# Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc. 

## Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning

June 29, 2015
Ms. Kimberly Paniati, P.E.
Engineer, Montgomery Parks
Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission
9500 Brunett Avenue
Silver Spring, MD 20901

## RE: Traffic Study at Ovid Hazen Wells Regional Park Montgomery County

Dear Mr. Feldman:

This traffic report has been prepared as part of the expansion of the Ovid Hazen Wells Regional Park in Clarksburg.

1. TASK 1: Obtain traffic counts at the entrances to Ovid Hazen Wells Park during a weekday evening peak period and during a Sunday mid-day peak period. Counts were also conducted at the entrance to the Wheaton Regional Park to capture traffic data associated with the Carousel which is to be relocated to the Ovid Hazen Wells Park.
2. TASK 2: Obtain parking counts at Ovid Hazen Wells Park during a weekday evening peak period and during a Sunday mid-day peak period. Parking counts were also conducted at the Wheaton Regional Park during the same time periods.
3. TASK 3: Make projections of traffic and parking impacts at the Ovid Hazen Wells Park due to the proposed expansion.

The Ovid Hazen Wells Regional Park currently has two soccer fields, two softball fields, and one baseball field. The Park also contains picnic areas with three pavilions, a play area, and a walking trail, among other open space.

The Master Plan for the Ovid Hazen Wells Recreational Park calls for an active recreational area in Focus Area A. This is the area accessed via Skylark Road with the existing facilities. This area is proposed to be expanded to ultimately include the Carousel (relocated from Wheaton), a play area, sledding hill, dog park, renovated play area, open space, and trail enhancements.

The following exhibits and analyses have been provided for consideration.

Exhibit 1 Shows the site location map. The Ovid Hazen Wells Park is on the north side of Skylark Road with access across from Persimmon Ridge Road and Sycamore Farm Drive.

Exhibit 2 Provides the existing lane use \& traffic controls.

Exhibit 3 Details the existing peak hour traffic volumes. The traffic count worksheets are contained in Appendix A.

Exhibit $4 \quad$ Provides a table showing the resulting trip generation. The top line (Line 1) shows the observed trips at the Wheaton Regional Park, however, some of the trips at Wheaton included visitors that were using the picnic areas. Based on observations, it is assumed that $100 \%$ of the evening peak hour trips at Wheaton would translate to the Ovid Hazen Wells Park. It is also estimated that only $50 \%$ of the Sunday mid-day peak hour trips at Wheaton would translate to Ovid Hazen Wells because many of the visitors to the Wheaton Park on Sunday were using the picnic areas, not the carousel. The resulting trips at Ovid Hazen Wells due to the Carousel and enhanced play areas are shown on Line 2 of Exhibit 4. Furthermore, the ITE Trip Generation Manual provides information for Regional Parks. Line 3 of Exhibit 4 shows the ITE projections for a 290 acre regional park. Line 4 of Exhibit 4 shows the resulting peak hour trips generated by the Ovid Hazen Wells Park.

Exhibit 5 Shows the trip assignment for the park expansion.

Exhibit $6 \quad$ Contains the total peak hour traffic volumes.

Exhibit $7 \quad$ Provides a table with the level of service results at the two intersections providing access to the park. The level of service analysis was conducted using the M-NCPPC’s Critical Lane Volume (CLV) methodology. It should be noted that the Local Area Transportation Review (LATR) Guidelines identify an allowable CLV threshold of 1,425 in the Clarksburg Policy Area;

Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc.
331 Redwood Grove Court
Millersville, MD 21108
email: mlenhart@lenharttraffic.com
and the results of the level of service analysis reveal that the study intersections are projected to operate with a maximum CLV of 265 , or $18 \%$ of the allowable threshold.

This report also included an assessment of existing and projected parking conditions. The parking demand that was observed at the existing facilities was as follows:

## Ovid Hazen Wells Regional Park

- Tuesday May 26, 2015 between 4:00 and 7:00 PM there was a maximum of 46 parked vehicles. Three of the fields were in use at the time for practice but not games.
- Sunday June 7, 2015 between Noon and 3:00 PM there was a maximum of 37 parked vehicles. One of the fields was in use and there was some activity at the picnic and play area.
- A total of 264 parking spaces currently exist at the park, and it is understood that the expansion will include an additional 270 spaces.


