE BE MADE C TO BE RELL QUERCUS AND THEY THE GENER NOTE 2 NO WARRAI COMMENTAF HOWEVER, I POTENTIAL THE REMAIN AND LIGHT, TREE. ANY | NTIAL: ELS NAMED REPRES RY COUNTY FOREST NTIFICATION IS LES IN THE BASIS OF F ABLE, SOME SPECIES PECIES. Q. RUBRA, ARE NOTABLE FOR A MALUS AND CRA MALUS AND CRA INTY, EXPRESSED OF RY ABOUT INDIVIDU. HIDDEN FACTORS A PROBLEMS NOTED VING TREES. OTHER INSUFFICIENT OR I RECOMMENDED AC | ENT THE PROFESSIO TER. THERE ARE A V S RELIABLE THAN D LOWERING PARTS, W ES AND HYBRIDS AR Q. BOREALIS, Q. P. FREELY HYBRIDIZING TREELY HYBRIDIZING TREES POSE A SIN R IMPLIED, CAN BE AL TREES NOTES SC ND UNFORESEABLE MAY NOT. THE PROI STRESSES SUCH A EXCESS RAINFALL C, TIONS ARE INTENDED ADDITIONAL CORREC THIS PLAN IS F | NAL JUDGMENT OF THE F (ARIETY OF REASONS IDE) URING THE GROWING SEAS (HICH ARE OFTEN ABSENT RE LESS CERTAIN. ONE EX ALUSTRIS AND Q. FALCAT G. EVEN EXAMINATION OF MILAR CHALLENGE. MADE WITH RESPECT TO DME ACTUAL OR POTENTIA EVENTS MAY BE HIGHLY POSED DISTURBANCES WIL S DISEASE, WIND, SUNSCA AN COMBINE TO CAUSE A O TO PARTIALLY OFFSET F | | A. Morton Thomas & Assoc. Inc. 12750 Twinbrook Parkway, Rockville, MD 20852 301.881.2545 |
| MENT ON | | | | RESO | URCE | INVENT | J | |
| Description | on | | REE PROI | | | | NR1 | 03 |
| | | | | | | | | VV |

WESTERN GROVE PARK 5409 Grove Street, Chevy Chase, MD 20815

SCALE: NTS

Liber ²¹⁷³³₂₄₃₉₀ Folio ²⁸⁵₁₄₇

Appendix G

Stormwater Concept Report

(This page intentionally left blank)

Appendix H

Geotechnical Report

(This page intentionally left blank