## Wheaton Regional Park (Carousel and Train Parking Lot)

- Wednesday May 20, 2015 between 4:00 and 6:00 PM there was a maximum of 56 parked vehicles. To be conservative, it is estimated that all of this parking demand could be realized at Ovid Hazen Wells.
- Sunday May 24, 2015 between Noon and 2:00 PM there was a maximum of 190 parked vehicles. This was Memorial Day weekend and all of the picnic areas were fully occupied. Based on observations, it is estimated that $50 \%$ off this parking demand would be a conservative estimate of parking demand that could be realized at Ovid Hazen Wells.

Resulting parking demand at Ovid Hazen Wells on a weekday could be 46 plus 56 for a total of 102 parked vehicles. The Sunday parking demand would be 37 plus $50 \%$ of 190 for a total of 132.

In addition, it was observed that the ball and soccer fields at Ovid Hazen Wells were not very active at the times of the data collection. The ITE Parking Generation Manual indicates that a soccer/ball field would generate 38 parked vehicles per field on a weekday and 58 parked vehicles per field on a weekend. With a total of five fields, this could generate a maximum
parking of 190 parked vehicles on a weekday or 290 parked vehicles on a weekend. This would yield a total potential maximum of 292 parked vehicles $(102+190)$ on a weekday and 422 parked vehicles $(132+290)$ on a weekend.

With the 264 existing spaces and 270 proposed spaces, there will be a total of 534 parking spaces which will easily accommodate the parking demand.

Should you have any questions or comments regarding this information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,


Michael M. Lenhart, P.E., P.T.O.E.
President - Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc.
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## Trip Generaton Totals

1



NOTES: 1. Counts at Wheaton Regional Park obtained on Wednesday May 20, 2015 and Sunday May 24, 2015.
2. For a conservative assessment, it is assumed that $100 \%$ of the Wheaton trips on a PM peak hour and $50 \%$ on a Sunday peak hour will be realized at Ovid Hazen Wells Park.

| Traffic Impact Analysis | Trip Generation for |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site |  |
| Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc. | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning |  |




## Level-of-Service Results

| Morning Peak Hour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Existing } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ | Total CLV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1). Skylark Rd \& Persimmon Ridge Rd 2). Skylark Rd \& Sycamore Farm Dr | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { A } & 1 & 146 \\ \text { A } & 1 & 195\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { A } & 180 \\ \text { A } & 180 \\ \end{array}$ |
| Evening Peak Hour | Existing CLV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1). Skylark Rd \& Persimmon Ridge Rd <br> 2). Skylark Rd \& Sycamore Farm Dr | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { A } / 116 \\ \text { A } / 1 & 130\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { A } & 1 & 205 \\ \text { A } & 1 & 265\end{array}$ |

NOTES:

1. All intersections satisfy MNCPPC and MD SHA Guidelines of LOS "D" or better.

| Traffic Impact Analysis | Results of | Exhibit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc. | Level-of-Service Analyses | 7 |
| Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning |  |  |

## Appendix A

Supplemental Information<br>Aerial Photos<br>Turning Movement Counts



|  |  |  |  |  |  | kday | Morning | Pea | ur | am | 9:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mon R } \\ & \text { outh } \mathrm{L} \end{aligned}$ | e Rd |  |  | HW Pa orth Le |  |  |  | ylark <br> est Le |  |  |  | $\overline{\text { ylark }}$ ast Le |  |  | Total |
| Time: | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Veh's |
| 6:30-6:45 | Morning not counted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6:45-7:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7:00-7:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7:15-7:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7:30-7:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7:45-8:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8:00-8:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0$ |
| 8:15-8:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8:30-8:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8:45-9:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 9:00-9:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 9:15-9:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


| Hourly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.30-7.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6:45-7:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7:00-8:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7:15-8:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7:30-8:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7:45-8:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8:00-9:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8:15-9:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8:30-9:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| AM |  | uth L |  |  |  | orth Le |  |  |  | West Leg |  |  |  | East Leg |  |  | Total |
| Peak Hour | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Veh's |
| 6:30-7:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour (4 pm - 7 pm ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Veh's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persimmon Ridge Rd South Leg |  |  |  | OHW Park <br> North Leg |  |  |  | Skylark Rd West Leg |  |  |  | Skylark Rd <br> East Leg |  |  |  |  |
| Time: | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |
| 4:00-4:15 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 4:15-4:30 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 4:30-4:45 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 4:45-5:00 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 43 |
| 5:00-5:15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 51 |
| 5:15-5:30 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 64 |
| 5:30-5:45 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 64 |
| 5:45-6:00 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 25 | 5 | 2 | 72 |
| 6:00-6:15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 52 |
| 6:15-6:30 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 51 |
| 6:30-6:45 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 53 |
| 6:45-7:00 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 51 |


| Hourly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:00-5:00 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 52 | 6 | 4 | 29 | 59 | 2 | 1 | 170 |
| 4:15-5:15 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 55 | 7 | 5 | 32 | 64 | 3 | 1 | 181 |
| 4:30-5:30 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 58 | 8 | 5 | 36 | 62 | 5 | 1 | 194 |
| 4:45-5:45 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 69 | 10 | 7 | 32 | 61 | 8 | 1 | 210 |
| 5:00-6:00 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 74 | 10 | 9 | 30 | 73 | 11 | 2 | 236 |
| 5:15-6:15 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 69 | 11 | 6 | 26 | 75 | 14 | 3 | 238 |
| 5:30-6:30 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 59 | 8 | 7 | 21 | 79 | 15 | 3 | 223 |
| 5:45-6:45 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 49 | 5 | 6 | 22 | 80 | 14 | 4 | 212 |
| 6:00-7:00 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 45 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 72 | 11 | 2 | 195 |
| PM | South Leg |  |  |  | North Leg |  |  |  | West Leg |  |  |  | East Leg |  |  |  | Total |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak Hour } \\ \text { 5:15-6:15 } \end{gathered}$ | Left 4 | Thru 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Left } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thru } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Right } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Left 13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thru } \\ 69 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Right <br> 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Left } \\ 26 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thru } \\ 75 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Right } \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peds } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Veh's } \\ & 238 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


| Peak Hour | Intersection: Skylark Rd \& Persimmon Ridge Rd |
| :---: | :---: |
| Turning Movement Count | Weather: Clear |
|  | Count by: ml |
| Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc. | Count Day/Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2015 |
| Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning | County: Montgomery |





|  | Sunday Mid-Day Peak Hour (12 pm - 2 pm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In |  |  | Out |  |  |  |
| Time: | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Total |
| 12:00-12:15 |  | 36 |  |  | 18 |  | 54 |
| 12:15-12:30 |  | 46 |  |  | 22 |  | 68 |
| 12:30-12:45 |  | 49 |  |  | 25 |  | 74 |
| 12:45-1:00 |  | 41 |  |  | 24 |  | 65 |
| 1:00-1:15 |  | 31 |  |  | 22 |  | 53 |
| 1:15-1:30 |  | 36 |  |  | 38 |  | 74 |
| 1:30-1:45 |  | 38 |  |  | 33 |  | 71 |
| 1:45-2:00 |  | 31 |  |  | 35 |  | 66 |


| Parked Veh's <br> at Beginning <br> of Interval |
| :---: |
| 112 |
| 130 |
| 154 |
| 178 |
| 187 |
| 185 |
| 190 |
| 186 |


| Hourly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00-1:00 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 261 |
| $12: 15-1: 15$ | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 260 |
| $12: 30-1: 30$ | 0 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 266 |
| $12: 45-1: 45$ | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 263 |
| 1:00-2:00 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 264 |
| AM |  | In |  | Out |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| 12:30-1:30 |  | $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 9}$ |  |  |  |

NOTE: Sunday count was Memorial Day weekend and there were a considerable number of picnics.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday PM Peak Hour (4 pm - 6 pm) |  |  |
|  | In | Out |
| Time: |  |  |
| $4: 00-4: 15$ | 7 | 2 |
| $4: 15-4: 30$ | 7 | 3 |
| $4: 30-4: 45$ | 6 | 1 |
| $4: 45-5: 00$ | 6 | 8 |
| $5: 00-5: 15$ | 5 | 5 |
| $5: 15-5: 30$ | 3 | 3 |
| $5: 30-5: 45$ | 3 | 3 |
| $5: 45-6: 00$ | 7 | 9 |


| Parked Veh's <br> at Beginning <br> of Interval |
| :---: |
| 47 |
| 51 |
| 56 |
| 54 |
| 54 |
| 54 |
| 54 |
| 52 |


| Hourly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4: 00-5: 00$ | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 40 |
| $4: 15-5: 15$ | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 41 |
| $4: 30-5: 30$ | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 37 |
| $4: 45-5: 45$ | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 36 |
| $5: 00-6: 00$ | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 38 |
| PM |  | In |  | Out |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| 4:15-5:15 |  | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{4 1}$ |  |  |

NOTE: Carousel and train close at 6 PM on weekdays.

NOTE: These counts reflect the volumes in and out of the carousel and train parking lot. The traffic in and out of the adjacent parking lot to the north(play

| Peak Hour | Intersection: Wheaton Regional Park entrance to Train/Carousel <br> Weather: Sunny |
| :---: | :---: |
| Turning Movement Count | Count by: ml |
| Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc. <br> Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning | Count Date: Sunday (5/24/15) \& Wednesday (5/20/2015) <br> County: Montgomery |




## Appendix B

## Critical Lane Volume (LOS) Worksheets

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Montgomery County

Main Line: Skylark Rd
Minor Street: Persimmon Ridge Rd
Study Period: EXISTING TRAFFIC

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


Persimmon Ridge Rd

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 20 | 1.00 | 20 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB | 10 | 1.00 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 4 |  |
| $\overline{E B}$ <br> WB | 93 | 1 | 93 | 26 | 1 | 26 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 101 | 1 | 101 | 13 | 1 | 13 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |


| ERROR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUn } \\ & C L V \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 15 | 1.00 | 15 | 14 | 1 | 14 |  |
| SB | 14 | 1.00 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 7 |  |
| EB | 39 | 1 | 39 | 22 | 1 | 22 |  |
| WB | 81 | 1 | 81 | 6 | 1 | 6 |  |
| CLV TOTAL= <br> Level of Service (LOS )= |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

Lenhart Traffic Consulting Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning

## Skylark Rd \& Persimmon Ridge Rd (EXISTING TRAFFIC)

Intersection
1

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Montgomery County

Main Line: Skylark Rd
Minor Street: Sycamore Farm Dr
Analyst: ml
Study Period: EXISTING TRAFFIC

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


Sycamore Farm Dr

Critical Lane Volume Analysis


| ERROR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dir | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUn } \\ & \text { CLV } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF | = Total |  |
| NB | 31 | 1.00 | 31 | 3 | 1 | 3 |  |
| SB | 5 | 1.00 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 7 |  |
| EB | 84 | 1 | 84 | 12 | 1 | 12 |  |
| WB | 72 |  | 72 |  | 1 | 1 | 96 |
| CLV TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning

Skylark Rd \&
Sycamore Farm Dr
(EXISTING TRAFFIC)

Intersection
2

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Montgomery County

Main Line: Skylark Rd
Minor Street: Persimmon Ridge Rd
Analyst: ml
Study Period: TOTAL TRAFFIC

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


Persimmon Ridge Rd

Critical Lane Volume Analysis

| Evening Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thru Volumes |  |  | + Opposing Lefts |  | PM |  |
| Dir | VOL | $\times$ LUF | $=$ Total | VOL | $\times$ LUF $=$ Total | CLV |  |
| NB | 20 | 1.00 | 20 | 22 | 1 | 22 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44 |
| SB | 40 | 1.00 | 40 | 4 | 1 | 4 |  |
| EB | 110 | 1 | 110 | 26 | 1 | 26 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 |  |
| WB | 109 | 1 | 109 | 24 | 1 | 24 |  |
| CLV TOTAL $=180$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 180 |



Critical Lane Volume Analysis

Lenhart Traffic Consulting Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning

Skylark Rd \&
Persimmon Ridge Rd
( TOTAL TRAFFIC)

Intersection
1

## CRITICAL LANE VOLUME (CLV) METHODOLOGY <br> for Montgomery County

Main Line: Skylark Rd
Minor Street: Sycamore Farm Dr
Study Period: TOTAL TRAFFIC

Lane Use + Traffic Volumes


Sycamore Farm Dr

Critical Lane Volume Analysis



Critical Lane Volume Analysis

Lenhart Traffic Consulting
Traffic Engineering \& Transportation Planning

> Skylark Rd \&
> Sycamore Farm Dr
> (TOTAL TRAFFIC)

